

A_∞ -bimodules and Serre A_∞ -functors

Volodymyr Lyubashenko* and Oleksandr Manzyuk†

February 15, 2008

Abstract

We define A_∞ -bimodules similarly to Tradler and show that this notion is equivalent to an A_∞ -functor with two arguments which takes values in the differential graded category of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules, where $\mathbb{k}$ is a ground commutative ring. Serre A_∞ -functors are defined via A_∞ -bimodules likewise Kontsevich and Soibelman. We prove that a unital closed under shifts A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ over a field $\mathbb{k}$ admits a Serre A_∞ -functor if and only if its homotopy category $H^0\mathcal{A}$ admits a Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor. The proof uses categories enriched in $\mathcal{K}$, the homotopy category of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules, and Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functors. Also we use a new A_∞ -version of the Yoneda Lemma generalizing the previously obtained result.

Serre–Grothendieck duality for coherent sheaves on a smooth projective variety was reformulated by Bondal and Kapranov in terms of Serre functors [BK89]. Being an abstract category theory notion Serre functors were discovered in other contexts as well, for instance, in Kapranov’s studies of constructible sheaves on stratified spaces [Kap90]. Reiten and van den Bergh showed that Serre functors in categories of modules are related to Auslander–Reiten sequences and triangles [RvdB02].

Often Serre functors are considered in triangulated categories and it is reasonable to lift them to their origin – pretriangulated **dg**-categories or A_∞ -categories. Soibelman defines Serre A_∞ -functors in [Soi04], based on Kontsevich and Soibelman work which is a sequel to [KS06]. In the present article we consider Serre A_∞ -functors in detail. We define them via A_∞ -bimodules in Section 6 and use enriched categories to draw conclusions about existence of Serre A_∞ -functors.

A_∞ -modules over A_∞ -algebras are introduced by Keller [Kel01]. A_∞ -bimodules over A_∞ -algebras are defined by Tradler [Tra01, Tra02]. A_∞ -modules and A_∞ -bimodules over A_∞ -categories over a field were first considered by Lefèvre-Hasegawa [LH03] under the

*Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska st., Kyiv-4, 01601 MSP, Ukraine; lub@imath.kiev.ua

†Fachbereich Mathematik, Postfach 3049, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany; manzyuk@mathematik.uni-kl.de

name of polydules and bipolydules. A_∞ -modules over A_∞ -categories were developed further by Keller [Kel06]. We study A_∞ -bimodules over A_∞ -categories over a ground commutative ring $\mathbb{k}$ in Section 5 and show that this notion is equivalent to an A_∞ -functor with two arguments which takes values in the **dg**-category $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. A similar notion of A_∞ -modules over an A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ from Section 4 is equivalent to an A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The latter point of view taken by Seidel [Sei06] proved useful for ordinary and differential graded categories as well, see Drinfeld’s article [Dri04, Appendix III].

Any unital A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ determines a $\mathcal{K}$ -category $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ [Lyu03, BLM07], where $\mathcal{K}$ is the homotopy category of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. Respectively, an A_∞ -functor f determines a $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}f$. In particular, a Serre A_∞ -functor $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ determines a Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}S : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$. We prove also the converse: if $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ admits a Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor, then $\mathcal{A}$ admits a Serre A_∞ -functor (Corollary 6.3). This shows the importance of enriched categories in the subject.

Besides enrichment in $\mathcal{K}$ we consider in Section 2 also categories enriched in the category **gr** of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. When $\mathbb{k}$ is a field, we prove that a Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor exists in $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ if and only if the cohomology **gr**-category $H^\bullet \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^\bullet(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})$ admits a Serre **gr**-functor (Corollary 2.16). If the **gr**-category $H^\bullet \mathcal{A}$ is closed under shifts, then it admits a Serre **gr**-functor if and only if the $\mathbb{k}$ -linear category $H^0 \mathcal{A}$ admits a Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor (Corollary 2.18, Proposition 2.21). Summing up, a unital closed under shifts A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ over a field $\mathbb{k}$ admits a Serre A_∞ -functor if and only if its homotopy category $H^0 \mathcal{A}$ admits a Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor (Theorem 6.5). This applies, in particular, to a pretriangulated A_∞ -enhancement $\mathcal{A}$ of a triangulated category $H^0 \mathcal{A}$ over a field $\mathbb{k}$.

In the proofs we use a new A_∞ -version of the Yoneda Lemma (Theorem A.1). It generalizes the previous result that the Yoneda A_∞ -functor is homotopy full and faithful [Fuk02, Theorem 9.1], [LM04, Theorem A.11], as well as a result of Seidel [Sei06, Lemma 2.12] which was proven over a ground field $\mathbb{k}$. The proof of the Yoneda Lemma occupies Appendix A. It is based on the theory of A_∞ -bimodules developed in Section 5.

Acknowledgment The second author would like to thank the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach and its director Prof. Dr. Gert-Martin Greuel personally for financial support. Commutative diagrams were typeset with the package `diagrams.sty` by Paul Taylor.

0.1. Notation and conventions.

Notation follows closely the usage of the book [BLM07]. In particular, $\mathcal{U}$ is a ground universe containing an element which is an infinite set, and $\mathbb{k}$ denotes a $\mathcal{U}$ -small commutative associative ring with unity. A *graded quiver* $\mathcal{C}$ typically means a $\mathcal{U}$ -small set of objects $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ together with $\mathcal{U}$ -small $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules of morphisms $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, given for each pair $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. For any graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module M there is another graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module $sM = M[1]$, its *suspension*, with the shifted grading $(sM)^k = M[1]^k = M^{k+1}$. The mapping $s : M \rightarrow sM$ given by the identity maps $M^k \xrightarrow{\text{id}} M[1]^{k-1}$ has degree -1 . The composition of maps, morphisms, functors, etc. is

denoted $fg = f \cdot g = \xrightarrow{f} \xrightarrow{g} = g \circ f$. A function (or a functor) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ applied to an element is denoted $f(x) = xf = x.f = x \bullet f$ and occasionally fx .

Objects of the (large) Abelian $\mathcal{U}$ -category $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules are $\mathcal{U}$ -small differential graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. Morphisms of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are chain maps. The category $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is symmetric closed monoidal: the inner hom-complexes $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, Y)$ are $\mathcal{U}$ -small, therefore, objects of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. This determines a (large) differential graded $\mathcal{U}$ -category $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. In particular, $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a non-small graded $\mathcal{U}$ -quiver.

Speaking about a symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, c)$ we actually mean the equivalent notion of a symmetric Monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes^I, \lambda^f)$ [Lyu99, Definitions 1.2.2, 1.2.14], [BLM07, Chapter 3]. It is equipped with tensor product functors $\otimes^I : (X_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \otimes^{i \in I} X_i$, where I are finite linearly ordered (index) sets. The isomorphisms $\lambda^f : \otimes^{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow \otimes^{j \in J} \otimes^{i \in f^{-1}j} X_i$ given for any map $f : I \rightarrow J$ can be thought of as constructed from the associativity isomorphisms a and commutativity isomorphisms c . When f is non-decreasing, the isomorphisms λ^f can be ignored similarly to associativity isomorphisms. The coherence principle of [BLM07, Section 3.25] allows to write down canonical isomorphisms ω_c (products of λ^f 's and their inverses) between iterated tensor products, indicating only the permutation of arguments ω . One of them, $\sigma_{(12)} : \otimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{j \in J} X_{ij} \rightarrow \otimes^{j \in J} \otimes^{i \in I} X_{ij}$ is defined explicitly in [BLM07, (3.28.1)]. Sometimes, when the permutation of arguments reads clearly, we write simply perm for the corresponding canonical isomorphism.

A symmetric multicategory $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is associated with a lax symmetric Monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes^I, \lambda^f)$, where natural transformations λ^f are not necessarily invertible, see [BLM07, Section 4.20].

The category of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -linear quivers has a natural symmetric Monoidal structure. For given quivers $\mathcal{Q}_i$ the tensor product quiver $\boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{Q}_i$ has the set of objects $\prod_{i \in I} \text{Ob } \mathcal{Q}_i$ and the graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules of morphisms $(\boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{Q}_i)((X_i)_{i \in I}, (Y_i)_{i \in I}) = \otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{Q}_i(X_i, Y_i)$.

For any graded quiver $\mathcal{C}$ and a sequence of objects $(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ of $\mathcal{C}$ we use in this article the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{C}(X_0, \dots, X_n) &= s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X_{n-1}, X_n), \\ T^n s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_n) &= \oplus_{X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{C}(X_0, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

When the list of arguments is obvious we abbreviate the notation $\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{C}(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ to $\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_n)$. For $n = 0$ we set $T^0 s\mathcal{C}(X, Y) = \mathbb{k}$ if $X = Y$, and 0 otherwise. The tensor quiver is $Ts\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{n \geq 0} T^n s\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{n \geq 0} (s\mathcal{C})^{\otimes n}$.

An A_∞ -category means a graded quiver $\mathcal{C}$ with n -ary compositions $b_n : T^n s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_n) \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_n)$ of degree 1 given for all $n \geq 1$ (we assume for simplicity that $b_0 = 0$) such that $b^2 = 0$ for the $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $b : Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{C}$ of degree 1

$$b = \sum_{\substack{r+n+t=k \\ r+1+t=l}} 1^{\otimes r} \otimes b_n \otimes 1^{\otimes t} : T^k s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T^l s\mathcal{C}. \quad (0.1)$$

The composition $b \cdot \text{pr}_1 = (0, b_1, b_2, \dots) : Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}$ is denoted $\check{b}$.

The tensor quiver $T\mathcal{C}$ becomes a counital coalgebra when equipped with the cut comultiplication $\Delta_0 : T\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} T\mathcal{C}(X, Z) \bigotimes_{\mathbb{k}} T\mathcal{C}(Z, Y)$, $h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_k \bigotimes h_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n$. The map b given by (0.1) is a coderivation with respect to this comultiplication. Thus b is a *codifferential*.

We denote by $\mathbf{n}$ the linearly ordered index set $\{1 < 2 < \cdots < n\}$.

An A_∞ -functor $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a map of objects $f = \text{Ob } f : \text{Ob } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ob } \mathcal{B}$, $X \mapsto Xf$ and $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps $f : Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}(Xf, Yf)$ of degree 0 which agree with the cut comultiplication and commute with the codifferentials b . Such f is determined in a unique way by its components $f_k = f \text{pr}_1 : T^k s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Yf)$, $k \geq 1$ (we require that $f_0 = 0$). This generalizes to the case of A_∞ -functors with many arguments $f : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Such A_∞ -functor is a quiver map $f : \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}$ of degree 0 which agrees with the cut comultiplication and commutes with the differentials. Denote $\check{f} = f \cdot \text{pr}_1 : \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow s\mathcal{B}$. The restrictions $f_{(k_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}}$ of $\check{f}$ to $\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} T^{k_i} s\mathcal{A}_i$ are called the components of f . It is required that the restriction $f_{00 \dots 0}$ of $\check{f}$ to $\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} T^0 s\mathcal{A}_i$ vanishes. The components determine coalgebra homomorphism f in a unique way. Commutation with the differentials means that the following compositions are equal

$$(\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{f} Ts\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\check{b}} s\mathcal{B}) = (\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=1}^n 1^{\boxtimes(i-1)} \boxtimes b \boxtimes 1^{\boxtimes(n-i)}} \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{\check{f}} s\mathcal{B}).$$

The set of A_∞ -functors $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}; \mathcal{B})$. There is a natural way to compose A_∞ -functors, the composition is associative, and for an arbitrary A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$, the identity A_∞ -functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the unit with respect to the composition. Thus, A_∞ -categories and A_∞ -functors constitute a symmetric multicategory $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ [BLM07, Chapter 12].

With a family $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}$ of A_∞ -categories we associate a graded quiver $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})$. Its objects are A_∞ -functors with n entries. Morphisms are A_∞ -transformations between such A_∞ -functors f and g , that is, (f, g) -coderivations. Such coderivation r can be identified with the collection of its components $\check{r} = r \cdot \text{pr}_1$, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})(f, g) &\simeq \prod_{X, Y \in \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}_i} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}((\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i)(X, Y), s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Yg)) \\ &= \prod_{(X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \in \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}_i} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bigotimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} [Ts\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i)], s\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} f, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} g)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})$ has a distinguished A_∞ -category structure which together with the evaluation A_∞ -functor

$$\text{ev}^{A_\infty} : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n, f) \mapsto (X_1, \dots, X_n)f$$

turns the symmetric multicategory $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ into a closed multicategory [BLM07]. Thus, for arbitrary A_∞ -categories $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{C}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{A_\infty} : \mathbf{A}_\infty((\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}; \underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}; \mathcal{C})) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}; \mathcal{C}), \\ f &\longmapsto ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}_i})_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, f) \text{ev}^{A_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

is bijective. It follows from the general properties of closed multicategories that the bijection φ^{A_∞} extends uniquely to an isomorphism of A_∞ -categories

$$\underline{\varphi}^{A_\infty} : \underline{A}_\infty((\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}; \underline{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}; \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}; \mathcal{C})$$

with $\text{Ob } \underline{\varphi}^{A_\infty} = \varphi^{A_\infty}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a unital A_∞ -category, φ^{A_∞} maps isomorphic A_∞ -functors to isomorphic.

The components $\text{ev}_{k_1, \dots, k_n; m}^{A_\infty}$ of the evaluation A_∞ -functor vanish if $m > 1$ by formula [BLM07, (12.25.4)]. For $m = 0, 1$ they are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{k_1, \dots, k_n; 0}^{A_\infty} : [\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} T^{k_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i)] \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})(f, f) \\ \xrightarrow{f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}} s\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} f, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} f), \\ \text{ev}_{k_1, \dots, k_n; 1}^{A_\infty} = [(\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} T^{k_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i)) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})(f, g) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{pr}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}} \\ [\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} T^{k_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i)] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} [T^{k_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i)], s\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} f, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} g))] \\ \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} f, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}} g)]. \quad (0.2) \end{aligned}$$

When $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}$ are unital A_∞ -categories, we define $\underline{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})$ as a full A_∞ -subcategory of $\underline{A}_\infty((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; \mathcal{B})$, whose objects are unital A_∞ -functors. Equipped with a similar evaluation $\text{ev}^{A_\infty^u}$ the collection $\underline{A}_\infty^u$ of unital A_∞ -categories and unital A_∞ -functors also becomes a closed multicategory. Similarly to the case of $\underline{A}_\infty$, there is a natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{A_\infty^u} : \underline{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}; \underline{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}; \mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow \underline{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}; \mathcal{C}), \\ f \longmapsto ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}_i})_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, f) \text{ev}^{A_\infty^u} \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary unital A_∞ -categories $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in \mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{C}$.

In the simplest version graded spans $\mathcal{P}$ consist of a $\mathcal{U}$ -small set $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P}$ of source objects, a $\mathcal{U}$ -small set $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}$ of target objects, and $\mathcal{U}$ -small graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$ for all $X \in \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P}, Y \in \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}$. Graded quivers $\mathcal{A}$ are particular cases of spans, distinguished by the condition $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{A} = \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{A}$. The tensor product $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ of two spans $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ exists if $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{Q}$ and equals

$$(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q})(X, Z) = \bigoplus_{Y \in \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}(X, Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{Q}(Y, Z).$$

Details can be found in [BLM07].

Next we explain our notation for closed symmetric monoidal categories which differs slightly from [Kel82, Chapter 1].

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, c)$ be a closed symmetric monoidal $\mathcal{U}$ -category. For each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}$, let $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y)$ denote the inner hom-object. Denote by $\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} : X \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ and $\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}} : Y \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, X \otimes Y)$ the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms, respectively.

Then the mutually inverse adjunction isomorphisms are explicitly given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}(Y, \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Z)) &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{V}(X \otimes Y, Z), \\ f &\longmapsto (1_X \otimes f) \text{ev}_{X, Z}, \\ \text{coev}_{X, Y} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, g) &\longmapsto g.\end{aligned}$$

There is a $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\underline{\mathcal{V}}$ whose objects are those of $\mathcal{V}$, and for each pair of objects X and Y , the object $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{V}$ is the inner hom-object of $\mathcal{V}$. The composition is found from the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}[X \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, Z) &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{V}}}} X \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} Z] \\ &= [X \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \otimes 1} Y \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} Z].\end{aligned}\quad (0.3)$$

The identity morphism $1_X^{\underline{\mathcal{V}}} : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, X)$ is found from the following equation:

$$[X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1_X^{\underline{\mathcal{V}}}} X \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, X) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} X] = \text{id}_X.$$

For our applications we need several categories $\mathcal{V}$, for instance the Abelian category $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules and its quotient category $\mathcal{K}$, the homotopy category of complexes of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. The tensor product is the tensor product of complexes, the unit object is $\mathbb{k}$, viewed as a complex concentrated in degree 0, and the symmetry is the standard symmetry $c : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$, $x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{xy} y \otimes x$. We shorten up the usual notation $(-1)^{\deg x \cdot \deg y}$ to $(-1)^{xy}$. Similarly, $(-1)^x$ means $(-1)^{\deg x}$, $(-1)^{x+y}$ means $(-1)^{\deg x + \deg y}$, etc. For each pair of complexes X and Y , the inner hom-object $\underline{\mathcal{K}}(X, Y)$ is the same as the inner hom-complex $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, Y)$ in the symmetric closed monoidal Abelian category $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The evaluation morphism $\text{ev}^{\mathcal{K}} : X \otimes \underline{\mathcal{K}}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ and the coevaluation morphism $\text{coev}^{\mathcal{K}} : Y \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{K}}(X, X \otimes Y)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ are the homotopy classes of the evaluation morphism $\text{ev}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} : X \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ and the coevaluation morphism $\text{coev}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} : Y \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, X \otimes Y)$ in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$, respectively.

It is easy to see that $\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{K}}} = m_2^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$ and $1_X^{\underline{\mathcal{K}}} = 1_X^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$, therefore $\underline{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbf{k}\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Also we use as $\mathcal{V}$ the category $\mathbf{gr} = \mathbf{gr}(\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})$ of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules, and the familiar category $\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ of $\mathbb{k}$ -modules.

The following identity holds for any homogeneous $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $a : X \rightarrow A$ of arbitrary degree by the properties of the closed monoidal category $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, C) &\xrightarrow{m_2} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, C) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(a, C)} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, C)) \\ &= (\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, C) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(a, B) \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, B) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, C) \xrightarrow{m_2} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X, C)).\end{aligned}\quad (0.4)$$

Let $f : A \otimes X \rightarrow B$, $g : B \otimes Y \rightarrow C$ be two homogeneous $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps of arbitrary degrees, that is, $f \in \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A \otimes X, B)^{\bullet}$, $g \in \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B \otimes Y, C)^{\bullet}$. Then the following identity is

proven in [LM04] as equation (A.1.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_{A,X} \otimes \text{coev}_{B,Y}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, A \otimes X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, B \otimes Y) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A,f) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B,g)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(B, C) \xrightarrow{m_2} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, C)) \\
& = (X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_{A,X \otimes Y}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, A \otimes X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A,f \otimes 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, B \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A,g)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(A, C)). \quad (0.5)
\end{aligned}$$

1. $\mathcal{V}$ -categories

We refer the reader to [Kel82, Chapter 1] for the basic theory of enriched categories. The category of unital (resp. non-unital) $\mathcal{V}$ -categories (where $\mathcal{V}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal category) is denoted $\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{nu}$).

1.1. Opposite $\mathcal{V}$ -categories. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category, not necessarily unital. Its opposite $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is defined in the standard way. Namely, $\text{Ob } \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, and for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y, X)$. The composition in $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, Z) = \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Z, Y) \xrightarrow{c} \\
& \quad \mathcal{A}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}(Z, X) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Z)].
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, for each $n \geq 1$, the iterated n -ary composition in $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\mathbf{n}} &= [\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_{i-1}, X_i) = \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}(X_i, X_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\omega_c^0} \\
& \quad \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}(X_{n-i+1}, X_{n-i}) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{n}}} \mathcal{A}(X_n, X_0) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_n)], \quad (1.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the permutation $\omega^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ n & n-1 & \dots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the longest element of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, and ω_c^0 is the corresponding signed permutation, the action of ω^0 in tensor products via standard symmetry. Note that if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, then so is $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$, with the same identity morphisms.

Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor, not necessarily unital. It gives rise to a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $f^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ with $\text{Ob } f^{\text{op}} = \text{Ob } f : \text{Ob } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ob } \mathcal{B}$, and

$$f^{\text{op}} = [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}(Yf, Xf) = \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}(Xf, Yf)], \quad X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}.$$

Note that f^{op} is a unital $\mathcal{V}$ -functor if so is f . Clearly, the correspondences $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$, $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$ define a functor $-^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{nu}$ which restricts to a functor $-^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}$. The functor $-^{\text{op}}$ is symmetric Monoidal. More precisely, for arbitrary $\mathcal{V}$ -categories $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in \mathbf{n}$, the equation $\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}} = (\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i)^{\text{op}}$ holds. Indeed, the underlying $\mathcal{V}$ -quivers of both categories coincide, and so do the identity morphisms if the categories $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in \mathbf{n}$, are unital. The composition in $\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}} &= [(\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_i, Y_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(Y_i, Z_i)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} (\mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} c} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} (\mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}_i}} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, X_i) = \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_i, Z_i)].
\end{aligned}$$

The composition in $(\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i)^{\text{op}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i)^{\text{op}}} &= [(\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i)^{\text{op}}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}) \otimes (\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i)^{\text{op}}((Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}, (Z_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}) \\ &= (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \xrightarrow{c} (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma(12)} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} (\mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mu_{\mathcal{A}_i}} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, X_i) = \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_i, Z_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

The equation $\mu_{\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}} = \mu_{(\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i)^{\text{op}}}$ follows from the following equation in $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \xrightarrow{\sigma(12)} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} (\mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} c} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} (\mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i))] \\ &= [(\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \xrightarrow{c} (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sigma(12)} \otimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} (\mathcal{A}_i(Z_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{A}_i(Y_i, X_i))], \end{aligned}$$

which is a consequence of coherence principle of [BLM07, Lemma 3.26, Remark 3.27]. Therefore, $-^{\text{op}}$ induces a symmetric multifunctor $-^{\text{op}} : \widehat{\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{nu}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{nu}}$ which restricts to a symmetric multifunctor $-^{\text{op}} : \widehat{\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}}$.

1.2. Hom-functor. A $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\mathcal{A}$ gives rise to a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}$ which maps a pair of objects $(X, Y) \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A} \times \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \in \text{Ob } \underline{\mathcal{V}}$, and whose action on morphisms is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) = \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \\ &\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, (c \otimes 1) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^3)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))]. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is found by closedness of $\mathcal{V}$ from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \\ \text{\scriptsize } c \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{\scriptsize ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\ \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^3} & \mathcal{A}(Y, V) \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

1.3 Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = [\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}].$$

Proof. Using (1.1), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} &= [\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, V) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, V) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, U), \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, V)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, (c \otimes 1) \mu_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^3)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, U), \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, V))] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(U, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, (c \otimes 1) \omega_c^0 \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^3)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(V, Y))] ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega^0 = (13) \in \mathfrak{S}_3$. Clearly, $(c \otimes 1) \omega_c^0 = (1 \otimes c)(c \otimes 1)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} &= [\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(U, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, (1 \otimes c)(c \otimes 1) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^3)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(V, Y))] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{A}(V, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(U, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, (c \otimes 1) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}^3)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(V, Y))] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V, U) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{A}(V, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(U, X), \mathcal{A}(V, Y))] .
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proven. $\square$

An object X of $\mathcal{A}$ defines a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $X : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $* \mapsto X$, $\mathbf{1}(*, *) = \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{1_X^{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}(X, X)$, whose source $\mathbf{1}$ is a $\mathcal{V}$ -category with one object $*$. This $\mathcal{V}$ -category is a unit of tensor multiplication $\boxtimes$. The $\mathcal{V}$ -functors $\mathcal{A}(-, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, Y) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathcal{A}(X, -) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(-, Y) &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes Y} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}], \\
\mathcal{A}(X, -) &= [\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{X \boxtimes 1} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $\mathcal{A}(-, Y)$ maps an object X to $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$, and its action on morphisms is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(-, Y) &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, X) = \mathcal{A}(X, W) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(W, Y), \mathcal{A}(W, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, c \mu_{\mathcal{A}})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(W, Y), \mathcal{A}(X, Y))] . \quad (1.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $\mathcal{A}(X, -)$ maps an object Y to $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$, and its action on morphisms is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(X, -) &= [\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Y), \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \mu_{\mathcal{A}})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Y), \mathcal{A}(X, Z))] . \quad (1.4)
\end{aligned}$$

1.4. Duality functor. The unit object $\mathbf{1}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ defines the duality $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) : \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. The functor $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})$ maps an object M to its dual $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1})$, and its action on morphisms is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) = [\underline{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{op}}(M, N) = \underline{\mathcal{V}}(N, M) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(N, M)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, c)} \\ \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(N, M) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1})) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \mu_{\mathcal{V}})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(N, \mathbf{1}))]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

For each object M there is a natural morphism $e : M \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})$ which is a unique solution of the following equation in $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{c} & M \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}) \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes e & & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\ \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} e = [M \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}) \otimes M) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, c)} \\ \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), M \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1})) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1})]. \end{aligned}$$

An object M is *reflexive* if e is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{V}$.

1.5. Representability. Let us state for the record the following

1.6 Proposition (Weak Yoneda Lemma). *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor, X an object of $\mathcal{A}$. There is a bijection between elements of $F(X)$, i.e., morphisms $t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow F(X)$, and natural transformations $\mathcal{A}(X, _) \rightarrow F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}$ defined as follows: with an element $t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow F(X)$ a natural transformation is associated whose components are given by*

$$\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{t \otimes F_{X, Z}} F(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(F(X), F(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} F(Z), \quad Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}.$$

In particular, F is representable if and only if there is an object $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ and an element $t : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow F(X)$ such that for each object $Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ the above composite is invertible.

Proof. Standard, see [Kel82, Section 1.9]. □

2. Serre functors for $\mathcal{V}$ -categories

Serre functors for enriched categories are for us a bridge between ordinary Serre functors and Serre A_{∞} -functors.

2.1. Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functors. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category, $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. Consider a natural transformation ψ as in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes S} & \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C} \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \psi & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}} \\
 \underline{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

The natural transformation ψ is a collection of morphisms of $\mathcal{V}$

$$\psi_{X,Y} : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})), \quad X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}.$$

Equivalently, ψ is given by a collection of morphisms $\psi_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})$ of $\mathcal{V}$, for $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. Naturality of ψ may be verified variable-by-variable.

2.2 Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category. A $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is called a *right Serre functor* if there exists a natural isomorphism ψ as in (2.1). If moreover S is a self-equivalence, it is called a *Serre functor*.

This terms agree with the conventions of Mazorchuk and Stroppel [MS05] and up to taking dual spaces with the terminology of Reiten and van den Bergh [RvdB02].

2.3 Lemma. Let $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. Fix an object Y of $\mathcal{C}$. A collection of morphisms $(\psi_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}))_{X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is natural in X if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_{X,Y} = [\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \mu_e)} \\
 \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathcal{C}(Y, YS)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \tau_Y)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})], \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_Y = [\mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{1_Y^{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(Y, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi_{Y,Y}} \mathcal{C}(Y, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Y), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbf{1}]. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. The collection $(\psi_{X,Y})_{X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}}$ is a natural $\mathcal{V}$ -transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, YS)} & \underline{\mathcal{V}} \\
 \searrow \mathcal{C}(Y, -)^{\text{op}} & \Downarrow \psi_{-,Y} & \nearrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) \\
 & \underline{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{op}} &
 \end{array}$$

if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}(Z, X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, YS)} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathcal{C}(Z, YS)) \\
 \downarrow \mathcal{C}(Y, -) & & \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \psi_{Z,Y}) \\
 & = & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1})) \\
 & & \uparrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\psi_{X,Y}, 1) \\
 \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1}))
 \end{array}$$

By closedness, this is equivalent to commutativity of the exterior of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(-, YS)} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathcal{C}(Z, YS)) \\
\downarrow \psi_{X,Y} \otimes 1 & \searrow c & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
& \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \\
& \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & \\
& \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \\
& \downarrow \mathcal{C}(Y, _)\otimes 1 & \\
& \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \\
& \downarrow \mu_{\underline{\mathcal{V}}} & \\
& \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1}) & \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, _) & & \downarrow \psi_{Z,Y} \\
\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathcal{C}(Z, YS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) & & \downarrow \psi_{Z,Y} \\
\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) & \xrightarrow{c} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) & & \downarrow \psi_{Z,Y} \\
\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1})) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1})
\end{array}$$

The right upper quadrilateral and the left lower quadrilateral commute by definition of $\mathcal{C}(-, YS)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})$ respectively, see (1.3) and its particular case (1.5). Since c is an isomorphism, commutativity of the exterior is equivalent to commutativity of the pentagon. Again, by closedness, this is equivalent to commutativity of the exterior of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_e} & \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, YS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{Z,Y} \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & & \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, _)\otimes 1 & \searrow \mu_e \otimes 1 & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \otimes 1} & \mathbf{1} \\
& & \uparrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
& & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})
\end{array}$$

The triangle commutes by definition of $\mathcal{C}(Y, _)$, see (1.4). It follows that naturality of $\psi_{-,Y}$ is equivalent to commutativity of the hexagon:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_e \psi_{Z,Y}} & \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, Z), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow \mu_e \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Assume that $\psi_{-,Y}$ is natural, so the above diagram commutes, and consider a particular case, $Z = Y$. Composing both paths of the diagram with the morphism $1_Y^{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow \tau_Y \\ \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

where τ_Y is given by expression (2.3). By closedness, the above equation admits a unique solution $\psi_{X,Y}$, namely, (2.2).

Assume now that $\psi_{X,Y}$ is given by (2.2). Then (2.5) holds true. Plugging it into (2.4), whose commutativity has to be proven, we obtain the equation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, YS) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \\ \downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1 & & & & \downarrow \tau_Y \\ \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) & \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} & \mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

which holds true by associativity of composition. $\square$

2.4 Lemma. *Let $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. Fix an object X of $\mathcal{C}$. A collection of morphisms $(\psi_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}))_{Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is natural in Y if and only if for each $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{X,Y} = [\mathcal{C}(X, YS) &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, S \otimes 1)} \\ &\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, c\mu_{\mathcal{C}})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathcal{C}(X, XS)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \tau_X)} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})], \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where τ_X is given by (2.3).

Proof. Naturality of $\psi_{X,-}$ presented by the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X)^{\text{op}} & \searrow \psi_{X,-} & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(X, -) \\ \underline{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & \underline{\mathcal{V}} \end{array}$$

is expressed by commutativity in $\mathcal{V}$ of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{S} & \mathcal{C}(YS, ZS) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X) & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(X, -) \\ \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) & & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathcal{C}(X, ZS)) \\ \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) & & \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(1, \psi_{X,Z}) \\ \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathbf{1})) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\psi_{X,Y}, 1)} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathbf{1})) \end{array}$$

By closedness, the latter is equivalent to commutativity of the exterior of the diagram displayed on the following page. Since c is an isomorphism, it follows that the polygon marked by $\boxed{*}$ is commutative. By closedness, this is equivalent to commutativity of the exterior of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes S} & \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, ZS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes c & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu_e \\
\mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & & \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Z} \\
\mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & & \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(_, X) \otimes 1 & \searrow c\mu_e \otimes 1 & \downarrow \text{ev}^\mathcal{V} \\
& & \mathbf{1} \\
& & \uparrow \text{ev}^\mathcal{V} \\
& & \mathbf{1} \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^\mathcal{V} \otimes 1} & \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})
\end{array}$$

The triangle commutes by (1.3). Therefore, the remaining polygon is commutative as well:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes S} & \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, ZS) \\
\downarrow (123)^\sim & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu_e \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & & \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \\
\downarrow \mu_e \otimes 1 & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Z} \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & & \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow \text{ev}^\mathcal{V} \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^\mathcal{V}} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

Suppose that the collection of morphisms $(\psi_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}))_{Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}}$ is natural in Y . Consider diagram (2.7) with $Z = X$. Composing both paths with the morphism $1_X^e \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ gives an equation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{S \otimes 1} & \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{c\mu_e} \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow \tau_X \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^\mathcal{V}} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, ZS) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, -)} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathcal{C}(X, ZS)) \\
\downarrow \psi_{X,Y} \otimes 1 & \searrow c & \searrow \mu c & & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) & & \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & & \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{C}(-, X) & \searrow c & \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} & & \downarrow \psi_{X,Z} \\
\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) & & \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \quad \boxed{*} & & \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) & \searrow c & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(-, X) \otimes 1 & & \\
\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathbf{1})) & & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathcal{C}(Y, X)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) & & \\
& & \downarrow \mu \underline{\mathcal{V}} & & \\
& & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Z, X), \mathbf{1}) & & \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} & & &
\end{array}$$

Figure 1:

The only solution to the above equation is given by (2.6).

Conversely, suppose equation (2.8) holds. It suffices to prove that diagram (2.7) is commutative. Plugging in the expressions for $(1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y}) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}$ and $(1 \otimes \psi_{X,Z}) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}$ into (2.7), we obtain (cancelling a common permutation of the factors of the source object):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S \otimes S} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, XS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1 \\
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & & \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, XS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes S & & \downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}} \\
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) & & \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \\
\downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}} & & \downarrow \tau_X \\
\mathcal{C}(X, XS) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}$$

Commutativity of the diagram follows from associativity of $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ and the fact that S is a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. The lemma is proven. $\square$

2.5 Proposition. *Assume that $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor, and ψ is a natural transformation as in (2.1). Then the following diagram commutes (in $\mathcal{V}$):*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{e} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow S & & \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\psi_{X,Y}, \mathbf{1}) \\
\mathcal{C}(YS, XS) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{YS,X}} & \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathbf{1})
\end{array}$$

In particular, if for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ the object $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ is reflexive, and ψ is an isomorphism, then S is fully faithful.

Proof. By closedness, it suffices to prove commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes e} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes S & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\psi_{X,Y}, \mathbf{1}) \\
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) & & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{YS,X} & & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}$$

Using (2.5) and the definition of e , the above diagram can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes S & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y} \\
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) & & \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow \mu e & & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}} \\
\mathcal{C}(X, XS) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}$$

It is commutative by (2.8). $\square$

Proposition 2.5 implies that a right Serre functor is fully faithful if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is hom-reflexive, *i.e.*, if $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is a reflexive object of $\mathcal{V}$ for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. If this is the case, a right Serre functor will be a Serre functor if and only if it is essentially surjective on objects. The most natural reason for hom-reflexivity is, of course, $\mathbb{k}$ being a field. When $\mathbb{k}$ is a field, an object C of $\mathbf{gr}(\mathbb{k}\text{-vect})$ is reflexive iff all spaces C^n are finite-dimensional. The ring $\mathbb{k}$ being a field, the homology functor $H^\bullet : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}(\mathbb{k}\text{-vect})$ is an equivalence (see e.g. [GM03, Chapter III, § 2, Proposition 4]). Hence, an object C of $\mathcal{K}$ is reflexive iff all homology spaces $H^n C$ are finite-dimensional. A projective module of finite rank over an arbitrary commutative ring $\mathbb{k}$ is reflexive as an object of a rigid monoidal category [DM82, Example 1.23]. Thus, an object C of $\mathbf{gr}(\mathbb{k}\text{-Mod})$ whose components C^n are projective $\mathbb{k}$ -modules of finite rank is reflexive.

2.6 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category. There exists a right Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ if and only if for each object $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ the $\mathcal{V}$ -functor*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, -)^{\text{op}} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1}) = \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, -)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{1}) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}$$

is representable.

Proof. Standard, see [Kel82, Section 1.10]. $\square$

2.7. Commutation with equivalences. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$ be $\mathcal{V}$ -categories with right Serre functors $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $S' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$, respectively. Let ψ and ψ' be isomorphisms as in (2.1). For objects $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, $Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}'$, define τ_Y , τ'_Z by (2.3). Let $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor, and suppose that T is fully faithful. Then there is a natural transformation $\varkappa : ST \rightarrow TS'$ such that, for each object $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, the following equation holds:

$$[\mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}'(YT, \varkappa)} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YTS') \xrightarrow{\tau'_{YT}} \mathbf{1}] = \tau_Y. \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed, the left hand side of equation (2.9) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varkappa_Y} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(YST, YTS') \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}'}} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YTS') \xrightarrow{\tau'_{YT}} \mathbf{1}].
\end{aligned}$$

Using relation (2.5) between τ'_{YT} and $\psi'_{YST,YT}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varkappa_Y} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(YST, YTS') \\ \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi'_{YST,YT}} \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}'(YT, YST), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbf{1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, equation (2.9) is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varkappa_Y} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(YST, YTS') \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi'_{YST,YT}} \\ \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}'(YT, YST), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(T, \mathbf{1})} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, YS), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbf{1}] = \tau_Y. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that the composite

$$\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\varkappa_Y} \mathcal{C}'(YST, YTS') \xrightarrow{\psi'_{YST,YT}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}'(YT, YST), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(T, \mathbf{1})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, YS), \mathbf{1})$$

is equal to $\tau_Y : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, YS), \mathbf{1})$, the morphism that corresponds to τ_Y by closedness of the category $\mathcal{V}$. Since the morphisms $\psi'_{YST,YT}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{V}}(T, \mathbf{1})$ are invertible, the morphism $\varkappa_Y : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'(YST, YTS')$ is uniquely determined.

2.8 Lemma. *The transformation $\varkappa$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{X,Y} = [\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'(XT, YST) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}'(XT, \varkappa)} \mathcal{C}'(XT, YTS') \\ \xrightarrow{\psi'_{XT,YT}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}'(YT, XT), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{V}}(T, \mathbf{1})} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})], \end{aligned}$$

for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The exterior of the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) & \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} & \mathbf{1} \\ & \nearrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}} & \downarrow T & & \uparrow \tau'_{YT} \\ \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \mathcal{C}'(YT, YST) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}'(YT, \varkappa)} & \mathcal{C}'(YT, YTS') & \\ & \nwarrow T \otimes T & \uparrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}'} & & \uparrow \mu_{\mathcal{C}'} \\ & & \mathcal{C}'(YT, XT) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, YST) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, \varkappa)} & \mathcal{C}'(YT, XT) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, YTS') \end{array}$$

The right upper square commutes by the definition of $\varkappa$, commutativity of the lower square is a consequence of associativity of $\mu_{\mathcal{C}'}$. The left quadrilateral is commutative since T is a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. Transforming both paths with the help of equation (2.5) yields

the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi_{X,Y}} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbf{1}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{T \otimes T} \mathcal{C}'(YT, XT) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, YST) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, \varkappa)} \\
&\quad \mathcal{C}'(YT, XT) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, YTS') \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi'_{XT, YT}} \mathcal{C}'(YT, XT) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}'(YT, XT), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbf{1}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes T} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, YST) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, \varkappa)} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}'(XT, YTS') \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi'_{XT, YT}} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}'(YT, XT), \mathbf{1}) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(T, \mathbf{1})} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbf{1}].
\end{aligned}$$

The required equation follows by closedness of $\mathcal{V}$. $\square$

2.9 Corollary. *If T is an equivalence, then the natural transformation $\varkappa : ST \rightarrow TS'$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Lemma 2.8 implies that $\mathcal{C}'(XT, \varkappa) : \mathcal{C}'(XT, YST) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'(XT, XTS')$ is an isomorphism, for each $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. Since T is essentially surjective, it follows that the morphism $\mathcal{C}'(Z, \varkappa) : \mathcal{C}'(Z, YST) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'(Z, YTS')$ is invertible, for each $Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}'$, thus $\varkappa$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

2.10 Corollary. *A right Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functor is unique up to an isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose $S, S' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are right Serre functors. Applying Corollary 2.9 to the functor $T = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ yields a natural isomorphism $\varkappa : S \rightarrow S'$. $\square$

2.11. Trace functionals determine the Serre functor. Combining for a natural transformation ψ diagrams (2.5) and (2.8) we get the equation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} \mathbf{1} \\
\downarrow S \otimes 1 & & \uparrow \tau_X \\
\mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(X, XS)
\end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

The above diagram can be written as the equation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S} & \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \\
\downarrow c & & \downarrow \phi_{YS, X} \\
\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{X, Y}} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array} \quad (2.11)$$

When S is a fully faithful right Serre functor, the pairing

$$\phi_{X, Y} = [\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} \mathbf{1}] \quad (2.12)$$

is perfect. Namely, the induced by it morphism $\psi_{X,Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(Y, X), \mathbf{1})$ is invertible, and induced by the pairing

$$c \cdot \phi_{X,Y} = [\mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\phi_{X,Y}} \mathbf{1}]$$

the morphism $\psi' : \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathbf{1})$ is invertible. In fact, diagram (2.11) implies that

$$\psi' = [\mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \xrightarrow{\psi_{YS,X}} \underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}(X, YS), \mathbf{1})].$$

Diagram (2.11) allows to restore the morphisms $S : \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(YS, XS)$ unambiguously from $\text{Ob } S$ and the trace functionals τ , due to $\psi_{YS,X}$ being isomorphisms.

2.12 Proposition. *A map $\text{Ob } S$ and trace functionals τ_X , $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, such that the induced $\psi_{X,Y}$ from (2.2) are invertible, define a unique right Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $(S, \psi_{X,Y})$.*

Proof. Let us show that the obtained morphisms $S : \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(YS, XS)$ preserve the composition in $\mathcal{C}$. In fact, due to associativity of composition we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S \otimes S} \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, XS) \xrightarrow{\phi_{ZS,X}} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S \otimes S} \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \xrightarrow{\tau_X} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes S \otimes 1)(\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1)} \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S} \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(YS, XS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \xrightarrow{\tau_X} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes S \otimes 1)(\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1)} \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(123)_c(1 \otimes 1 \otimes S)} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, YS) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(123)_c(1 \otimes 1 \otimes S)} \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, YS) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, YS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(123)_c(\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1)} \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S} \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, YS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(123)_c(\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1)} \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Z, ZS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Z} \mathbf{1}] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(321)_c} \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(Z, ZS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Z} \mathbf{1}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_e} \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes S} \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(ZS, XS) \xrightarrow{\phi_{ZS, X}} \mathbf{1}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_e} \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \xrightarrow{\phi_{X, Z}} \mathbf{1}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(321)_c} \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mu_e \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(Z, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \xrightarrow{\mu_e} \mathcal{C}(Z, ZS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Z} \mathbf{1}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(321)_c} \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, ZS) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu_e} \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, ZS) \xrightarrow{\mu_e} \mathcal{C}(Z, ZS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Z} \mathbf{1}].
\end{aligned}$$

The last lines of both expressions coincide, hence $(S \otimes S)\mu_e = \mu_e S$.

Let us prove that the morphisms $S : \mathcal{C}(X, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(XS, XS)$ of $\mathcal{V}$ preserve units. Indeed, the exterior of the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathcal{C}(X, XS) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \mathbf{1} \\
& \nearrow & \uparrow \mu_e & & \uparrow \\
\mathcal{C}(X, XS) & \xrightarrow[\lambda \cdot \iota(1_X \otimes 1)]{=} & \mathcal{C}(X, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, XS) & & \\
\downarrow \lambda \cdot \iota & & \uparrow c & & \\
\mathcal{C}(X, XS) \otimes \mathbf{1} & \xrightarrow[1 \otimes ?]{1 \otimes 1_X} & \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X, X) & & \\
& \searrow 1 \otimes 1_{XS} & \downarrow 1 \otimes S & & \downarrow \tau_X \\
& & \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \otimes \mathcal{C}(XS, XS) & \xrightarrow{\mu_e} & \mathcal{C}(X, XS)
\end{array}$$

Therefore, both paths from $\mathcal{C}(X, XS)$ to $\mathbf{1}$, going through the isomorphism $\lambda^{\iota \cdot}$, sides of triangle marked ' $1 \otimes ?$ ', μ_e and τ_X , compose to the same morphism τ_X . Invertibility of $\psi_{X, X}$ implies that the origin ' $?$ ' of the mentioned triangle commutes, that is,

$$1_{XS} = [\mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{1_X} \mathcal{C}(X, X) \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{C}(XS, XS)].$$

Summing up, the constructed $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. Applying Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $\psi_{-, Y}$ is natural in the first argument for all objects Y of $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that $\psi_{X, Y}$ is a unique morphism which makes diagram (2.5) commutative. Due to equation (2.10) $\psi_{X, Y}$ makes commutative also diagram (2.8). This means that $\psi_{X, Y}$ can be presented also in the form (2.6). Applying Lemma 2.4 we deduce that $\psi_{X, -}$ is natural in the second argument for all objects X of $\mathcal{C}$. Being natural in each variable ψ is natural as a whole [Kel82, Section 1.4]. $\square$

2.13. Base change. Let $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \otimes_{\mathcal{V}}^I, \lambda_{\mathcal{V}}^f)$, $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{W}, \otimes_{\mathcal{W}}^I, \lambda_{\mathcal{W}}^f)$ be closed symmetric Monoidal $\mathcal{U}$ -categories. Let $(B, \beta^I) : (\mathcal{V}, \otimes_{\mathcal{V}}^I, \lambda_{\mathcal{V}}^f) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \otimes_{\mathcal{W}}^I, \lambda_{\mathcal{W}}^f)$ be a lax symmetric Monoidal functor. Denote by $\widehat{B} : \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ the corresponding multifunctor. According to [Man07], (B, β^I) gives rise to a lax symmetric Monoidal $\mathcal{C}at$ -functor $(B_*, \beta_*^I) : \mathcal{V}\text{-}\mathcal{C}at \rightarrow \mathcal{W}\text{-}\mathcal{C}at$. Since the multicategories $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ are closed, the multifunctor $\widehat{B}$ determines the closing transformation $\widehat{B}$. In particular, we have a $\mathcal{W}$ -functor $B_*\underline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{W}}$, $X \mapsto BX$, which is denoted by $\widehat{B}$ by abuse of notation, whose action on morphisms is found from the following equation in $\mathcal{W}$:

$$[BX \otimes B(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \widehat{B}} BX \otimes \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, BY) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{W}}} BY] = \widehat{B}(\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}). \quad (2.13)$$

Let $\widehat{B}_* : \widehat{\mathcal{V}\text{-}\mathcal{C}at} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{W}\text{-}\mathcal{C}at}$ denote the symmetric $\mathcal{C}at$ -multifunctor that corresponds to the lax symmetric Monoidal $\mathcal{C}at$ -functor (B_*, β_*^I) . Clearly, $\widehat{B}_*$ commutes with taking opposite.

In the sequel, the tensor product in the categories $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ is denoted by $\otimes$, the unit objects in both categories are denoted by $\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category. We claim that the $\mathcal{W}$ -functor

$$\widehat{B}_* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \widehat{B} = [B_*(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \boxtimes B_*(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\widehat{B}_* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} B_*\underline{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\widehat{B}} \underline{\mathcal{W}}]$$

coincides with $\text{Hom}_{B_*\mathcal{A}}$. Indeed, both functors send a pair of objects $(X, Y) \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A} \times \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ to the object $B(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) = (B_*\mathcal{A})(X, Y)$ of $\mathcal{W}$. Applying $\widehat{B}$ to equation (1.2) yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(U, V)) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \widehat{B} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} & B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)) \otimes B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \\ \downarrow c \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \widehat{B}(\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}}) \\ B(\mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(U, V)) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{B_*\mathcal{A}}^3]{\widehat{B}(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^3)} & B(\mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \end{array}$$

Expanding $\widehat{B}(\text{ev}^{\mathcal{V}})$ according to (2.13) we transform the above diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(U, V)) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \widehat{B} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \widehat{B}} & B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{W}}(B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)), B(\mathcal{A}(Y, V))) \\ \downarrow c \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \text{ev}^{\mathcal{W}} \\ B(\mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(X, U)) \otimes B(\mathcal{A}(U, V)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{B_*\mathcal{A}}^3} & B(\mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \end{array}$$

It follows that the functors $\widehat{B}_* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \widehat{B}$ and $\text{Hom}_{B_*\mathcal{A}}$ satisfy the same equation, therefore they must coincide by closedness of $\mathcal{W}$.

There is a natural transformation of $\mathcal{W}$ -functors ζ' as in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_*\underline{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{B_*\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & B_*\underline{\mathcal{V}} \\ (\widehat{B})^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \nearrow \zeta' & \downarrow \widehat{B} \\ \underline{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{W}}(-, B\mathbf{1})} & \underline{\mathcal{W}} \end{array}$$

For each object X , the morphism $\zeta'_X : B(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1})) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, B\mathbf{1})$ in $\mathcal{W}$ comes from the map $\widehat{B}(\text{ev}^\vee) : BX \otimes B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow B\mathbf{1}$ by closedness of $\mathcal{W}$. In other words, $\zeta'_X = \widehat{B}_{X, \mathbf{1}}$. Naturality of ζ' is expressed by the following equation in $\mathcal{W}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, \mathbf{1})) \\
\widehat{B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{B} \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, BX) & & \underline{\mathcal{W}}(B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}), B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, \mathbf{1})) \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}(-, B\mathbf{1}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{W}}(1, \zeta'_Y) \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}(\underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, B\mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, B\mathbf{1})) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{W}}(\zeta'_X, 1)} & \underline{\mathcal{W}}(B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, B\mathbf{1}))
\end{array}$$

By closedness of $\mathcal{W}$, it is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}) \otimes B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}) \otimes B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, \mathbf{1})) \\
\zeta'_X \otimes \widehat{B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{B}(\text{ev}^\vee) \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, B\mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, BX) & & B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, \mathbf{1}) \\
1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{W}}(-, B\mathbf{1}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta'_Y \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, B\mathbf{1}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{W}}(\underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, B\mathbf{1}), \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, B\mathbf{1})) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^\mathcal{W}} & \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, B\mathbf{1})
\end{array}$$

By (1.3), the above equation reduces to the equation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, X) \otimes B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(X, \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow[\mu_{B_* \underline{\mathcal{V}}}]{{\widehat{B}}(\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{V}}})} & B\underline{\mathcal{V}}(Y, \mathbf{1}) \\
\widehat{B} \otimes \zeta'_X \downarrow & \widehat{B}_{Y, X} \otimes \widehat{B}_{X, \mathbf{1}} & \widehat{B}_{Y, \mathbf{1}} \downarrow \zeta'_Y \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, BX) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BX, B\mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\underline{\mathcal{W}}}} & \underline{\mathcal{W}}(BY, B\mathbf{1})
\end{array}$$

which expresses the fact that $\widehat{B} : B_* \underline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{W}}$ is a $\mathcal{W}$ -functor.

Suppose that $\beta^\varnothing : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow B\mathbf{1}$ is an isomorphism. Then there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\underline{\mathcal{W}}(1, (\beta^\varnothing)^{-1}) : \underline{\mathcal{W}}(-, B\mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{W}}(-, \mathbf{1}) : \underline{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{W}}.$$

Pasting it with ζ' gives a natural transformation ζ as in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_* \underline{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{B_* \underline{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & B_* \underline{\mathcal{V}} \\
(\widehat{B})^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \zeta & \downarrow \widehat{B} \\
\underline{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{W}}(-, \mathbf{1})} & \underline{\mathcal{W}}
\end{array} \tag{2.14}$$

2.14 Proposition. *Suppose ζ is an isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathcal{V}$ -category, and suppose $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a right Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functor. Then $B_*(S) : B_*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow B_*(\mathcal{C})$ is a right Serre $\mathcal{W}$ -functor.*

Proof. Let ψ be a natural isomorphism as in (2.1). Applying the $\mathcal{C}at$ -multifunctor $\widehat{B}_*$ and patching the result with diagram (2.14) yields the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_*(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \boxtimes B_*(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes B_*(S)} & B_*(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \boxtimes B_*(\mathcal{C}) \\
\widehat{B}_*(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}})^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \widehat{B}_*(\psi) & \downarrow \widehat{B}_* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}} \\
B_*\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{B_*\mathcal{V}(-, \mathbf{1})} & B_*\mathcal{V} \\
(\widehat{B})^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \zeta & \downarrow \widehat{B} \\
\mathcal{W}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}(-, \mathbf{1})} & \mathcal{W}
\end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

Since $\widehat{B}_* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}} \cdot \widehat{B} = \text{Hom}_{B_*(\mathcal{C})}$ and $\widehat{B}_* \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \cdot \widehat{B} = \text{Hom}_{B_*(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}}$, we obtain a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_*(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \boxtimes B_*(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes B_*(S)} & B_*(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \boxtimes B_*(\mathcal{C}) \\
\text{Hom}_{B_*(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{B_*(\mathcal{C})} \\
\mathcal{W}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}(-, \mathbf{1})} & \mathcal{W}
\end{array}$$

It is invertible since so are ψ and ζ . It follows that a right Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functor $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a right Serre $\mathcal{W}$ -functor $B_*(S) : B_*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow B_*(\mathcal{C})$. $\square$

2.15. From $\mathcal{K}$ -categories to $\mathbf{gr}$ -categories. Consider the lax symmetric Monoidal base change functor $(H^\bullet, \kappa^I) : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}$, $X \mapsto H^\bullet X = (H^n X)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where for each $I \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{S}$ the morphism $\kappa^I : \otimes^{i \in I} H^\bullet X_i \rightarrow H^\bullet \otimes^{i \in I} X_i$ is the Künneth map. There is a $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor $\widehat{H}^\bullet : H_*^\bullet \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}$, $X \mapsto H^\bullet X$, that acts on morphisms via the map

$$\mathcal{K}(X[-n], Y) = H^n \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}(H^\bullet X, H^\bullet Y)^n = \prod_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}\text{-Mod}(H^{d-n} X, H^d Y)$$

which sends the homotopy class of a chain map $f : X[-n] \rightarrow Y$ to $(H^d(f))_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Note that $H^\bullet$ preserves the unit object, therefore there is a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_*^\bullet \mathcal{K}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{H_*^\bullet \mathcal{K}(-, \mathbf{k})} & H_*^\bullet \mathcal{K} \\
(\widehat{H}^\bullet)^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \zeta & \downarrow \widehat{H}^\bullet \\
\mathbf{gr}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{gr}(-, \mathbf{k})} & \mathbf{gr}
\end{array}$$

Explicitly, the map $\zeta_X = \widehat{H}^\bullet_{X, \mathbf{k}} : H^\bullet(\mathcal{K}(X, \mathbf{k})) \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}(H^\bullet X, H^\bullet \mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{gr}(H^\bullet X, \mathbf{k})$ is given by its components

$$\mathcal{K}(X[-n], \mathbf{k}) = H^n \mathcal{K}(X, \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}(H^\bullet X, \mathbf{k})^n = \mathbf{k}\text{-Mod}(H^{-n} X, \mathbf{k}), \quad f \mapsto H^0(f).$$

In general, ζ is not invertible. However, if $\mathbf{k}$ is a field, ζ is an isomorphism. In fact, in this case $H^\bullet : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}$ is an equivalence. A quasi-inverse is given by the functor $F : \mathbf{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ which equips a graded $\mathbf{k}$ -module with the trivial differential.

2.16 Corollary. Suppose $\mathbb{k}$ is a field. Let $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a (right) Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor. Then $H_*^\bullet(S) : H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ is a (right) Serre $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor. Moreover, $H_*^\bullet$ reflects (right) Serre functors: if $H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ admits a (right) Serre $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor, then $\mathcal{C}$ admits a (right) Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Proposition 2.14. For the proof of the second, note that the symmetric Monoidal functor $F : \mathbf{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ induces a symmetric Monoidal $\mathcal{C}at$ -functor $F_* : \widehat{\mathbf{gr}\text{-}\mathcal{C}at} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathcal{C}at}$. The corresponding $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\widehat{F} : F_*\mathbf{gr} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{K}}$ acts as the identity on morphisms (the complex $\underline{\mathcal{K}}(FX, FY)$ carries the trivial differential and coincides with $\mathbf{gr}(X, Y)$ as a graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module). Furthermore, F preserves the unit object, therefore Proposition 2.14 applies. It follows that if $\bar{S} : H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ is a right Serre $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor, then $F_*(\bar{S}) : F_*H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F_*H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ is a right Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor. Since the $\mathcal{K}$ -category $F_*H_*^\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$, the right Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $F_*(\bar{S})$ translates to a right Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor on $\mathcal{C}$. $\square$

2.17. From $\mathbf{gr}$ -categories to $\mathbb{k}$ -categories. Consider a lax symmetric Monoidal base change functor $(N, \nu^I) : \mathbf{gr} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$, $X = (X^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto X^0$, where for each $I \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{S}$ the map $\nu^I : \otimes^{i \in I} NX_i = \otimes^{i \in I} X_i^0 \rightarrow N \otimes^{i \in I} X_i = \oplus_{\sum_{i \in I} n_i = 0} X_i^{n_i}$ is the natural embedding. The $\mathbb{k}$ -functor $\widehat{N} : N_*\mathbf{gr} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}$, $X \mapsto NX = X^0$, acts on morphisms via the projection

$$N\mathbf{gr}(X, Y) = \mathbf{gr}(X, Y)^0 = \prod_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}(X^d, Y^d) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}(X^0, Y^0) = \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}(NX, NY).$$

The functor N preserves the unit object, therefore there exists a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_*\mathbf{gr}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{N_*\mathbf{gr}(-, \mathbb{k})} & N_*\mathbf{gr} \\ (\widehat{N})^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \zeta & \downarrow \widehat{N} \\ \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}(-, \mathbb{k})} & \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}} \end{array}$$

Explicitly, the map $\zeta_X = \widehat{N}_{X, \mathbb{k}}$ is the identity map

$$N\mathbf{gr}(X, \mathbb{k}) = \mathbf{gr}(X, \mathbb{k})^0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}(X^0, \mathbb{k}) = \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}}(NX, \mathbb{k}).$$

2.18 Corollary (to Proposition 2.14). Suppose $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a right Serre $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor. Then $N_*(S) : N_*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_*(\mathcal{C})$ is a right Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -functor.

If $N_*(\mathcal{C})$ possess a right Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -functor, it does not imply, in general, that $\mathcal{C}$ has a right Serre $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor. However, this will be the case if $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under shifts, as explained in the next section.

2.19. Categories closed under shifts. As in [BLM07, Chapter 10] denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the following algebra (strict monoidal category) in the symmetric monoidal category of **dg**-categories, $\mathcal{K}$ -categories or **gr**-categories. As a graded quiver $\mathcal{Z}$ has $\text{Ob } \mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(m, n) = \mathbb{k}[n - m]$. In the first two cases $\mathcal{Z}$ is supplied with zero differential. Composition in the category $\mathcal{Z}$ comes from the multiplication in $\mathbb{k}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z}(l, m) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{Z}(m, n) &= \mathbb{k}[m - l] \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[n - m] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[n - l] = \mathcal{Z}(l, n), \\ 1s^{m-l} \otimes 1s^{n-m} &\mapsto 1s^{n-l}. \end{aligned}$$

The elements $1 \in \mathbb{k} = \mathcal{Z}(n, n)$ are identity morphisms of $\mathcal{Z}$.

The object $\mathcal{Z}$ of $(\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}, \boxtimes)$ (where $\mathcal{V}$ is **dg**, $\mathcal{K}$ or **gr**) is equipped with an algebra (a strict monoidal category) structure, given by multiplication – the $\mathcal{V}$ -functor

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_{\psi} : \mathcal{Z} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}, \quad m \times n \mapsto m + n, \\ \otimes_{\psi} : (\mathcal{Z} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})(n \times m, k \times l) &= \mathcal{Z}(n, k) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(m, l) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(n + m, k + l), \\ 1s^{k-n} \otimes 1s^{l-m} &\mapsto (-1)^{k(m-l)} s^{k+l-n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the three mentioned $\mathcal{V}$ the functor $-\boxtimes \mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}$, $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$, is a monad. It takes a $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\mathcal{C}$ to the $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$ with the set of objects $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and with the graded modules of morphisms $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})((X, n), (Y, m)) = \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{k}[m - n]$. The composition is given by the following morphism in $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}} &= [(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})((X, n), (Y, m)) \otimes (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})((Y, m), (Z, p))] = \\ \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{k}[m - n] \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathbb{k}[p - m] &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathbb{k}[m - n] \otimes \mathbb{k}[p - m] \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{Z}}} \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathbb{k}[p - n] = (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})((X, n), (Z, p))]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

The unit $u_{[]} = \text{id} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$ of the monad $-\boxtimes \mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}$ is the natural embedding $X \mapsto (X, 0)$ bijective on morphisms. Here $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, $*$ $\mapsto 0$ is the unit of the algebra $\mathcal{Z}$, whose source is the graded category $\mathbb{k}$ with one object.

The $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$ admits an isomorphic form $\mathcal{C}^{[]}$ whose set of objects is $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C}^{[]} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$, likewise $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$. The graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules of morphisms are $\mathcal{C}^{[]}((X, n), (Y, m)) = \mathcal{C}(X, Y)[m - n]$. This graded quiver is identified with $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$ via the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{B} = [\mathcal{C}(X, Y)[m - n] \xrightarrow{s^{n-m}} \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\lambda^! \cdot (1 \otimes s^{m-n})} \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(n, m)]$$

in [BLM07, Chapter 10]. Therefore, in the cases of $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}$ or $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{K}$ the graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module $\mathcal{C}^{[]}((X, n), (Y, m))$ is equipped with the differential $(-1)^{m-n} s^{n-m} d_{\mathcal{C}} s^{m-n}$. Multiplication in $\mathcal{C}^{[]}$ is found from (2.16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{C}^{[]}} &= [\mathcal{C}(X, Y)[m - n] \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)[p - m] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{B}} \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{k}[m - n] \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathbb{k}[p - m] \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}}} \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \otimes \mathbb{k}[p - n] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{B}^{-1}} \mathcal{C}(X, Z)[p - n]] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}(X, Y)[m - n] \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)[p - m] \xrightarrow{(s^{m-n} \otimes s^{p-m})^{-1}} \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{p-n}} \mathcal{C}(X, Z)[p - n]]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

2.20 Definition. We say that a $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\mathcal{C}$ is *closed under shifts* if every object (X, n) of $\mathcal{C}^{[\]}$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{C}^{[\]}$ to some object $(Y, 0)$, $Y = X[n] \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$.

Clearly, $-^{[\]} : \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}$ is also a monad, whose unit $u_{[\]} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{[\]}$ is the natural embedding $X \mapsto (X, 0)$ identity on morphisms. Immediately one finds that a $\mathcal{V}$ -category $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under shifts if and only if the functor $u_{[\]} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{[\]}$ is an equivalence.

The lax symmetric Monoidal base change functor $(H^\bullet, \kappa^I) : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}$ gives, in particular, the Künneth functor $\kappa : H^\bullet \mathcal{C} \boxtimes H^\bullet \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})$, identity on objects. It is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{gr}$ -categories because $\mathcal{Z}(m, n) = \mathbb{k}[n - m]$ are flat graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. Clearly, $\mathcal{Z}$ coincides with $H^\bullet \mathcal{Z}$ as a graded $\mathbb{k}$ -quiver, hence we have the isomorphism $\kappa : (H^\bullet \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})$. Equivalently we may write the isomorphism $(H^\bullet \mathcal{C})^{[\]} \simeq H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}^{[\]})$. From the lax monoidality of $(H^\bullet, \kappa^I)$ we deduce the following equation:

$$H^\bullet(u_{[\]}) = [H^\bullet \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{u_{[\]}} (H^\bullet \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\kappa} H^\bullet(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z})].$$

Therefore, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{K}$ -category closed under shifts, then $H^\bullet \mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbf{gr}$ -category closed under shifts.

For a $\mathbf{gr}$ -category $\mathcal{C}$, the components of the graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ are denoted by $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)^n = \mathcal{C}^n(X, Y)$, $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $\mathbb{k}$ -category $N_*(\mathcal{C})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^0$.

2.21 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $\mathbf{gr}$ -category closed under shifts. Suppose $S^0 : \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ is a right Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -functor. Then there exists a right Serre $\mathbf{gr}$ -functor $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $N_*(S) = S^0$.*

Proof. Let $\psi^0 = (\psi_{X,Y}^0 : \mathcal{C}^0(X, YS^0) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}}(\mathcal{C}^0(Y, X), \mathbb{k}))_{X,Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}}$ be a natural isomorphism. Let $\phi_{X,Y}^0 : \mathcal{C}^0(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^0(X, YS) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, denote the corresponding pairings from (2.12). Define trace functionals $\tau_X^0 : \mathcal{C}^0(X, XS) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, by formula (2.3). We are going to apply Proposition 2.12. For this we need to specify a map $\text{Ob } S : \text{Ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ and trace functionals $\tau_X : \mathcal{C}(X, XS) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. Set $\text{Ob } S = \text{Ob } S^0$. Let the 0-th component of τ_X be equal to the map τ_X^0 , the other components necessarily vanish since $\mathbb{k}$ is concentrated in degree 0. Let us prove that the pairings $\phi_{X,Y}$ given by (2.12) are perfect. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the restriction of $\phi_{X,Y}$ to the summand $\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS)$ is given by

$$\phi_{X,Y} = [\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}^0(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y^0} \mathbb{k}].$$

It can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{X,Y} &= [\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS) = \mathcal{C}^{[\]}((Y, 0), (X, n))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{[\]}((X, n), (YS, 0))^0 \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{(-)^n \mu_{\mathcal{C}^{[\]}}} \mathcal{C}^{[\]}((Y, 0), (YS, 0))^0 = \mathcal{C}^0(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y^0} \mathbb{k}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under shifts, there exist an object $X[n] \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ and an isomorphism $\alpha : (X, n) \rightarrow (X[n], 0)$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\square}$. Using associativity of $\mu_{\mathcal{C}^{\square}}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{X,Y} &= [\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS) = \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (X, n))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}((X, n), (YS, 0))^0 \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{\square}(1, \alpha)^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}(\alpha^{-1}, 1)^0} \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (X[n], 0))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}((X[n], 0), (YS, 0))^0 \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{(-)^n \mu_{\mathcal{C}^{\square}}} \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (YS, 0))^0 = \mathcal{C}^0(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y^0} \mathbb{k}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS) = \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (X, n))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}((X, n), (YS, 0))^0 \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{\square}(1, \alpha)^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}(\alpha^{-1}, 1)^0} \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (X[n], 0))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}((X[n], 0), (YS, 0))^0 \\
&\quad = \mathcal{C}^0(Y, X[n]) \otimes \mathcal{C}^0(X[n], YS) \xrightarrow{(-)^n \mu_{\mathcal{C}^0}} \mathcal{C}^0(Y, YS) \xrightarrow{\tau_Y^0} \mathbb{k}] \\
&= [\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS) = \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (X, n))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}((X, n), (YS, 0))^0 \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{\square}(1, \alpha)^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}(\alpha^{-1}, 1)^0} \mathcal{C}^{\square}((Y, 0), (X[n], 0))^0 \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\square}((X[n], 0), (YS, 0))^0 \\
&\quad = \mathcal{C}^0(Y, X[n]) \otimes \mathcal{C}^0(X[n], YS) \xrightarrow{(-)^n \phi_{X[n], Y}^0} \mathbb{k}].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_{X[n], Y}^0$ is a perfect pairing and the maps $\mathcal{C}^{\square}(1, \alpha)^0$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\square}(\alpha^{-1}, 1)^0$ are invertible, the pairing $\phi_{X, Y}$ is perfect as well. Indeed, it is easy to see that the corresponding maps $\psi_{X, Y}^{-n}$ and $\psi_{X[n], Y}^0$ are related as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{X, Y}^{-n} &= [\mathcal{C}^{-n}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^{\square}(\alpha^{-1}, 1)^0} \mathcal{C}^0(X[n], YS) \xrightarrow{(-)^n \psi_{X[n], Y}^0} \\
&\quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{C}^0(Y, X[n]), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{C}^{\square}(1, \alpha)^0, 1)} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{C}^n(Y, X), \mathbb{k})].
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.12 implies that there is a right Serre **gr**-functor $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Its components are determined unambiguously by equation (2.10). Applying the multifunctor $\widehat{N}$ to it we find that the functor $N_*(S) : \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ satisfies the same equation the functor $S^0 : \mathcal{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ does. By uniqueness of the solution, $N_*(S) = S^0$. $\square$

3. A_{∞} -categories and $\mathcal{K}$ -categories

In this section we recall and deepen the relationship between A_{∞} -categories and $\mathcal{K}$ -categories. It is implemented by a multifunctor $\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{A}_{\infty}^{\mathbf{u}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$ from [BLM07, Chapter 13], where $\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}$ is the symmetric Monoidal category of $\mathcal{K}$ -categories and $\mathcal{K}$ -functors, and $\widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$ is the corresponding symmetric multicategory. This multifunctor extends to non-unital A_{∞} -categories as a sort of multifunctor $\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}^{nu}}$, where $\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}^{nu}$ is the symmetric Monoidal category of non-unital $\mathcal{K}$ -categories and $\mathcal{K}$ -functors, and $\widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}^{nu}}$ is the corresponding symmetric multicategory [loc. cit.].

3.1. Opposite A_{∞} -categories. Recall the following definitions from [LM04, Appendix A]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a graded $\mathbb{k}$ -quiver. Then its *opposite quiver* $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is defined as the quiver with

the same class of objects $\text{Ob } \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, and with graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules of morphisms $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y, X)$.

Let $\gamma : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}$ denote the following anti-isomorphism of coalgebras and algebras (free categories):

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= (-1)^k \omega_c^0 : s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_{k-1}, X_k) \\ &\rightarrow s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\omega^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & k \\ k & k-1 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{S}_k$. Clearly, $\gamma\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(\gamma \otimes \gamma)c = \Delta_0c(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$, which is the anti-isomorphism property. Notice also that $(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma^2 = \text{id}$.

When $\mathcal{A}$ is an A_∞ -category with the codifferential $b : Ts\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}$, then $\gamma b\gamma : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is also a codifferential. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma b\gamma\Delta_0 &= \gamma b\Delta_0c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) = \gamma\Delta_0(1 \otimes b + b \otimes 1)c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \\ &= \Delta_0(\gamma \otimes \gamma)c(1 \otimes b + b \otimes 1)c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) = \Delta_0(\gamma b\gamma \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \gamma b\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The *opposite A_∞ -category* $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ to an A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ is the opposite quiver, equipped with the codifferential $b^{\text{op}} = \gamma b\gamma : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$. The components of b^{op} are computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b_k^{\text{op}} &= (-1)^{k+1} [s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_{k-1}, X_k) \xrightarrow{\omega_c^0} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0) \xrightarrow{b_k} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) = s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The sign $(-1)^k$ in (3.1) ensures that the above definition agrees with the definition of the opposite usual category, meaning that, for an arbitrary A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}) = (\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}$. Indeed, clearly, both categories have $\text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ as the set of objects. Furthermore, for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$,

$$m_1^{\text{op}} = sb_1^{\text{op}}s^{-1} = sb_1s^{-1} = m_1 : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Y, X) = \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y),$$

therefore $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})(X, Y) = (\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), m_1^{\text{op}}) = (\mathcal{A}(Y, X), m_1) = (\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}(X, Y)$. Finally, the compositions in both categories coincide:

$$\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = m_2^{\text{op}} = (s \otimes s)b_2^{\text{op}}s^{-1} = -(s \otimes s)cb_2s^{-1} = c(s \otimes s)b_2s^{-1} = cm_2 = \mu_{(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}}}.$$

In particular, it follows that $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is unital if so is $\mathcal{A}$, with the same unit elements.

For an arbitrary A_∞ -functor $f : \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}$ there is another A_∞ -functor f^{op} defined by the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i & \xrightarrow{f} & Ts\mathcal{B} \\ \boxtimes^{\mathbf{n}} \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{f^{\text{op}}} & Ts\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

Since $\gamma^2 = \text{id}$, the A_∞ -functor f^{op} is found as the composite

$$f^{\text{op}} = [\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{\mathbf{n}} \gamma} \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \xrightarrow{f} Ts\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Ts\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}].$$

A non-unital $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}f : \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}$ is associated with f in [BLM07, Chapter 13]. This $\mathcal{K}$ -functor acts on objects in the same way as f . It is determined by the components f_{e_j} , $e_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}f &= [\otimes^{j \in \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_j(X_j, Y_j) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{j \in \mathbf{n}} (sf_{e_j} s^{-1})} \\ &\quad \otimes^{j \in \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}(((Y_i)_{i < j}, (X_i)_{i \geq j})f, ((Y_i)_{i \leq j}, (X_i)_{i > j})f) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}f, (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}f)], \end{aligned}$$

The case of $n = 1$ was considered in [Lyu03, Proposition 8.6]. According to [BLM07] an A_∞ -functor f is called *unital*, if the $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}f$ is unital. The set of unital A_∞ -functors $\boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}$ is denoted $\mathbf{A}_\infty^{\text{u}}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{n}}; \mathcal{B})$. The assignment $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$, $f \mapsto \mathbf{k}f$ gives a multifunctor $\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{A}_\infty^{\text{u}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$, see [BLM07, Chapter 13].

3.2 Lemma. *For an arbitrary A_∞ -functor $f : \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}$, the $\mathcal{K}$ -functors $\mathbf{k}f^{\text{op}}$, $(\mathbf{k}f)^{\text{op}} : \boxtimes^{i \in \mathbf{n}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ coincide.*

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is straightforward. We provide a proof in the case $n = 2$, which we are going to use later.

Let $f : Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{B} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{C}$ be an A_∞ -functor. The components of f^{op} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{kn}^{\text{op}} &= (-)^{k+n-1} [s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_{k-1}, X_k) \otimes \\ &\quad \otimes s\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}(U_0, U_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}(U_{n-1}, U_n) \\ &= s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes s\mathcal{B}(U_1, U_0) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{B}(U_n, U_{n-1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\pi_c^{kn}} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{B}(U_n, U_{n-1}) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{B}(U_1, U_0) \\ &\xrightarrow{f_{kn}} s\mathcal{C}((X_k, U_n)f, (X_0, U_0)f) = s\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}((X_0, U_0)f, (X_k, U_n)f)], \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_c^{kn} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \dots & k+n \\ k & k-1 & \dots & 1 & k+n & k+n-1 & \dots & k+1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+n}$, and π_c^{kn} is the corresponding signed permutation.

Clearly, both $\mathbf{k}f^{\text{op}}$ and $(\mathbf{k}f)^{\text{op}}$ act as $\text{Ob } f$ on objects. Let $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}f^{\text{op}} &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}(U, V) \xrightarrow{sf_{10}^{\text{op}} s^{-1} \otimes sf_{01}^{\text{op}} s^{-1}} \\ &\quad \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}((X, U)f, (Y, U)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}((Y, U)f, (Y, V)f) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}((X, U)f, (Y, V)f)]. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} f_{10}^{\text{op}} &= f_{10} : s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}((Y, U)f, (X, U)f) = s\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}((X, U)f, (Y, U)f), \\ f_{01}^{\text{op}} &= f_{01} : s\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}(U, V) \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (Y, U)f) = s\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}((Y, U)f, (Y, V)f), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
kf^{\text{op}} &= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V, U) \xrightarrow{sf_{10}s^{-1} \otimes sf_{01}s^{-1}} \\
&\quad \mathcal{C}((Y, U)f, (X, U)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (Y, U)f) \xrightarrow{c} \\
&\quad \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (Y, U)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}((Y, U)f, (X, U)f) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, U)f)] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V, U) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{B}(V, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{sf_{01}s^{-1} \otimes sf_{10}s^{-1}} \\
&\quad \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (Y, U)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}((Y, U)f, (X, U)f) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, U)f)].
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
(kf)^{\text{op}} &= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V, U) \xrightarrow{sf_{10}s^{-1} \otimes sf_{01}s^{-1}} \\
&\quad \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, V)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}((X, V)f, (X, U)f) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, U)f)].
\end{aligned}$$

We must therefore prove the following equation in $\mathcal{K}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V, U) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{B}(V, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{sf_{01}s^{-1} \otimes sf_{10}s^{-1}} \\
&\quad \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (Y, U)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}((Y, U)f, (X, U)f) \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, U)f)] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V, U) \xrightarrow{sf_{10}s^{-1} \otimes sf_{01}s^{-1}} \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, V)f) \otimes \mathcal{C}((X, V)f, (X, U)f) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, U)f)]. \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

By definition of an A_∞ -functor the equation $fb = (b \boxtimes 1 + 1 \boxtimes b)f : Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{B} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{C}$ holds. Restricting it to $s\mathcal{A} \boxtimes s\mathcal{B}$ and composing with $\text{pr}_1 : Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f_{10} \otimes f_{01})b_2 + c(f_{01} \otimes f_{10})b_2 + f_{11}b_1 \\
&= (1 \otimes b_1 + b_1 \otimes 1)f_{11} : s\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{B}(V, U) \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}((Y, V)f, (X, U)f).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(f_{10} \otimes f_{01})b_2 + c(f_{01} \otimes f_{10})b_2$ is a boundary. Therefore,

$$(s \otimes s)(f_{10} \otimes f_{01})b_2 = c(s \otimes s)(f_{01} \otimes f_{10})b_2$$

in $\mathcal{K}$. This implies equation (3.4). □

In particular, f^{op} is a unital A_∞ -functor if f is unital.

3.3 Proposition. *The correspondences $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$, $f \mapsto f^{\text{op}}$ define a symmetric multi-functor $-^{\text{op}} : \mathbf{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\infty$ which restricts to a symmetric multifunctor $-^{\text{op}} : \mathbf{A}_\infty^{\text{u}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\infty^{\text{u}}$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

As an arbitrary symmetric multifunctor between closed multicategories, $-^{\text{op}}$ possesses a closing transformation $\underline{\text{op}} : \underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})$ uniquely determined by the following equation in $\underline{A}_{\infty}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I}, \underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}}] &\xrightarrow{1, \underline{\text{op}}} (\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I}, \underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}}} \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \\ &= (\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}})^{\text{op}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The A_{∞} -functor $(\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}})^{\text{op}}$ acts on objects in the same way as $\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}}$. It follows that $(X_i)_{i \in I}(\underline{f})_{\underline{\text{op}}} = (X_i)_{i \in I}f$ for an arbitrary A_{∞} -functor $f : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a family of objects $X_i \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in I$. The components

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}})^{\text{op}}_{(m_i), m} &= -[\boxtimes^{i \in I} T^{m_i} s\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}} \boxtimes T^m s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^I (\gamma)_I \boxtimes \gamma} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{i \in I} T^{m_i} s\mathcal{A}_i \boxtimes T^m s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}}_{(m_i), m}} s\mathcal{B}] \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

vanish unless $m = 0$ or $m = 1$ since the same holds for $\text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}}_{(m_i), m}$. From equations (3.5) and (3.6) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\boxtimes^{i \in I} 1^{\otimes m_i} \otimes \text{Ob } \underline{\text{op}}) \text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}}_{(m_i), 0} \\ &= -[\boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_{p_i-1}^i, X_{p_i}^i) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}}(f, f) \\ &\quad \simeq \boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_{p_i-1}^i, X_{p_i}^i) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} (-)^{m_i} \omega_c^0} \\ &\quad \otimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_{m_i-p_i}^i, X_{m_i-p_i+1}^i) \xrightarrow{f_{(m_i)}} s\mathcal{B}((X_0^i)_{i \in I}f, (X_{m_i}^i)_{i \in I}f)], \end{aligned}$$

therefore $(f)_{\underline{\text{op}}} = f^{\text{op}} : (\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\boxtimes^{i \in I} 1^{\otimes m_i} \otimes \underline{\text{op}}_1) \text{ev}^{\underline{A}_{\infty}}_{(m_i), 1} \\ &= [\boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_{p_i-1}^i, X_{p_i}^i) \otimes s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}}(f, g) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} (-)^{m_i} \omega_c^0 \otimes 1} \boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_{m_i-p_i}^i, X_{m_i-p_i+1}^i) \otimes s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})(g, f) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} 1 \otimes \text{pr}} \boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_{m_i-p_i}^i, X_{m_i-p_i+1}^i) \\ &\quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_{m_i-p_i}^i, X_{m_i-p_i+1}^i), s\mathcal{B}((X_{m_i}^i)_{i \in I}g, (X_0^i)_{i \in I}f)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{B}((X_{m_i}^i)_{i \in I}g, (X_0^i)_{i \in I}f) = s\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}((X_0^i)_{i \in I}f^{\text{op}}, (X_{m_i}^i)_{i \in I}g^{\text{op}})]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the map $\underline{\text{op}}_1 : s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}}(f, g) \rightarrow s\underline{A}_{\infty}((\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})(f^{\text{op}}, g^{\text{op}})$ takes an A_{∞} -transformation $r : g \rightarrow f : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ to the opposite A_{∞} -transformation $r^{\text{op}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (r)_{\underline{\text{op}}_1} : f^{\text{op}} \rightarrow g^{\text{op}} : (\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ with the components

$$\begin{aligned} [(r)_{\underline{\text{op}}_1}]_{(m_i)} &= (-)^{m_1 + \dots + m_n} [\boxtimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}}(X_{p_i-1}^i, X_{p_i}^i) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} \omega_c^0} \\ &\quad \otimes^{i \in I} \otimes^{p_i \in \mathbf{m}_i} s\mathcal{A}_i(X_{m_i-p_i}^i, X_{m_i-p_i+1}^i) \xrightarrow{r_{(m_i)}} s\mathcal{B}((X_{m_i}^i)_{i \in I}g, (X_0^i)_{i \in I}f)]. \end{aligned}$$

The higher components of $\underline{\text{op}}$ vanish. Similar computations can be performed in the multicategory $\mathbf{A}_\infty^u$. They lead to the same formulas for $\underline{\text{op}}$, which means that the A_∞ -functor $\underline{\text{op}}$ restricts to a unital A_∞ -functor $\underline{\text{op}} : \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})$ if the A_∞ -categories $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in I$, $\mathcal{B}$ are unital.

As an easy application of the above considerations note that if $r : f \rightarrow g : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism of A_∞ -functors, then $r^{\text{op}} : g^{\text{op}} \rightarrow f^{\text{op}} : (\mathcal{A}_i^{\text{op}})_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ is an isomorphism as well.

3.4. The $\mathbb{k}$ -Cat-multifunctor $\mathbf{k}$. The multifunctor $\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{A}_\infty^u \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$ is defined in [BLM07, Chapter 13]. Here we construct its extension to natural A_∞ -transformations as follows. Let $f, g : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be unital A_∞ -functors, $r : f \rightarrow g : (\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a natural A_∞ -transformation. It gives rise to a natural transformation of $\mathcal{K}$ -functors $\mathbf{k}r : \mathbf{k}f \rightarrow \mathbf{k}g : \boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}$. Components of $\mathbf{k}r$ are given by

$$(X_i)_{i \in I} \mathbf{k}r = (X_i)_{i \in I} r_0 s^{-1} : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (X_i)_{i \in I} g), \quad X_i \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}_i, \quad i \in I.$$

Since $r_0 b_1 = 0$, $\mathbf{k}r$ is a chain map. Naturality is expressed by the following equation in $\mathcal{K}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}f} & \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} f) \\ \mathbf{k}g \downarrow & = & \downarrow (1 \otimes (Y_i)_{i \in I} \mathbf{k}r) \mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}} \\ \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} g, (Y_i)_{i \in I} g) & \xrightarrow{((X_i)_{i \in I} \mathbf{k}r \otimes 1) \mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}}} & \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} g). \end{array}$$

Associativity of $\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}}$ allows to write it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} s f e_i s^{-1} \otimes (Y_i)_{i \in I} r_0 s^{-1}} \right. \\ & \quad \boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})f) \otimes \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((Y_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} g) \\ & \quad \left. \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}}^{1 \sqcup I}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} g) \right] \\ &= \left[\boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i) \xrightarrow{(X_i)_{i \in I} r_0 s^{-1} \otimes \boxtimes^{i \in I} s g e_i s^{-1}} \right. \\ & \quad \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (X_i)_{i \in I} g) \otimes \boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})g, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})g) \\ & \quad \left. \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}}^{1 \sqcup I}} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} g) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This equation is a consequence of the following equation in $\mathcal{K}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (s(f|_i^{(Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j > i}})_1 s^{-1} \otimes (Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i} r_0 s^{-1}) \mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}} \\ &= ((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i} r_0 s^{-1} \otimes s(g|_i^{(Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j > i}})_1 s^{-1}) \mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}} : \\ & \quad \mathcal{A}(X_i, Y_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})g), \end{aligned}$$

which in turn follows from the equation $(rB_1)_{e_i} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} (sf_{e_i}s^{-1} \otimes r_0s^{-1})m_2 - (r_0s^{-1} \otimes sg_{e_i}s^{-1})m_2 + sr_{e_i}s^{-1}m_1 + m_1sr_{e_i}s^{-1} \\ = s[(f_{e_i} \otimes r_0)b_2 + (r_0 \otimes g_{e_i})b_2 + r_{e_i}b_1 + b_1r_{e_i}]s^{-1} = 0 : \\ \mathcal{A}(X_i, Y_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})g). \end{aligned}$$

The 2-category $\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}$ is naturally a symmetric Monoidal $\mathbb{k}\text{-Cat}$ -category, therefore $\widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$ is a symmetric $\mathbb{k}\text{-Cat}$ -multicategory. According to the general recipe, for each map $\phi : I \rightarrow J$, the composition in $\widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$ is given by the $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\phi^{\widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}} &= [\boxtimes^{J \sqcup 1}[(\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{i \in \phi^{-1}j} \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_j))_{j \in J}, \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{C})] \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Lambda_{\mathbb{k}\text{-Cat}}^\phi} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{i \in \phi^{-1}j} \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_j) \boxtimes \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^J \boxtimes 1} \\ &\quad \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{j \in J} \boxtimes^{i \in \phi^{-1}j} \mathcal{A}_i, \boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j) \boxtimes \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\lambda^\phi \cdot \text{---}} \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{C})]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the action on natural transformations is given by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{i \in \phi^{-1}j} \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_j)(f_j, g_j) \otimes \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{C})(h, k) \\ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}(\boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{C})((f_j)_{j \in J} \cdot h, (g_j)_{j \in J} \cdot k), \quad \boxtimes^{j \in J} r^j \otimes p \mapsto (r^j)_{j \in J} \cdot p, \end{aligned}$$

where for each collection of objects $X_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} (X_i)_{i \in I}[(r^j)_{j \in J} \cdot p] &= [\mathbb{k} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\lambda^\phi \rightarrow J \sqcup 1} \boxtimes^{J \sqcup 1} \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{j \in J} (X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} r^j \otimes ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j)_{j \in J} p} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} f_j, (X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j) \otimes \mathcal{C}(((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j)_{j \in J} h, ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j)_{j \in J} k) \\ &\xrightarrow{h \otimes 1} \mathcal{C}((X_i)_{i \in I} (f_j)_{j \in J} h, (X_i)_{i \in I} (g_j)_{j \in J} h) \otimes \mathcal{C}((X_i)_{i \in I} (g_j)_{j \in J} h, (X_i)_{i \in I} (g_j)_{j \in J} k) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu^c} \mathcal{C}((X_i)_{i \in I} (f_j)_{j \in J} h, (X_i)_{i \in I} (g_j)_{j \in J} k)]. \end{aligned}$$

The base change functor $H^0 : \mathbf{A}_\infty^u \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Cat}$ turns the symmetric $\mathbf{A}_\infty^u$ -multicategory $\mathbf{A}_\infty^u$ into a symmetric $\mathbb{k}\text{-Cat}$ -multicategory, which we denote by A_∞^u . That is, the objects of A_∞^u are unital A_∞ -categories, and for each collection $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$, $\mathcal{B}$ of unital A_∞ -categories, there is a $\mathbb{k}$ -linear category $A_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B}) = H^0 \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{B})$, whose objects are unital A_∞ -functors, and whose morphisms are equivalence classes of natural A_∞ -transformations. The composition in A_∞^u is given by the $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor $\mu_\phi^{A_\infty^u} = H^0(\mu_\phi^{\mathbf{A}_\infty^u}) = H^0(\mathbf{k}\mu_\phi^{\mathbf{A}_\infty^u})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}\mu_\phi^{\mathbf{A}_\infty^u} &= [\boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j}; \mathcal{B}_j)(f_j, g_j) \otimes \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}; \mathcal{C})(h, k) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{j \in J} sM_{e_j} 0s^{-1} \otimes sM_{0 \dots 01} s^{-1}} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{j \in J} \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{C})(((g_l)_{l < j}, (f_l)_{l \geq j})h, ((g_l)_{l \leq j}, (f_l)_{l > j})h) \\ &\quad \otimes \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{C})((g_j)_{j \in J} h, (g_j)_{j \in J} k) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{A}_\infty^u}^{J \sqcup 1}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{C})} \mathbf{A}_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{C})((f_j)_{j \in J} h, (g_j)_{j \in J} k)]. \end{aligned}$$

3.5 Proposition. *There is a symmetric $\mathbb{k}$ -Cat-multifunctor $k : A_\infty^u \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$.*

Proof. It remains to prove compatibility of k with $\mu_\phi^{A_\infty^u}$ on the level of transformations. Let $r^j \in sA_\infty^u((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j}; \mathcal{B}_j)(f_j, g_j)$, $j \in J$, $p \in sA_\infty^u((\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in J}; \mathcal{C})$ be natural A_∞ -transformations. Then $((r^j s^{-1})_{j \in J}, p s^{-1}) \mu_\phi^{A_\infty^u}$ is the equivalence class of the following A_∞ -transformation:

$$[\otimes^{j \in J} ((g_l)_{l < j}, r^j, (f_l)_{l > j}, h) M_{e_j 0} s^{-1} \otimes ((g_j)_{j \in J}, p) M_{0 \dots 01} s^{-1}] \mu_{kA_\infty^u}^{J \sqcup 1}((\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}; \mathcal{C}).$$

In order to find $k[((r^j s^{-1})_{j \in J}, p s^{-1}) \mu_\phi^{A_\infty^u}]$ we need the 0-th components of the above expression. Since $[(t \otimes q) B_2]_0 = (t_0 \otimes q_0) b_2$, for arbitrary composable A_∞ -transformations t and q , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (X_i)_{i \in I} k[((r^j s^{-1})_{j \in J}, p s^{-1}) \mu_\phi^{A_\infty^u}] \\ &= (\otimes^{j \in J} (X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} [((g_l)_{l < j}, r^j, (f_l)_{l > j}, h) M_{e_j 0}]_0 s^{-1} \otimes \\ & \quad \otimes (X_i)_{i \in I} [((g_j)_{j \in J}, p) M_{0 \dots 01}]_0 s^{-1}) \mu_{k\mathcal{C}}^{J \sqcup 1} \\ &= (\otimes^{j \in J} (X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} r_0^j s^{-1} s(h|_j^{((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}l} g_l)_{l < j}, ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}l} f_l)_{l > j}})_1 s^{-1} \otimes \\ & \quad \otimes ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j)_{j \in J} p_0 s^{-1}) \mu_{k\mathcal{C}}^{J \sqcup 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By associativity of $\mu_{k\mathcal{C}}$, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (\otimes^{j \in J} (X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} r_0^j s^{-1} \cdot \otimes^{j \in J} s(h|_j^{((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}l} g_l)_{l < j}, ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}l} f_l)_{l > j}})_1 s^{-1} \mu_{k\mathcal{C}}^J \\ & \quad \otimes ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j)_{j \in J} p_0 s^{-1}) \mu_{k\mathcal{C}} \\ &= (\otimes^{j \in J} (X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} k r^j \cdot k h \otimes ((X_i)_{i \in \phi^{-1}j} g_j)_{j \in J} k p) \mu_{k\mathcal{C}} = (X_i)_{i \in I} [(k r^j)_{j \in J} \cdot k p]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $k[((r^j s^{-1})_{j \in J}, p s^{-1}) \mu_\phi^{A_\infty^u}] = ((k r^j)_{j \in J}, k p) \mu_\phi^{\widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}}$, hence k is a multifunctor. $\square$

The quotient functor $Q : \mathbf{dg} = \mathbf{C}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ equipped with the identity transformation $\otimes^{i \in I} Q X_i \rightarrow Q \otimes^{i \in I} X_i$ is a symmetric Monoidal functor. It gives rise to a symmetric Monoidal Cat-functor $Q_* : \mathbf{dg}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}$. Let $\widehat{Q}_* : \widehat{\mathbf{dg}\text{-Cat}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}}$ denote the corresponding symmetric Cat-multifunctor.

3.6 Proposition. *There is a multinatural isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\infty^u \times \widehat{\mathbf{dg}\text{-Cat}} & \xrightarrow{\square} & A_\infty^u \\ \downarrow k \times \widehat{Q}_* & \searrow \xi & \downarrow k \\ \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}} \times \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}} & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} & \widehat{\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}} \end{array}$$

where $\square : A_\infty^u \boxtimes \widehat{\mathbf{dg}\text{-Cat}} \rightarrow A_\infty^u$ is the action of differential graded categories on unital A_∞ -categories constructed in [BLM07, Appendices C.10–C.13].

Proof. Given a unital A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ and a differential graded category $\mathcal{C}$, define an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}$ -quivers $\xi : \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_*\mathcal{C}$, identity on objects, as follows. For $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)) &= ((\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)), m_1^{A \boxtimes \mathcal{C}}) \\ &= ((s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V))[-1], sb^{A \boxtimes \mathcal{C}}s^{-1}), \\ (\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_*\mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)) &= \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Q_*\mathcal{C}(U, V) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V), m_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d). \end{aligned}$$

Define ξ by

$$\xi = s(s^{-1} \otimes 1) : (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V))[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V).$$

The morphism ξ commutes with the differential since

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{A \boxtimes \mathcal{C}} \cdot \xi &= sb^{A \boxtimes \mathcal{C}}s^{-1} \cdot s(s^{-1} \otimes 1) = s(b_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes d)(s^{-1} \otimes 1) \\ &= s(s^{-1} \otimes 1)(sb_1s^{-1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d) = \xi \cdot (m_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d), \end{aligned}$$

therefore it is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}$ -quivers. We claim that it also respects the composition. Indeed, suppose $X, Y, Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $U, V, W \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. From [BLM07, (C.10.1)] we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})} &= m_2^{A \boxtimes \mathcal{C}} = [(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)) \otimes (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((Y, V), (Z, W)) \xrightarrow{s \otimes s} \\ &\quad (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V)) \otimes (s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} \\ &\quad (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(U, V) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{b_2 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, W) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Z, W))], \\ \mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_*\mathcal{C}} &= [(\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V)) \otimes (\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} \\ &\quad (\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(U, V) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{m_2 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, W)]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})} \cdot \xi &= [(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)) \otimes (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((Y, V), (Z, W)) \xrightarrow{s \otimes s} \\ &\quad (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V)) \otimes (s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} \\ &\quad (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(U, V) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{b_2 s^{-1} \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, W)], \\ (\xi \otimes \xi) \cdot \mu_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_*\mathcal{C}} &= [(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)) \otimes (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((Y, V), (Z, W)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{s(s^{-1} \otimes 1) \otimes s(s^{-1} \otimes 1)} (\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V)) \otimes (\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} \\ &\quad (\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(U, V) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{m_2 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, W)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((X, U), (Y, V)) \otimes (\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})((Y, V), (Z, W)) \xrightarrow{s \otimes s} \\ &\quad (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, V)) \otimes (s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} \\ &\quad (s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(U, V) \otimes \mathcal{C}(V, W)) \xrightarrow{-(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})m_2 \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U, W)], \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\mu_{k(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})} \cdot \xi = (\xi \otimes \xi) \cdot \mu_{k\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_* \mathcal{C}}$, as $b_2 s^{-1} = -(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})m_2$. The morphism ξ also respects the identity morphisms since

$$1_{(X,U)}^{k(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{C})} \xi = ({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1_U^{\mathcal{C}}) s^{-1} \cdot s(s^{-1} \otimes 1) = ({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^{\mathcal{A}} s^{-1} \otimes 1_U^{\mathcal{C}}) = (1_X^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1_U^{\mathcal{C}}) = 1_{(X,U)}^{k\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_* \mathcal{C}}.$$

Thus, ξ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}$ -categories.

Multinaturality of ξ reduces to the following problem. Let $f : \boxtimes^{i \in I} Ts\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}$ be an A_∞ -functor, $g : \boxtimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a differential graded functor. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boxtimes^{i \in I} k(\mathcal{A}_i \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_i) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^I \xi} & \boxtimes^{i \in I} (k\mathcal{A}_i \boxtimes Q_* \mathcal{C}_i) \\ \downarrow k(f \boxtimes g) & & \downarrow \sigma_{(12)} \cdot (kf \boxtimes \widehat{Q_* g}) \\ k(\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & k\mathcal{B} \boxtimes Q_* \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

must commute; let us prove this. Let $X_i, Y_i \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}_i$, $U_i, V_i \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}_i$, $i \in I$, be families of objects. Then

$$\begin{aligned} k(f \boxtimes g) &= [\boxtimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_i)((X_i, U_i), (Y_i, V_i)) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} s(f \boxtimes g)_{e_i} s^{-1}} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g), \\ &\quad (((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})f, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g)] \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_{k(\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})}^I} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})(((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (U_i)_{i \in I} g), ((Y_i)_{i \in I} f, (V_i)_{i \in I} g)). \end{aligned}$$

From [BLM07, (C.5.1)] we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (f \boxtimes g)_{e_i} &= [s\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{C}(U_i, V_i) \xrightarrow{f_{e_i} \otimes g_{e_i}} \\ s\mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})f) \otimes \\ &\quad \otimes \mathcal{D}(((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{e_i} &= [\mathcal{C}_i(U_i, V_i) \xrightarrow{\lambda^{i:1 \hookrightarrow I} \cdot \boxtimes^{j \in I} [(1_{V_j})_{j < i}, \text{id}, (1_{U_j})_{j > i}]} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{j \in I} [(\mathcal{C}_j(V_j, V_j))_{j < i}, \mathcal{C}_i(U_i, V_i), (\mathcal{C}_j(U_j, U_j))_{j > i}] \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{D}(((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} k(f \boxtimes g) \cdot \xi &= [\boxtimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_i)((X_i, U_i), (Y_i, V_i)) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} s(f_{e_i} \otimes g_{e_i}) s^{-1}} \\ &\quad \boxtimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g), \\ &\quad (((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})f, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g)] \\ &\xrightarrow{\boxtimes^{i \in I} \xi} \boxtimes^{i \in I} [\mathcal{B}(((Y_i)_{i \in I} f, (X_i)_{i \in I} g), ((Y_i)_{i \in I} f, (X_i)_{i \in I} g)) \\ &\quad \otimes \mathcal{D}(((V_i)_{i \in I} g, (U_i)_{i \in I} g), ((V_i)_{i \in I} g, (U_i)_{i \in I} g))] \\ &\xrightarrow{\mu_{k\mathcal{B} \boxtimes Q_* \mathcal{D}}^I} \mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} f) \otimes \mathcal{D}((U_i)_{i \in I} g, (V_i)_{i \in I} g)] \end{aligned}$$

since ξ respects the composition. The above expression can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}(f \sqcup g) \cdot \xi &= [\otimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i \sqcup \mathcal{C}_i)((X_i, U_i), (Y_i, V_i)) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in I} s(s^{-1} \otimes 1)} \\
&\quad \otimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{C}_i(U_i, V_i)) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in I} (s f_{e_i} s^{-1} \otimes g_{e_i})} \\
&\quad \otimes^{i \in I} [\mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})f) \\
&\quad \quad \otimes \mathcal{D}(((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g)] \\
&\xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} (\otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{B}(((Y_j)_{j < i}, (X_j)_{j \geq i})f, ((Y_j)_{j \leq i}, (X_j)_{j > i})f)) \\
&\quad \otimes (\otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{D}(((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}^I \otimes \mu_{\mathcal{D}}^I} \mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} f) \otimes \mathcal{D}((U_i)_{i \in I} g, (V_i)_{i \in I} g)] \\
&= [\otimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i \sqcup \mathcal{C}_i)((X_i, U_i), (Y_i, V_i)) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in I} \xi} \\
&\quad \otimes^{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i) \otimes \mathcal{C}_i(U_i, V_i)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(12)}} (\otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i(X_i, Y_i)) \otimes (\otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i(U_i, V_i)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{(\otimes^{i \in I} s f_{e_i} s^{-1}) \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^I \otimes (\otimes^{i \in I} g_{e_i}) \mu_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{B}((X_i)_{i \in I} f, (Y_i)_{i \in I} f) \otimes \mathcal{D}((U_i)_{i \in I} g, (V_i)_{i \in I} g)] \\
&= \otimes^{i \in I} \xi \cdot \sigma_{(12)} \cdot (\mathbf{k}f \otimes g),
\end{aligned}$$

due to the definition of $\mathbf{k}f$ and the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
[\otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i(U_i, V_i) \xrightarrow{\otimes^{i \in I} g_{e_i}} \otimes^{i \in I} \mathcal{D}(((V_j)_{j < i}, (U_j)_{j \geq i})g, ((V_j)_{j \leq i}, (U_j)_{j > i})g) \\
\xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{D}}^I} \mathcal{D}((U_i)_{i \in I} g, (V_i)_{i \in I} g)] = g,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a consequence of g being a functor and associativity of $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}$. The proposition is proven. $\square$

3.7. A_∞ -categories closed under shifts. Unital A_∞ -categories closed under shifts are defined in [BLM07, Chapter 15] similarly to Definition 2.20. A unital A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under shifts if and only if the A_∞ -functor $u_{[]} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{[]} \simeq \mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an equivalence.

For an arbitrary A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ the operations in $\mathcal{C}^{[]}$ are described explicitly in [BLM07, (15.2.2)]. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_2^{\mathcal{C}^{[]}} &= (-)^{p-n} [s\mathcal{C}^{[]}((X, n), (Y, m)) \otimes s\mathcal{C}^{[]}((Y, m), (Z, p)) \\
&= s\mathcal{C}(X, Y)[m-n] \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y, Z)[p-m] \xrightarrow{(s^{m-n} \otimes s^{p-m})^{-1}} s\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{b_2^{\mathcal{C}}} s\mathcal{C}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{p-n}} s\mathcal{C}(X, Z)[p-n] = s\mathcal{C}^{[]}((X, n), (Z, p))].
\end{aligned}$$

The above proposition implies that the binary operation $m_2^{\mathcal{C}^{[]}} = (s \otimes s)b_2^{\mathcal{C}^{[]}}s^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{[]}$ is homotopic to multiplication in $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{C}^{[]}$ given by formula (2.17). Actually, $m_2^{\mathcal{C}^{[]}}$ is given precisely by chain map (2.17), as one easily deduces from the above expression for $b_2^{\mathcal{C}^{[]}}$.

We have denoted the algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathbf{dg}\text{-Cat}$, “the same” algebra $Q_*\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}$ and “the same” algebra $H^\bullet(Q_*\mathcal{Z})$ in $\mathbf{gr}\text{-Cat}$ all by the same letter $\mathcal{Z}$ by abuse of notation. Since units of the monads $- \square \mathcal{Z}$ and $- \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$ reduce essentially to the unit of the algebra $\mathcal{Z}$, Proposition 3.6 implies the following relation between them:

$$[\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{ku[]} \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A} \square \mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\xi} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_*\mathcal{Z}] = [\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{u[]} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Q_*\mathcal{Z}].$$

Thus, if one of the $\mathcal{K}$ -functors $ku[] : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}(\mathcal{A} \square \mathcal{Z})$ and $u[] : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{Z}$ is an equivalence, then so is the other. The former is a $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalence if and only if the A_∞ -functor $u[] : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \square \mathcal{Z}$ is an equivalence. Therefore, the A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under shifts if and only if the $\mathcal{K}$ -category $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ is closed under shifts.

3.8. Shifts as differential graded functors. Let $f = (f^i : C^i \rightarrow D^{i+\deg f})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(C, D)$ be a homogeneous element (a $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $f : C \rightarrow D$ of certain degree $d = \deg f$). Define $f^{[n]} = (-)^{fn} s^{-n} f s^n = (-)^{dn} (C[n]^i = C^{i+n} \xrightarrow{f^{i+n}} D^{i+n+d} = D[n]^{i+d})$, which is an element of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(C[n], D[n])$ of the same degree $\deg f$.

Define the shift differential graded functor $[n] : \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ as follows. It takes a complex (C, d) to the complex $(C[n], d^{[n]})$, $d^{[n]} = (-)^n s^{-n} d s^n$. On morphisms it acts via $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s^{-n}, 1) \cdot \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^n) : \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(C, D) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(C[n], D[n])$, $f \mapsto f^{[n]}$. Clearly, $[n] \cdot [m] = [n + m]$.

4. A_∞ -modules.

Consider the monoidal category $(\mathcal{Q}/S, \otimes)$ of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -quivers. When $S = \mathbf{1}$ it reduces to the category of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules used by Keller [Kel01] in his definition of A_∞ -modules over A_∞ -algebras. Let C, D be coassociative counital coalgebras; let $\psi : C \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism; let $\delta : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ and $\delta : N \rightarrow N \otimes D$ be counital comodules; let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a ψ -comodule homomorphism, $f\delta = \delta(f \otimes \psi)$; let $\xi : C \rightarrow D$ be a (ψ, ψ) -coderivation, $\xi\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(\psi \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes \psi)$. Define a (ψ, f, ξ) -connection as a morphism $r : M \rightarrow N$ of certain degree such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\delta} & M \otimes C \\ r \downarrow & = & \downarrow f \otimes \xi + r \otimes \psi \\ N & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N \otimes D \end{array}$$

compare with Tradler [Tra01]. Let (C, b^C) be a differential graded coalgebra. Let a counital comodule M have a $(1, 1, b^C)$ -connection $b^M : M \rightarrow M$ of degree 1, that is, $b^M \delta = \delta(1 \otimes b^C + b^M \otimes 1)$. Its curvature $(b^M)^2 : M \rightarrow M$ is always a C -comodule homomorphism of degree 2. If it vanishes, b^M is called a flat connection (a differential) on M .

Equivalently, we consider the category $({}^d\mathcal{Q}/S, \otimes)$ of differential graded quivers, and coalgebras and comodules therein. For A_∞ -applications it suffices to consider coalgebras

(resp. comodules) whose underlying graded coalgebra (resp. comodule) has the form $Ts\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$).

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{Q}/S$ be graded quiver such that $\mathcal{M}(X, Y) = \mathcal{M}(Y)$ depends only on $Y \in S$. For any quiver $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{Q}/S$ the tensor quiver $C = (Ts\mathcal{C}, \Delta_0)$ is a coalgebra. The comodule $\delta = 1 \otimes \Delta_0 : M = s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ is counital. Let $(\mathcal{C}, b^{\mathcal{C}})$ be an A_∞ -category. Equivalently, we consider augmented coalgebras in $({}^d\mathcal{Q}/S, \otimes)$ of the form $(Ts\mathcal{C}, \Delta_0, b^{\mathcal{C}})$. Let $b^{\mathcal{M}} : s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ be a $(1, 1, b^{\mathcal{C}})$ -connection. Define the matrix coefficients of $b^{\mathcal{M}}$ to be

$$b_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} = (1 \otimes \text{in}_m) \cdot b^{\mathcal{M}} \cdot (1 \otimes \text{pr}_n) : s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^m s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^n s\mathcal{C}, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

The coefficients $b_{m0}^{\mathcal{M}} : s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^m s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}$ are abbreviated to $b_m^{\mathcal{M}}$ and called components of $b^{\mathcal{M}}$.

A version of the following statement occurs in [LH03, Lemme 2.1.2.1].

4.1 Lemma. *Any $(1, 1, b^{\mathcal{C}})$ -connection $b^{\mathcal{M}} : s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ is determined in a unique way by its components $b_n^{\mathcal{M}} : s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^n s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}$, $n \geq 0$. The matrix coefficients of $b^{\mathcal{M}}$ are expressed via components of $b^{\mathcal{M}}$ and components of the codifferential $b^{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows:*

$$b_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}} = b_{m-n}^{\mathcal{M}} \otimes 1^{\otimes n} + \sum_{\substack{p+k+q=m \\ p+1+q=n}} 1^{\otimes 1+p} \otimes b_k^{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1^{\otimes q} : s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^m s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^n s\mathcal{C}$$

for $m \geq n$. If $m < n$, the matrix coefficient $b_{mn}^{\mathcal{M}}$ vanishes.

Such comodules are particular cases of bimodules discussed below. That is why statements about comodules are only formulated. We prove more general results in the next section.

The morphism $(b^{\mathcal{M}})^2 : s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ is a $(1, 1, 0)$ -connection of degree 2, therefore equation $(b^{\mathcal{M}})^2 = 0$ is equivalent to its particular case $(b^{\mathcal{M}})^2(1 \otimes \text{pr}_0) = 0 : s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}$. Thus $b^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a flat connection if for each $m \geq 0$ the following equation holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^m (b_{m-n}^{\mathcal{M}} \otimes 1^{\otimes n}) b_n^{\mathcal{M}} + \sum_{p+k+q=m} (1^{\otimes 1+p} \otimes b_k^{\mathcal{C}} \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) b_{p+1+q}^{\mathcal{M}} = 0 : s\mathcal{M} \otimes T^m s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}. \quad (4.1)$$

Equivalently, such a $Ts\mathcal{C}$ -comodule with a flat connection is the $Ts\mathcal{C}$ -comodule $(s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}, b^{\mathcal{M}})$ in the category $({}^d\mathcal{Q}/S, \otimes)$. It consists of the following data: a graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module $\mathcal{M}(X)$ for each object X of $\mathcal{C}$; a family of $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps of degree 1

$$b_n^{\mathcal{M}} : s\mathcal{M}(X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X_{n-1}, X_n) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(X_n), \quad n \geq 0,$$

subject to equations (4.1). Equation (4.1) for $m = 0$ implies $(b_0^{\mathcal{M}})^2 = 0$, that is, $(s\mathcal{M}(X), b_0^{\mathcal{M}})$ is a chain complex, for each object $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. We call a $Ts\mathcal{C}$ -comodule

with a flat connection $(s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}, b^{\mathcal{M}})$, $\mathcal{M}(*, Y) = \mathcal{M}(Y)$, a $\mathcal{C}$ -module (an A_∞ -module over $\mathcal{C}$). $\mathcal{C}$ -modules form a differential graded category $\mathcal{C}\text{-mod}$. The notion of a module over some kind of A_∞ -category was introduced by Lefèvre-Hasegawa under the name of polydule [LH03].

4.2 Proposition. *An arbitrary A_∞ -functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ determines a $Ts\mathcal{C}$ -comodule $s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ with a flat connection $b^{\mathcal{M}}$ by the formulae: $\mathcal{M}(X) = X\phi$, for each object X of $\mathcal{C}$, $b_0^{\mathcal{M}} = s^{-1}ds : s\mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(X)$, where d is the differential in the complex $X\phi$, and for $n > 0$*

$$b_n^{\mathcal{M}} = [s\mathcal{M}(X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X_{n-1}, X_n) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi_n} s\mathcal{M}(X_0) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X_0), \mathcal{M}(X_n)) \xrightarrow{(s \otimes s)^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(X_0) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X_0), \mathcal{M}(X_n)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathcal{M}(X_n) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{M}(X_n)]. \quad (4.2)$$

This mapping from A_∞ -functors to $\mathcal{C}$ -modules is bijective. Moreover, the differential graded categories $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ and $\mathcal{C}\text{-mod}$ are isomorphic.

A $\mathcal{C}$ -module (an A_∞ -module over $\mathcal{C}$) is defined as an A_∞ -functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ by Seidel [Sei06, Section 1j]. The above proposition shows that the both definitions of $\mathcal{C}$ -modules are equivalent. In the differential graded case $\mathcal{C}$ -modules are actively used by Drinfeld [Dri04].

4.3 Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a unital A_∞ -category. A $\mathcal{C}$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ determined by an A_∞ -functor $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is called *unital* if ϕ is unital.

4.4 Proposition. *A $\mathcal{C}$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ is unital if and only if for each $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ the composition*

$$[s\mathcal{M}(X) \simeq s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes_X i_0^{\mathcal{C}}} s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X, X) \xrightarrow{b_1^{\mathcal{M}}} s\mathcal{M}(X)]$$

is homotopic to identity map.

Proof. The second statement expands to the property that

$$[s\mathcal{M}(X) \simeq s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes_X i_0^{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(X, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi_1 s^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{M}(X)]$$

is homotopic to identity. That is,

$${}_X i_0^{\mathcal{C}} \phi_1 s^{-1} = 1_{s\mathcal{M}(X)} + v m_1^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}, \quad \text{or,} \quad {}_X i_0^{\mathcal{C}} \phi_1 = 1_{\mathcal{M}(X)} s + v s b_1^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}.$$

In other words, A_∞ -functor ϕ is unital. □

5. A_∞ -bimodules.

Consider monoidal category $(\mathcal{Q}/S, \otimes)$ of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -quivers. When $S = \mathbf{1}$ it reduces to the category of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules used by Tradler [Tra01, Tra02] in his definition of A_∞ -bimodules over A_∞ -algebras. We extend his definitions of A_∞ -bimodules improved in [TT06] from graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules to graded $\mathbb{k}$ -quivers. The notion of a bimodule over some kind of A_∞ -categories was introduced by Lefèvre-Hasegawa under the name of bipolydule [LH03].

5.1 Definition. Let A, C be coassociative counital coalgebras in $(\mathcal{Q}/R, \otimes)$ resp. $(\mathcal{Q}/S, \otimes)$. A *counital (A, C) -bicomodule* (P, δ^P) consists of a graded $\mathbb{k}$ -span (**gr-span**) P with $\text{Ob}_s P = R$, $\text{Ob}_t P = S$, $\text{Par } P = \text{Ob}_s P \times \text{Ob}_t P$, $\text{src} = \text{pr}_1$, $\text{tgt} = \text{pr}_2$ and a coaction $\delta^P = (\delta', \delta'') : P \rightarrow (A \otimes_R P) \oplus (P \otimes_S C)$ of degree 0 such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (A \otimes_R P) \oplus (P \otimes_S C) \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow (\Delta \otimes 1) \oplus (\delta \otimes 1) \\ (A \otimes_R P) \oplus (P \otimes_S C) & \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \delta) \oplus (1 \otimes \Delta)} & (A \otimes_R A \otimes_R P) \oplus (A \otimes_R P \otimes_S C) \\ & & \oplus (P \otimes_S C \otimes_S C) \end{array}$$

and $\delta' \cdot (\varepsilon \otimes 1) = 1 = \delta'' \cdot (1 \otimes \varepsilon) : P \rightarrow P$.

The equation presented on the diagram consists in fact of three equations claiming that P is a left A -comodule, a right C -comodule and the coactions commute.

Let A, B, C, D be coassociative counital coalgebras; let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$, $\psi : C \rightarrow D$ be homomorphisms; let $\chi : A \rightarrow B$ be a (ϕ, ϕ) -coderivation and let $\xi : C \rightarrow D$ be a (ψ, ψ) -coderivation of certain degree, that is, $\chi \Delta = \Delta(\phi \otimes \chi + \chi \otimes \phi)$, $\xi \Delta = \Delta(\psi \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes \psi)$. Let $\delta : P \rightarrow (A \otimes P) \oplus (P \otimes C)$ be a counital (A, C) -bicomodule and let $\delta : Q \rightarrow (B \otimes Q) \oplus (Q \otimes D)$ be a counital (B, D) -bicomodule. A $\mathbb{k}$ -span morphism $f : P \rightarrow Q$ of degree 0 with $\text{Ob}_s f = \text{Ob } \phi$, $\text{Ob}_t f = \text{Ob } \psi$ is a (ϕ, ψ) -bicomodule homomorphism if $f\delta' = \delta'(\phi \otimes f) : P \rightarrow B \otimes Q$ and $f\delta'' = \delta''(f \otimes \psi) : P \rightarrow Q \otimes D$. Define a $(\phi, \psi, f, \chi, \xi)$ -connection as a $\mathbb{k}$ -span morphism $r : P \rightarrow Q$ of certain degree with $\text{Ob}_s r = \text{Ob } \phi$, $\text{Ob}_t r = \text{Ob } \psi$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (A \otimes P) \oplus (P \otimes C) \\ r \downarrow & & \downarrow (\phi \otimes r + \chi \otimes f) \oplus (f \otimes \xi + r \otimes \psi) \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (B \otimes Q) \oplus (Q \otimes D) \end{array}$$

Let $(A, b^A), (C, b^C)$ be differential graded coalgebras and let P be an (A, C) -bicomodule with an $(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_C, \text{id}_P, b^A, b^C)$ -connection $b^P : P \rightarrow P$ of degree 1, that is, $b^P \delta' = \delta'(1 \otimes b^P + b^A \otimes 1)$ and $b^P \delta'' = \delta''(1 \otimes b^C + b^P \otimes 1)$. Its *curvature* $(b^P)^2 : P \rightarrow P$ is always an (A, C) -bicomodule homomorphism of degree 2. If it vanishes, b^P is called a *flat connection* (a differential) on P .

Taking for (A, b^A) the trivial differential graded coalgebra $\mathbb{k}$ with the trivial coactions we recover the notions introduced in Section 4. Namely, an (A, C) -bicomodule P with an $(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_C, \text{id}_P, b^A, b^C)$ -connection $b^P : P \rightarrow P$ of degree 1 is the same as a C -comodule with a $(1, 1, b^C)$ -connection, both flatness conditions coincide, etc.

Equivalently, bicomodules with flat connections are bicomodules which live in the category of differential graded spans. The set of A - C -bicomodules becomes the set of objects of a differential graded category A - C -bicomod. For differential graded bicomodules P, Q , the k -th component of the graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module A - C -bicomod(P, Q) consists of $(\text{id}_A, \text{id}_C)$ -bicomodule homomorphisms $t : P \rightarrow Q$ of degree k . The differential of t is the commutator $tm_1 = tb^Q - (-)^t b^P t : P \rightarrow Q$, which is again a homomorphism of bicomodules, naturally of degree $k + 1$. Composition of homomorphisms of bicomodules is the ordinary composition of $\mathbb{k}$ -span morphisms.

The main example of a bicomodule is the following. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be graded $\mathbb{k}$ -quivers. Let $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ be **gr**-spans with $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob} \mathcal{A}$, $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob} \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{Q} = \text{Ob} \mathcal{B}$, $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{Q} = \text{Ob} \mathcal{D}$, $\text{Par} \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P} \times \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}$, $\text{Par} \mathcal{Q} = \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{Q} \times \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{Q}$, $\text{src} = \text{pr}_1$, $\text{tgt} = \text{pr}_2$. Take coalgebras $A = Ts\mathcal{A}$, $B = Ts\mathcal{B}$, $C = Ts\mathcal{C}$, $D = Ts\mathcal{D}$ and bicomodules $P = Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$, $Q = Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}$ equipped with the cut comultiplications (coactions)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_1, \dots, a_i) \otimes (a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), \\ \delta(a_1, \dots, a_k, p, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_{k+l}) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (a_1, \dots, a_i) \otimes (a_{i+1}, \dots, p, \dots, c_{k+l}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=k}^{k+l} (a_1, \dots, p, \dots, c_i) \otimes (c_{i+1}, \dots, c_{k+l}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that a graded quiver $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{Q}/S$ such that $\mathcal{M}(X, Y) = \mathcal{M}(Y)$ depends only on $Y \in S$ is nothing else but a **gr**-span $\mathcal{M}$ with $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{M} = \{*\}$, $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{M} = S$. Thus, $Ts\mathcal{C}$ -comodules of the form $s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ from Section 4 are nothing else but $Ts\mathcal{A}$ - $Ts\mathcal{C}$ -bicomodules $Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{M} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ for the graded quiver $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{1}_u$ with one object $*$ and with $\mathbb{1}_u(*, *) = 0$. Furthermore, A_∞ -modules $\mathcal{M}$ over an A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ are the same as $\mathbb{1}_u$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodules, as defined before Proposition 5.3.

Let $\phi : Ts\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B}$, $\psi : Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{D}$ be augmented coalgebra morphisms. Let $g : P \rightarrow Q$ be a $\mathbb{k}$ -span morphism of certain degree with $\text{Ob}_s g = \text{Ob} \phi$, $\text{Ob}_t g = \text{Ob} \psi$. Define the matrix coefficients of g to be

$$\begin{aligned} g_{kl;mn} &= (\text{in}_k \otimes 1 \otimes \text{in}_l) \cdot g \cdot (\text{pr}_m \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_n) : \\ &\quad T^k s\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes T^l s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T^m s\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes T^n s\mathcal{D}, \quad k, l, m, n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients $g_{kl;00} : T^k s\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes T^l s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{Q}$ are abbreviated to g_{kl} and called components of g . Denote by $\tilde{g}$ the composite $g \cdot (\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0) : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{Q}$. The restriction of $\tilde{g}$ to the summand $T^k s\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes T^l s\mathcal{C}$ is precisely the component g_{kl} .

Let $f : P \rightarrow Q$ be a (ϕ, ψ) -bicomodule homomorphism. It is uniquely recovered from its components similarly to Tradler [Tra01, Lemma 4.2]. Let us supply the details. The coaction δ^P has two components,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta' &= \Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}, \\ \delta'' &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0 : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C},\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for δ^Q . As f is a (ϕ, ψ) -bicomodule homomorphism, it satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned}f(\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) &= (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\phi \otimes f) : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}, \\ f(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) &= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(f \otimes \psi) : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}f(\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) &= (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(\phi \otimes f \otimes \psi) : \\ &Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Composing both sides with the morphism

$$1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 : Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}, \quad (5.1)$$

and taking into account the identities $\Delta_0(1 \otimes \text{pr}_0) = 1$, $\Delta_0(\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1) = 1$, we obtain

$$f = (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(\phi \otimes \tilde{f} \otimes \psi). \quad (5.2)$$

This equation implies the following formulas for the matrix coefficients of f :

$$\begin{aligned}f_{kl;mn} &= \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_m + p = k \\ j_1 + \dots + j_n + q = l}} (\phi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_m} \otimes f_{pq} \otimes \psi_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{j_n}) : \\ &T^k s\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes T^l s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T^m s\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes T^n s\mathcal{D}, \quad k, l, m, n \geq 0. \quad (5.3)\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $k < m$ or $l < n$, the matrix coefficient $f_{kl;mn}$ vanishes.

Let $r : P \rightarrow Q$ be a $(\phi, \psi, f, \chi, \xi)$ -connection. It satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}r(\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) &= (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\phi \otimes r + \chi \otimes f) : \\ &Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}, \\ r(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) &= (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(f \otimes \xi + r \otimes \psi) : \\ &Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}.\end{aligned}$$

They imply that

$$\begin{aligned}r(\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) &= (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(\phi \otimes f \otimes \xi + \phi \otimes r \otimes \psi + \chi \otimes f \otimes \psi) : \\ &Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes Ts\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D} \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Composing both side with the morphism (5.1) we obtain

$$r = (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(\phi \otimes \check{f} \otimes \xi + \phi \otimes \check{r} \otimes \psi + \chi \otimes \check{f} \otimes \psi). \quad (5.4)$$

From this equation we find the following expression for the matrix coefficient $r_{kl;mn}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p+1+q=n \\ i_1+\dots+i_m+i=k \\ j+j_1+\dots+j_p+t+j_{p+1}+\dots+j_{p+q}=l}} \phi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_m} \otimes f_{ij} \otimes \psi_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{j_p} \otimes \xi_t \otimes \psi_{j_{p+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{j_{p+q}} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_m+i=k \\ j+j_1+\dots+j_n=l}} \phi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_m} \otimes r_{ij} \otimes \psi_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{j_n} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{a+1+c=m \\ i_1+\dots+i_a+u+i_{a+1}+\dots+i_{a+c}+i=k \\ j+j_1+\dots+j_n=l}} \phi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_a} \otimes \chi_u \otimes \phi_{i_{a+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_{a+c}} \otimes f_{ij} \otimes \psi_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_{j_n} : \\ & T^k s\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes T^l s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T^m s\mathcal{B} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes T^n s\mathcal{D}, \quad k, l, m, n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ be A_∞ -categories and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a **gr**-span with $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob} \mathcal{A}$, $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob} \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Par} \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P} \times \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}$, $\text{src} = \text{pr}_1$, $\text{tgt} = \text{pr}_2$. Let $A = Ts\mathcal{A}$, $C = Ts\mathcal{C}$, and consider the bicomodule $P = Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$. The set of $(1, 1, 1, b^A, b^C)$ -connections $b^{\mathcal{P}} : P \rightarrow P$ of degree 1 with $(b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}})^2 = 0$ is in bijection with the set of augmented coalgebra homomorphisms $\phi^{\mathcal{P}} : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Indeed, collections of complexes $(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(X, Y), d)_{\substack{X \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{A} \\ Y \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{C}}}^A$ are identified with the **dg**-spans $(\mathcal{P}, sb_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} s^{-1})$. In particular, for each pair of objects $X \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{C}$ holds $(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(X, Y))[1] = (s\mathcal{P}(X, Y), -b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}})$. The components $b_{kn}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\phi_{kn}^{\mathcal{P}}$ are related for $(k, n) \neq (0, 0)$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} b_{kn}^{\mathcal{P}} &= [s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_0, Y_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \\ & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma} \otimes 1^{\otimes n}} \\ & s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_{k-1}, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_0, Y_1) \otimes \dots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \phi_{kn}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0), \mathcal{P}(X_k, Y_n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0), \mathcal{P}(X_k, Y_n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0), s\mathcal{P}(X_k, Y_n)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathbb{k}}} s\mathcal{P}(X_k, Y_n)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} = (12 \dots k + 1) \cdot \gamma$, and anti-isomorphism γ is defined by (3.1).

The components of $b^{\mathcal{P}}$ can be written in a more concise form. Given objects $X, Y \in$

$\text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, Z, W \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Z), \mathcal{P}(Y, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{(s \otimes s)^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Z), \mathcal{P}(Y, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathcal{P}(Y, W) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{P}(Y, W)] \\
&= [Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Z), \mathcal{P}(Y, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(X, Z), s\mathcal{P}(Y, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, W)], \quad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma : Ts\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ is the coalgebra anti-isomorphism (3.1), and $\check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} = \phi^{\mathcal{P}} \text{pr}_1 : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. Conversely, components of the A_{∞} -functor $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}$ can be found as

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1} Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(X, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (c \otimes 1)\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}})} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(X, Z), s\mathcal{P}(Y, W)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Z), \mathcal{P}(Y, W))]. \quad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Define also

$$\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} = [Ts\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, Z)]. \quad (5.8)$$

Note that $\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}$ vanishes on $T^0s\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X, Z) \otimes T^0s\mathcal{C}(Z, W)$ since $\check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}$ vanishes on $T^0s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^0s\mathcal{C}(Z, W)$. It follows that $\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} = \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} + \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}}$.

The following statement was proven by Lefèvre-Hasegawa in assumption that the ground ring is a field [LH03, Lemme 5.3.0.1].

5.2 Proposition. $b^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a flat connection, that is, $(Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}, b^{\mathcal{P}})$ is a bicomodule in ${}^d\mathcal{Q}$, if and only if the corresponding augmented coalgebra homomorphism $\phi^{\mathcal{P}} : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is an A_{∞} -functor.

Proof. According to (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
b^{\mathcal{P}} &= (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}} + 1 \otimes \check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1 + b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1) \\
&= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}} + (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) + b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathbb{k}$ -span morphism $(b^{\mathcal{P}})^2 : P \rightarrow P$ is a $(1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ -connection of degree 2, therefore the equation $(b^{\mathcal{P}})^2 = 0$ is equivalent to its particular case $(b^{\mathcal{P}})^2(\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0) = 0 : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{P}$. In terms of $\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}}$, the latter reads as follows:

$$(b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}})\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} + (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1)\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Note that $(b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}})\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} = (b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}})\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}$, since $b^{\mathcal{A}}\text{pr}_0 = 0$, $b^{\mathcal{C}}\text{pr}_0 = 0$. The second term in the above equation splits into the sum of four summands, which we are going to compute separately. First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1)\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} &= (\Delta_0(\text{pr}_0 \otimes \text{pr}_0) \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta(\text{pr}_0 \otimes \text{pr}_0))(b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}})^2 \\ &= (\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0)s^{-1}d^2s = 0 : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1)\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} + (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1)\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \\ &= (\Delta_0(\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1) \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0(1 \otimes \text{pr}_0))\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} + (\Delta_0(1 \otimes \text{pr}_0) \otimes b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \Delta(\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1))\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \\ &= \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} + (1 \otimes b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1)\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W) \xrightarrow{b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)] \\ &+ [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)] \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} - (b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)]. \end{aligned}$$

The complexes $s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z)$ and $s\mathcal{P}(X, W)$ carry the differential $-b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Since $\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}$ is a chain

map, it follows that $\text{ev}^{C_k} b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} - (b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_k} = -(1 \otimes m_1^{C_k}) \text{ev}^{C_k}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} + (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \\
&= -[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{(1 \otimes m_1^{C_k}) \text{ev}^{C_k}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $[1]$ is a differential graded functor, it follows that $[1]m_1^{C_k} = m_1^{C_k}[1]$. Together with the relation $b_1^{C_k}s^{-1} = s^{-1}m_1^{C_k}$ this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} + (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \\
&= -[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} b_1^{C_k}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_k}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} = [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-1} \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes [1] \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_k(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \text{ev}^{C_k} \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum c \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes s^{-1}} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes [1]} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(U, V), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\Sigma \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

The latter can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes [1] \otimes [1]} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(U, V), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma (\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identities $\Delta_0 c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) = \gamma \Delta_0$ (see [LM04, Section A.4]), $(\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} = (1 \otimes m_2^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$, and $(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}) m_2^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} = -b_2^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} s^{-1}$, we transform the above expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} = -[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\Sigma 1 \otimes b_2^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
(b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}}) \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\
&s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes (b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}}) \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{(s \otimes s)^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathcal{P}(X, W) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{P}(X, W)],
\end{aligned}$$

since $b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = \gamma b^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X)$. We conclude that the left hand side of (5.9) equals $(c \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R)(s \otimes s)^{-1} \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} s^{-1}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{\check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(Y, Z), \phi^{\mathcal{P}}(X, W))] \\
&- \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} - [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} \\
&\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(Y, Z), \phi^{\mathcal{P}}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(U, V), \phi^{\mathcal{P}}(X, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\sum b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(Y, Z), \phi^{\mathcal{P}}(X, W))].
\end{aligned}$$

By closedness, $b^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a flat connection if and only if $R = 0$, for all objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $Z, W \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, that is, if $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}$ is an A_{∞} -functor. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ be A_{∞} -categories. The full subcategory of the differential graded category $Ts\mathcal{A}-Ts\mathcal{C}$ -bicomod consisting of $\mathbf{dg}$ -bicomodules whose underlying graded bicomodule has the form $Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{C}$ -bimod. Its objects are called A_{∞} -bimodules, extending the terminology of Tradler [Tra01].

5.3 Proposition. *The differential graded categories $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{C}$ -bimod and $\underline{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Proposition 5.2 establishes a bijection between the sets of objects of the differential graded categories $\underline{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ and $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{C}$ -bimod. Let us extend it to an isomorphism of differential graded categories. Let $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ be A_{∞} -functors, $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ the corresponding $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{C}$ -bimodules. Define a $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $\Phi : \underline{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{C}\text{-bimod}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ of degree 0 as follows. An element $rs^{-1} \in \underline{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)$ is

mapped to an $(\text{id}_{Ts\mathcal{A}}, \text{id}_{Ts\mathcal{C}})$ -bicomodule homomorphism $t = (rs^{-1})\Phi : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ given by its components

$$\begin{aligned} t_{kn} &= (-)^{r+1} [\\ &s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_0, Y_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma} \otimes 1^{\otimes n}} \\ &s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_{k-1}, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_0, Y_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes r_{kn}} s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0), \mathcal{Q}(X_k, Y_n)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \\ &s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(X_0, Y_0), s\mathcal{Q}(X_k, Y_n)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X_k, Y_n)], \quad k, n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

or more concisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{t} &= (-)^{r+1} [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\ &s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{r}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{r} = r \cdot \text{pr}_1 \in \text{span}(Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C}, s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\text{Ob } \phi, \text{Ob } \psi)$. Closedness of **gr** implies that the map $\Phi : \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-}\mathcal{C}\text{-bimod}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is an isomorphism. Let us prove that it also commutes with the differential. We must prove that $((rs^{-1})\Phi)d = (rs^{-1}m_1^{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})})\Phi = ((rB_1)s^{-1})\Phi$ for each element $r \in s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)$. Since the both sides of the equation are $(\text{id}_{Ts\mathcal{A}}, \text{id}_{Ts\mathcal{C}})$ -bicomodule homomorphisms of degree $\deg r + 1$, it suffices to prove the equation $[((rs^{-1})\Phi)d]^{\vee} = [((rB_1)s^{-1})\Phi]^{\vee}$. Using (5.4), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [((rs^{-1})\Phi)d]^{\vee} &= (td)^{\vee} = (t \cdot b^{\mathcal{Q}})^{\vee} - (-)^t (b^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot t)^{\vee} = t \cdot \check{b}^{\mathcal{Q}} - (-)^t b^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \check{t} \\ &= t \cdot \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{Q}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

$$- (-)^t (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t} \tag{5.11}$$

$$+ t \cdot \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{Q}} - (-)^t (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t} \tag{5.12}$$

$$- (-)^t (b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{C}}) \check{t}. \tag{5.13}$$

Let us compute summands (5.10)–(5.13) separately. According to (5.2), expression (5.10) equals

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{Q}} &= (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{t} \otimes 1) \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= (-)^{r+1} [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0} \\ &\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\ &\bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \check{r} \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum c \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{\psi}} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(s\mathcal{Q}(U, V), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, the above composite can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{r+1} [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{r} \otimes \check{\psi}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbf{k}}(s\mathcal{Q}(U, V), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum (\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the already mentioned identities $\Delta_0 c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) = \gamma \Delta_0$, $(\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}} = (1 \otimes m_2^{C_{\mathbf{k}}}) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbf{k}}}$, and $(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1})m_2^{C_{\mathbf{k}}} = -b_2^{C_{\mathbf{k}}} s^{-1}$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
t \cdot \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{Q}} &= (-)^r [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{r} \otimes \check{\psi}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes b_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, composite (5.11) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(-)^t(\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1)\check{t} = -[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \check{\phi} \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum c \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{r}} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \\
& \quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(U, V) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(U, V), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)] \\
& = (-)^{r+1}[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{\phi} \otimes \check{r}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(U, V), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum (\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)] \\
& = (-)^r [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{\phi} \otimes \check{r}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(U, V), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes t_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.2), expression (5.12) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& t \cdot \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{Q}} - (-)^t (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) (1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t} \\
& = (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) (1 \otimes \check{t} \otimes 1) (\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0) b_{00}^{\mathcal{Q}} \\
& \quad - (-)^t (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) (1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0 \otimes 1) (1 \otimes b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t} \\
& = \check{t} \cdot b_{00}^{\mathcal{Q}} - (-)^t (1 \otimes b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& t \cdot \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{Q}} - (-)^t (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) (1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t} \\
& = (-)^{r+1} [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{r}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W) \xrightarrow{b_{00}^{\mathcal{Q}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)] \\
& - [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tilde{r}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W) \\
& = (-)^{r+1} [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tilde{r}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \cdot b_{00}^{\mathcal{Q}} - (b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

The complexes $s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z)$ and $s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)$ carry the differential $-b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Since $\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$ is a chain map, it follows that $\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} - (b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} = -(1 \otimes m_1^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$. Together with the relation $b_1^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} s^{-1}[1] = s^{-1}[1] m_1^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$ this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& t \cdot \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{Q}} - (-)^t (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0) (1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1) \check{t} \\
& = (-)^r [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tilde{r} b_1^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (-)^t (b^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{E}}) \check{t} \\
& = - [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{E}}) \tilde{r}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we conclude that $(td)^{\vee} = (-)^r (c \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R)(1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum (\check{\phi} \otimes \check{r} + \check{r} \otimes \check{\psi}) b_2^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\
& s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W))] + \check{r} b_1^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} - (-)^r (b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{E}}) \check{r} \\
& = [r b^{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} - (-)^r (b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b^{\mathcal{E}}) r]^{\vee} = [r B_1]^{\vee}.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows.

Let us prove that the constructed chain maps are compatible with the composition. Let $\phi, \psi, \chi : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ be A_{∞} -functors, $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{T}$ the corresponding $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodules. Pick arbitrary $r \in s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)$ and $q \in s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \chi)$, and denote by $t = (rs^{-1})\Phi$ and $u = (qs^{-1})\Phi$ the corresponding bicomodule homomorphisms. We must show that

$$t \cdot u = ((rs^{-1} \otimes qs^{-1})m_2^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})})\Phi = (-)^{q+1}((r \otimes q)B_2s^{-1})\Phi.$$

Again, it suffices to prove the equation $(t \cdot u)^{\vee} = (-)^{q+1}[(r \otimes q)B_2s^{-1}\Phi]^{\vee}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (t \cdot u)^{\vee} &= t \cdot \check{u} = (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{t} \otimes 1)\check{u} \\ &= (-)^{r+q}[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \check{r} \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum c \otimes 1} \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{q}} \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{T}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{Q}(U, V) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{Q}(U, V), s\mathcal{T}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{T}(X, W)] \\ &= (-)^r[Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 c(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{q}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{T}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{Q}(U, V), s\mathcal{T}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum (\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{T}(X, W)] \\
= & (-)^{r+1} [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{q}} \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{T}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{T}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{T}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{T}(X, W)].
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to note that

$$\begin{aligned}
[(r \otimes q)B_2]^{\vee} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes \Delta_0} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes c \otimes 1} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(U, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{q}} \\
& \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(U, V)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{Q}(U, V), \mathcal{T}(X, W)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sum b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{T}(X, W))],
\end{aligned}$$

and $(-)^{r+1} = (-)^{q+1}(-)^{(r+q+1)+1} = (-)^{q+1}(-)^{\deg[(r \otimes q)B_2]+1}$. The claim follows from the definition of Φ .

Both $\mathbf{dg}$ -categories $\mathcal{A}\text{-}\mathcal{C}\text{-bimod}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ are unital. The units are the identity morphisms in the ordinary categories $Z^0(\mathcal{A}\text{-}\mathcal{C}\text{-bimod})$ and $Z^0(\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}))$. The $\mathbf{dg}$ -functor Φ induces an isomorphism $Z^0\Phi$ of these categories. Hence, $Z^0\Phi$ is unital. In other words, Φ is unital. The proposition is proven. $\square$

Let us write explicitly the inverse map $\Phi^{-1} : \mathcal{A}\text{-}\mathcal{C}\text{-bimod}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)$. It takes a bicomodule homomorphism $t : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$ to an

A_∞ -transformation $rs^{-1} \in \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)$ given by its components

$$\begin{aligned} \check{r} = (-)^t & \left[Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \right. \\ & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (c \otimes 1)\check{t})} \\ & \left. \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{Q}(X, W)) \right]. \quad (5.14) \end{aligned}$$

5.4. Regular A_∞ -bimodule. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -category. Extending the notion of regular A_∞ -bimodule given by Tradler [Tra01, Lemma 5.1(a)] from the case of A_∞ -algebras to A_∞ -categories, define the *regular $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule* $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as follows. Its underlying quiver coincides with $\mathcal{A}$. Components of the codifferential $b^{\mathcal{R}}$ are given by

$$\check{b}^{\mathcal{R}} = [Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{A} \otimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}}} Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{A}}} s\mathcal{A}],$$

where $\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}}$ is the multiplication in the tensor quiver $Ts\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, $b_{kn}^{\mathcal{R}} = b_{k+1+n}^{\mathcal{A}}$, $k, n \geq 0$. Flatness of $b^{\mathcal{R}}$ in form (5.9) is equivalent to the A_∞ -identity $b^{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \check{b}^{\mathcal{A}} = 0$. Indeed, the three summands of the left hand side of (5.9) correspond to three kinds of subintervals of the interval $[1, k+1+n] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Subintervals of the first two types miss the point $k+1$ and those of the third type contain it.

5.5 Definition. Define an A_∞ -functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ as the A_∞ -functor $\phi^{\mathcal{R}}$ that corresponds to the regular $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

The A_∞ -functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ takes a pair of objects $X, Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ to the chain complex $(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), m_1)$. The components of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are found from equation (5.7):

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{kn} &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1} T^k s\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (c \otimes 1)b_{k+1+n}^{\mathcal{A}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, W))]. \quad (5.15) \end{aligned}$$

Closedness of the multicategory $\mathbf{A}_\infty$ [BLM07, Theorem 12.19] implies that there exists a unique A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ (called the *Yoneda A_∞ -functor*) such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} = [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{1, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathbf{A}_\infty}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}].$$

Explicit formula [BLM07, (12.25.4)] for evaluation component $\text{ev}_{k0}^{\mathbf{A}_\infty}$ shows that the value of $\mathcal{Y}$ on an object Z of $\mathcal{A}$ is given by the restriction A_∞ -functor

$$Z\mathcal{Y} = H^Z = H_{\mathcal{A}}^Z = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \Big|_Z : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}, \quad X \mapsto (\mathcal{A}(X, Z), m_1) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z)$$

with the components

$$\begin{aligned}
H_k^Z &= (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{k0} = (-1)^k [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \omega_c^0 b_{k+1}^A)} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, Z))], \quad (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & k-1 & k \\ k & k-1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+1}$, and ω_c^0 is the corresponding signed permutation. Restrictions of A_∞ -functors in general are defined in [BLM07, Section 12.18], in particular, the k -th component of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}|_1^Z$ described by [loc. cit., (12.18.2)] equals $(1, \text{Ob } \mathcal{Y}) \text{ev}_{k0}^{A_\infty}$. Equivalently, components of the A_∞ -functor $H^Z : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$ are determined by the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
s^{\otimes k} H_k^Z s^{-1} &= (-1)^{k(k+1)/2+1} [T^k \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \omega_c^0 m_{k+1}^A)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, Z))].
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\text{ev}_{km}^{A_\infty}$ vanishes unless $m \leq 1$. Formula [BLM07, (12.25.4)] for the component $\text{ev}_{k1}^{A_\infty}$ implies that the component $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{kn}$ is determined for $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ by $\mathcal{Y}_{nk}$ which is the composition of $\mathcal{Y}_n$ with

$$\text{pr}_k : s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^Z, H^W) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(XH^Z, YH^W))$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{kn} &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{nk}} T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, W))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_k}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, W))].
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, the component $\mathcal{Y}_n$ is determined by the components $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{kn}$ for all $k \geq 0$ via the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{nk} &= [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{kn})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, W)))].
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging in expression (5.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{nk} &= [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{coev}^{C_k})} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, (1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(c \otimes 1) b_{k+1+n}^A))} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, [-1]^s)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{A}(Y, W)))].
\end{aligned}$$

Another kind of the Yoneda A_∞ -functor $Y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ was introduced in [LM04, Appendix A]. Actually, it was defined there as an A_∞ -functor from $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ to $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$. It turns out that Y which we shall call the *shifted Yoneda A_∞ -functor* differs from $\mathcal{Y}$ by a shift:

$$Y = \mathcal{Y} \cdot \underline{A}_\infty(1; [1]) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k). \quad (5.17)$$

Indeed, an object Z of $\mathcal{A}$ is taken by Y to the A_∞ -functor $ZY = h^Z : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$, $X \mapsto (s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), -b_1) = (\mathcal{A}(X, Z), m_1)[1] = (XH^Z)[1]$. The components of $H^Z \cdot [1]$

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^Z s^{-1}[1]s &= (-1)^k [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \omega_c^0 b_{k+1}^A)} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \xrightarrow{s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z))] \end{aligned}$$

coincide with the components h_k^Z by [LM04, Appendix A]. Therefore, $h^Z = H^Z \cdot [1]$. Furthermore, the components Y_n are determined by $Y_{nk} = Y_n \cdot \text{pr}_k$, which turn out [*loc. cit.*] to coincide with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_{nk} \cdot \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, s^{-1}[1]s) &= [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{coev}^{C_k})} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W))) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, (1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(c \otimes 1) b_{k+1+n}^A))} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W))) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, s)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W)))]) \\ &= [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z, W)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, (1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1)(c \otimes 1) b_{k+1+n}^A)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W))) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, s)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(Y, W)))]. \end{aligned}$$

The natural isomorphism $\varphi^{C_k} : \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(A, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(B, C)) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(B \otimes A, C)$ is found from the equation

$$\begin{aligned} [B \otimes A \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(A, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(B, C)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{ev}^{C_k}} B \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(B, C) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_k}} C] \\ = [B \otimes A \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(A, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(B, C)) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{C_k}} B \otimes A \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(B \otimes A, C) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_k}} C]. \end{aligned}$$

Its solvability is implied by closedness of $\mathbb{C}_k$. Summing up, (5.17) holds and the two Yoneda A_∞ -functors agree.

5.6. Restriction of scalars. Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be A_∞ -functors. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a $\mathcal{B}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule, $\phi : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ the corresponding A_∞ -functor. Define an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule ${}_f\mathcal{P}_g$ as the bimodule corresponding to the composite

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{f^{\text{op}}, g} \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\phi} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}.$$

Its underlying **gr**-span is given by ${}_f\mathcal{P}_g(X, Y) = \mathcal{P}(Xf, Yg)$, $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. Components of the codifferential $b^{{}_f\mathcal{P}_g}$ are found using formulas (5.6) and (5.8):

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}_+^{{}_f\mathcal{P}_g} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [(f^{\text{op}}, g)\phi]^\vee} s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg), \mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg), s\mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)] \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1 \otimes g} \\ &\quad Ts\mathcal{B}(Xf, Yf) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}(Zg, Wg) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{B}(Xf, Yf) \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}(Zg, Wg) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}(Yf, Xf) \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}(Zg, Wg) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg), \mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg), s\mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)], \\ \check{b}_0^{{}_f\mathcal{P}_g} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0} s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg)]. \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be combined into a single formula

$$\check{b}^{{}_f\mathcal{P}_g} = [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1 \otimes g} Ts\mathcal{B}(Xf, Yf) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Yf, Zg) \otimes Ts\mathcal{D}(Zg, Wg) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Xf, Wg)]. \quad (5.18)$$

Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an A_∞ -functor. Define an $(\text{id}_{Ts\mathcal{A}}, \text{id}_{Ts\mathcal{A}})$ -bicomodule homomorphism $t^f : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_f\mathcal{B}_f = {}_f(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}})_f$ of degree 0 by its components

$$\check{t}^f = [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, W) \xrightarrow{\check{f}} s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Wf)],$$

or in extended form,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{kn}^f &= [s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_{k-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_1, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \\ &\quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Z_{n-1}, Z_n) \xrightarrow{f_{k+1+n}} s\mathcal{B}(X_k f, Z_n f)]. \quad (5.19) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $t^f d = 0$. As usual, it suffices to show that $(t^f d)^\vee = 0$. From the identity

$$(t^f d)^\vee = t^f \cdot \check{b}^f(\mathcal{R}_B)_f - b^{\mathcal{R}_A} \cdot \check{t}^f = (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{t}^f \otimes 1) \check{b}^f(\mathcal{R}_B)_f \\ - (b^A \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^A) \check{t}^f - (\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0)(1 \otimes \check{b}^{\mathcal{R}_A} \otimes 1) \check{t}^f$$

it follows that

$$(t^f d)^\vee = [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0} \\ \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1} \\ \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{f} \otimes 1} \\ \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{B}(Uf, Vf) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum f \otimes 1 \otimes f} \\ \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{B}(Xf, Uf) \otimes s\mathcal{B}(Uf, Vf) \otimes Ts\mathcal{B}(Vf, Wf) \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{B}}} \\ Ts\mathcal{B}(Xf, Wf) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^B} s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Wf)] \\ - [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{b^A \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b^A} \\ Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, W) \xrightarrow{\check{f}} s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Wf)] \\ - [Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_0} \\ \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(V, W) \\ \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1} \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum 1 \otimes \check{b}^A \otimes 1} \\ \bigoplus_{U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(U, V) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sum \mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, W) \\ \xrightarrow{\check{f}} s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Wf)].$$

Likewise Section 5.4 we see that the equation $(t^f d)^\vee = 0$ is equivalent to $f \cdot \check{b}^B = b^A \cdot \check{f}$.

5.7 Corollary. *Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an A_∞ -functor. There is a natural A_∞ -transformation $r^f : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow (f^{\text{op}}, f) \cdot \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ depicted as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \\ & \searrow f^{\text{op}}, f \quad \downarrow r^f \quad \nearrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}} & \\ & \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} & \end{array}$$

It is invertible if f is homotopy full and faithful.

Proof. Define $r^f = (t^f)\Phi^{-1}s \in s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}, (f^{\text{op}}, f) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}})$, where $t^f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_f\mathcal{B}_f$ is the closed bicomodule homomorphism defined above. Since Φ is an invertible chain map, it follows that r^f is a natural A_∞ -transformation. Suppose f is homotopy full and faithful. That is, its first component f_1 is homotopy invertible. This implies that the $(0, 0)$ -component

$${}_{X,Z}r_{00}^f = [\mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, f_1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{B}(Xf, Zf)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{B}(Xf, Zf))],$$

found from (5.14) and (5.19), is invertible modulo boundaries in $s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{B}(Xf, Zf))$, thus r^f is invertible by [BLM07, Lemma 13.9]. The corollary is proven. $\square$

5.8. Opposite bimodule. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule, $\phi : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$ the corresponding A_∞ -functor. Define an *opposite bimodule* $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}$ as the $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ - $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ -bimodule corresponding to the A_∞ -functor

$$(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}, \phi)\mu_{\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{A}_\infty} = [Ts\mathcal{C} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{c} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\phi} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k].$$

Its underlying **gr**-span is given by $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) = \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$, $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. Components of the differential $b^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}}$ are found from equations (5.6) and (5.8):

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}} &= [Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\ &s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes c} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, W)) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W) = s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(W, X)] \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(13)^\sim} \\ &\quad Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma} \\ &\quad Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W) = s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(W, X)], \\ \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}} &= [Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{b_{00}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

These equations are particular cases of a single formula

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}} &= [Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(13)^\sim} \\ &\quad Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma} \\ &\quad Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W) = s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(W, X)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -[Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(13)^\sim} \\
&\quad Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \gamma \otimes \gamma} \\
&\quad Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, W) = s\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}(W, X)]. \quad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

5.9 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -category. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}$ as $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}\text{-}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ -bimodules.*

Proof. Clearly, the underlying **gr**-spans of the both bimodules coincide. Computing $\check{b}^{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{op}}}$ by formula (5.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{b}^{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{op}}} &= -[Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{(13)^\sim} \\
&\quad Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \gamma \otimes \gamma} \\
&\quad Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}}} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, W) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{A}}} s\mathcal{A}(X, W)] \\
&= -[Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, X) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\gamma} Ts\mathcal{A}(X, W) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{A}}} s\mathcal{A}(X, W)]
\end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A}$ is a category anti-isomorphism. Since $b^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = \gamma b^{\mathcal{A}} \gamma$, it follows that $\check{b}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = -\gamma \check{b}^{\mathcal{A}} : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, X) \rightarrow s\mathcal{A}(W, X)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{b}^{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{op}}} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Z, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}} \\
&\quad Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, X) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, X)] = \check{b}^{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proven. $\square$

5.10 Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -category. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = [Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{c} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}].$$

5.11 Proposition. *For an arbitrary A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{k} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{K}}$.*

Proof. Let $X, Y, U, V \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{s(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{10} s^{-1} \otimes s(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{01} s^{-1}} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \xrightarrow{m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))].
\end{aligned}$$

According to (5.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
s(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{10}s^{-1} &= -[\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, U), s\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, cb_2)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, U), s\mathcal{A}(Y, U)) \xrightarrow[\underline{C}_k(s, 1) \cdot \underline{C}_k(1, s^{-1})]{[-1]} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U))] \\
&= -[\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, s \otimes s)} \\
&\quad \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), s\mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, cb_2 s^{-1})} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U))] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, c)} \\
&\quad \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, U)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, m_2)} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U))] . \quad (5.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain from equation (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
s(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})_{01}s^{-1} &= [\mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{A}(U, V) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(Y, U), s\mathcal{A}(Y, U) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(U, V)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_2)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(Y, U), s\mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \xrightarrow{[-1]} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, m_2)} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))] .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
k \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} &= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k} \otimes \text{coev}^{C_k}} \\
&\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X)) \otimes \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, cm_2) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, m_2)} \\
&\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U)) \otimes \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V)) \xrightarrow{m_2^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))] .
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (A.1.2) of [LM04] allows to write the above expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
k \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} &= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, cm_2 \otimes 1)} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, m_2)} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))] \\
&= [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, c \otimes 1)} \\
&\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(U, V)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, (m_2 \otimes 1)m_2)} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, U), \mathcal{A}(Y, V))] \\
&= \text{Hom}_{k\mathcal{A}} .
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proven. $\square$

5.12. Duality A_∞ -functor. The regular module $\mathbb{k}$, viewed as a complex concentrated in degree 0, determines the duality A_∞ -functor $D = H^{\mathbb{k}} = h^{\mathbb{k}} \cdot [-1] : \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. It maps a complex M to its dual $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), m_1) = (\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), -\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(d, 1))$. Since $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is a differential graded category, the components D_k vanish if $k > 1$, due to (5.16). The component D_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 = -[s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}}(M, N) = s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, M) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\ \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, M)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, cb_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, \mathbb{k})) \\ \xrightarrow{[-1]} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, \mathbb{k})) \xrightarrow{s} s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, \mathbb{k}))]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} sD_1 s^{-1} = [\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}}(M, N) = \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, M) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\ \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, M)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, cm_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k}), \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, \mathbb{k}))], \end{aligned}$$

cf. (5.21). It follows from (1.5) that $\mathbf{k}D = \underline{\mathcal{K}}(-, \mathbb{k}) : \mathbf{k}\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} = \underline{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} = \underline{\mathcal{K}}$.

5.13. Dual A_∞ -bimodule. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ be A_∞ -categories, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule with a flat $(1, 1, 1, b^{\mathcal{A}}, b^{\mathcal{C}})$ -connection $b^{\mathcal{P}} : Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\mathcal{A} \otimes s\mathcal{P} \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}$, and let $\phi^{\mathcal{P}} : Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ts\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ be the corresponding A_∞ -functor. Define the dual $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}^*$ as the bimodule that corresponds to the following A_∞ -functor:

$$\phi^{\mathcal{P}^*} = ((\phi^{\mathcal{P}})^{\text{op}}, D)\mu_{\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}}^{A_\infty} \cdot A_\infty(X; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}},$$

where $X : \mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$, $1 \mapsto 2$, $2 \mapsto 1$, and the map $A_\infty(X; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is given by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \times 1} A_\infty(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}; \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) \times A_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{A}) \times A_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \\ \xrightarrow{\mu_{X: \mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}}^{A_\infty}} A_\infty(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{\mathcal{P}^*} = (Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{c} Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\gamma \boxtimes \gamma} \\ Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\phi^{\mathcal{P}}} Ts\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Ts\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{D} Ts\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}). \quad (5.22) \end{aligned}$$

The underlying **gr**-span of $\mathcal{P}^*$ is given by $\text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P}^* = \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}^* = \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $\text{Par } \mathcal{P}^* = \text{Ob}_s \mathcal{P}^* \times \text{Ob}_t \mathcal{P}^*$, $\text{src} = \text{pr}_1$, $\text{tgt} = \text{pr}_2$, $\mathcal{P}^*(X, Y) = \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Y, X), \mathbb{k})$,

$X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}^*} &= \phi^{\mathcal{P}^*} \text{pr}_1 = [Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, W) \xrightarrow{c(\gamma \otimes \gamma)} \\ &Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \xrightarrow{\check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\ &\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathbb{k}), s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathbb{k}) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, Z), \mathcal{P}(X, Y))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, cb_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}})} \\ &\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathbb{k}), s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, Z), \mathbb{k})) \xrightarrow{[-1]} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathbb{k}), \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, Z), \mathbb{k})) \\ &\xrightarrow{s} s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathbb{k}), \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, Z), \mathbb{k}))] \end{aligned}$$

(the minus sign present in $\gamma : s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ cancels that present in D_1). According to (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}^*} &= [Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} \\ &s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes c} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \gamma} \\ &s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{coev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}), s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y))) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, cb_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}})} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z), s\mathcal{P}^*(X, W)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{P}^*(X, W)] \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{(123)} Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \\ &\xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} \\ &s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) = s\mathcal{P}^*(X, W)], \end{aligned}$$

by properties of closed monoidal categories. Similarly, by (5.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}^*} &= [Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_0 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_0} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \\ &\xrightarrow{-s^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(d, 1)s} s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z)], \end{aligned}$$

where d is the differential in the complex $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}(Z, Y) = \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)$.

5.14 Proposition. *The map $\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}^*} : Ts\mathcal{C} \otimes s\mathcal{P}^* \otimes Ts\mathcal{A} \rightarrow s\mathcal{P}^*$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} &[s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{b}^{\mathcal{P}^*}} s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(X, W) \\ &\xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\ &= -[s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{(1234)} \\ &Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\check{b}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \\ &\xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that the pairs of morphisms $\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}, \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}^*}$ and $\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}}, \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}^*}$ are related by similar equations. The corresponding equation for $\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}}, \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}^*}$ is given below:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}^*}} s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(X, W) \\
& \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\
& = -[s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{(1234)} \\
& Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\check{b}_+^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \\
& \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}]. \quad (5.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Its left hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& [s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (123)} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(1234)(c \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes (123)$. Using (5.6), the right hand side can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -[s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes (123)} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(W, Z) \otimes Ts\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{\phi}^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1} \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1] \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(W, X), s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}].
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (5.23) follows from the following equation, which we are going to prove:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\
& = -[s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1} \otimes 1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1] \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(W, X), s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1} \\
& s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Composing both sides with the morphism $-s \otimes s \otimes s$ we obtain an equivalent equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes m_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\
& \quad \mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\
& = -[\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{s \otimes 1 \otimes s} \\
& \quad s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1] \otimes 1} \\
& \quad s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{P}(W, X), s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1} \\
& \quad s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of **dg**-functor [1] that

$$(s \otimes 1)(1 \otimes [1]) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} s^{-1} = (s \otimes 1)(s^{-1} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} s s^{-1} = \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}},$$

therefore the right hand side of the above equation is equal to $(\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}$, and it equals the left hand side by the definition of $m_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}$.

The corresponding equation for $\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}^*}$ reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}^*}} \\
& \quad s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(X, W) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(W, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\
& = -[s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \xrightarrow{(1234)} \\
& \quad T^0 s\mathcal{A}(Z, W) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(W, X) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\check{b}_0^{\mathcal{P}} \otimes 1} \\
& \quad s\mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, Y), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}].
\end{aligned}$$

It is non-trivial only if $X = Y$ and $Z = W$. In this case, up to obvious isomorphism the left hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& [s\mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, X), \mathbb{k}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(d, 1)} \mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\
& = [s\mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, X), \mathbb{k}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{d \otimes 1} \mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}] \\
& = -[s\mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}^*(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} d s \otimes 1} s\mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, X), \mathbb{k}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(Z, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(Z, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \mathbb{k}],
\end{aligned}$$

which is the right hand side (up to obvious isomorphism). $\square$

5.15 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -category. Denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the regular $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Then*

$$\phi^{\mathcal{R}*} = (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}, D)\mu_{\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}}^{A_\infty} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} \cdot D : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}.$$

Proof. Formula (5.22) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{\mathcal{R}*} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{c} Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\gamma \boxtimes \gamma} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{D} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}] \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\gamma \boxtimes \gamma} Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{c} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{D} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}]. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 5.10, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} = [Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{c} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}]$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{\mathcal{R}*} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\gamma \boxtimes \gamma} Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{D} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}] \\ &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes Ts\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{D} Ts\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}]. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proven. $\square$

5.16. Unital A_∞ -bimodules.

5.17 Definition. An $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding to an A_∞ -functor $\phi : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is called *unital* if the A_∞ -functor ϕ is unital.

5.18 Proposition. *An $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}$ is unital if and only if for all $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ the compositions*

$$\begin{aligned} &[s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) = s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes_Y i_0^{\mathcal{C}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \otimes s\mathcal{C}(Y, Y) \xrightarrow{b_{01}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, Y)], \\ &-[s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) = \mathbb{k} \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{x i_0^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1} s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \otimes s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{b_{10}^{\mathcal{P}}} s\mathcal{P}(X, Y)] \end{aligned}$$

are homotopic to the identity map.

Proof. The second statement expands to the property that

$$\begin{aligned} &[s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes_Y i_0^{\mathcal{C}} \phi_{01} s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(X, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathcal{P}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} s} s\mathcal{P}(X, Y)], \\ &[s\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes_X i_0^{\mathcal{A}} \phi_{10} s^{-1}} \mathcal{P}(X, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{P}(X, Y), \mathcal{P}(X, Y)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} s} s\mathcal{P}(X, Y)] \end{aligned}$$

are homotopic to identity. That is,

$${}_Y i_0^{\mathcal{C}}(\phi_{01}|_{\mathcal{C}}^X) - 1_{s\mathcal{P}(X, Y)} s \in \text{Im } b_1, \quad {}_X i_0^{\mathcal{A}}(\phi_{10}|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^Y) - 1_{s\mathcal{P}(X, Y)} s \in \text{Im } b_1$$

for all $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. By [BLM07, Proposition 13.6] the A_∞ -functor ϕ is unital. $\square$

5.19 Remark. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital A_∞ -category. By the above criterion, the regular $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is unital, and therefore the A_∞ -functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is unital. In particular, for each object Z of $\mathcal{A}$, the representable A_∞ -functor

$$H^Z = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}|_1^Z : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$$

is unital, by [BLM07, Proposition 13.6]. Thus, the Yoneda A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ takes values in the full A_∞ -subcategory $\underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ of $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$. Furthermore, by the closedness of the multicategory $\underline{A}_\infty^u$, the A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{Y}$ is unital.

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital A_∞ -categories. A unital A_∞ -functor $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called *homotopy fully faithful* if the corresponding $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}f : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{B}$ is fully faithful. That is, f is homotopy fully faithful if and only if its first component is homotopy invertible. Equivalently, f is homotopy fully faithful if and only if it admits a factorization

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{J} \xhookrightarrow{e} \mathcal{B}, \quad (5.24)$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is a full A_∞ -subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$, $e : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is the embedding, and $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is an A_∞ -equivalence.

5.20 Lemma. Suppose $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homotopy fully faithful A_∞ -functor. Then for an arbitrary A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ the A_∞ -functor $\underline{A}_\infty(1; f) : \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{B})$ is homotopy fully faithful.

Proof. Factorize f as in (5.24). Then the A_∞ -functor $\underline{A}_\infty(1; f)$ factorizes as

$$\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\underline{A}_\infty(1; g)} \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{J}) \xrightarrow{\underline{A}_\infty(1; e)} \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{B}).$$

The A_∞ -functor $\underline{A}_\infty(1; g)$ is an A_∞ -equivalence since $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; -)$ is a A_∞^u -2-functor, so it suffices to show that $\underline{A}_\infty(1; e)$ is a full embedding. Since e is a strict A_∞ -functor, so is $\underline{A}_\infty(1; e)$. Its first component is given by

$$\begin{aligned} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{J})(\phi, \psi) &= \prod_{n \geq 0}^{X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(T^n s\mathcal{C}(X, Y), s\mathcal{J}(X\phi, Y\psi)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, e_1)} \\ s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{B})(\phi e, \psi e) &= \prod_{n \geq 0}^{X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(T^n s\mathcal{C}(X, Y), s\mathcal{B}(X\phi, Y\psi)), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $r = (r_n) \mapsto re = (r_n e_1)$. Since $s\mathcal{J}(X\phi, Y\psi) = s\mathcal{B}(X\phi, Y\psi)$ and $e_1 : s\mathcal{J}(X\phi, Y\psi) \rightarrow s\mathcal{B}(X\phi, Y\psi)$ is the identity morphism, the above map is the identity morphism, and the proof is complete. $\square$

5.21 Example. Let $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -functor. Then an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{A}^g$ is associated to it via the A_∞ -functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^g &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{1, g} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{1, \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{A_\infty}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}] \\ &= [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{1, g} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

As we already noticed, $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodules are objects of the differential graded category $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k) \simeq \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k))$. Thus it makes sense to speak about their isomorphisms in the homotopy category $H^0(\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k))$.

5.22 Proposition. *There is an A_∞ -functor $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$, $g \mapsto \mathcal{A}^g$, homotopy fully faithful if $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital A_∞ -category. In that case, A_∞ -functors $g, h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are isomorphic if and only if the bimodules $\mathcal{A}^g$ and $\mathcal{A}^h$ are isomorphic. If both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are unital, then the above A_∞ -functor restricts to $\underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$. Moreover, g is unital if and only if $\mathcal{A}^g$ is unital.*

Proof. The functor in question is the composite

$$\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\underline{A}_\infty(1; \mathcal{Y})} \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi^{A_\infty}} \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k),$$

where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the Yoneda A_∞ -functor. When $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ is homotopy fully faithful by Corollary A.9, see also [LM04, Theorem A.11]. Thus, the first claim follows from Lemma 5.20.

Assume that A_∞ -categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are unital, and A_∞ -functor (5.25) is unital. Let us prove that $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is unital. Denote $f = g \cdot \mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$. The A_∞ -functor

$$f' = [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{1, f} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k]$$

is unital by assumption. The bijection

$$\varphi^{A_\infty} : \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{C}; \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$$

shows that given f' can be obtained from a unique f . The bijection

$$\varphi^{A_\infty^u} : \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{C}; \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$$

shows that such A_∞ -functor f is unital.

Thus, the composition of $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with the unital homotopy fully faithful A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ is unital. Denote by $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ the essential image of $\mathcal{Y}$, the full differential graded subcategory of $\underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ whose objects are representable A_∞ -functors $(X)\mathcal{Y} = H^X$. The composition of g with the A_∞ -equivalence $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ is unital. Denote by $\Psi : \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a quasi-inverse to $\mathcal{Y}$. We find that g is isomorphic to a unital A_∞ -functor $g \cdot \mathcal{Y} \cdot \Psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Thus, g is unital itself by [Lyu03, (8.2.4)]. $\square$

5.23 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ be A_∞ -categories, and suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is unital. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule, $\phi^\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$ the corresponding A_∞ -functor. The $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^g$ for some A_∞ -functor $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ if and only if for each object $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ the A_∞ -functor $\phi^\mathcal{P}|_1^Y : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$ is representable.*

Proof. The “only if” part is obvious. For the proof of “if”, consider the A_∞ -functor $f = (\varphi^{A_\infty})^{-1}(\phi^{\mathcal{P}}) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$. It acts on objects by $Y \mapsto \phi^{\mathcal{P}}|_1^Y$, $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, therefore it takes values in the A_∞ -subcategory $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ of representable A_∞ -functors. Denote by $\Psi : \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a quasi-inverse to $\mathcal{Y}$. Let g denote the A_∞ -functor $f \cdot \Psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then the composite $g \cdot \mathcal{Y} = f \cdot \Psi \cdot \mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ is isomorphic to f , therefore the A_∞ -functor

$$\varphi^{A_\infty}(g \cdot \mathcal{Y}) = [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{1, g \cdot \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{A_\infty}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k],$$

corresponding to the bimodule $\mathcal{A}^g$, is isomorphic to $\varphi^{A_\infty}(f) = \phi^{\mathcal{P}}$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}^g$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}$. $\square$

5.24 Lemma. *If $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}$ is unital, then the dual $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{P}^*$ is unital as well.*

Proof. The A_∞ -functor $\phi^{\mathcal{P}^*}$ is the composite of two A_∞ -functors, $(\phi^{\mathcal{P}})^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k^{\text{op}}$ and $D = H^k : \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$. The latter is unital by Remark 5.19. The former is unital if and only if $\phi^{\mathcal{P}}$ is unital. $\square$

6. Serre A_∞ -functors

Here we present Serre A_∞ -functors as an application of A_∞ -bimodules.

6.1 Definition (cf. Soibelman [Soi04], Kontsevich and Soibelman, sequel to [KS06]). A *right Serre A_∞ -functor* $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ in a unital A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ is an A_∞ -functor for which the $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodules $\mathcal{A}^S = [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{1, S} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k]$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$ are isomorphic. If, moreover, S is an A_∞ -equivalence, it is called a *Serre A_∞ -functor*.

By Lemma 5.24 and Proposition 5.22, if a right Serre A_∞ -functor exists, then it is unital and unique up to an isomorphism.

6.2 Proposition. *If $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a (right) Serre A_∞ -functor, then $kS : k\mathcal{A} \rightarrow k\mathcal{A}$ is a (right) Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor.*

Proof. Let $p : \mathcal{A}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be an isomorphism. More precisely, p is an isomorphism

$$(1, S, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}) \mu_{\text{id}; 2 \rightarrow 2}^{A_\infty^u} \rightarrow (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}, D) \mu_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{A_\infty^u} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k.$$

We visualize this by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{1, S} & \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow p & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{D} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k \end{array}$$

Applying the $\mathbb{k}\text{-Cat}$ -multifunctor $\mathbf{k}$, and using Lemma 3.2, Proposition 5.11, and results of Section 5.12, we get a similar diagram in $\mathcal{K}\text{-Cat}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{1 \boxtimes \mathbf{k}S} & \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} \downarrow & \swarrow \text{kp} & \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}} \\
 \underline{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{K}}(-, \mathbb{k})} & \underline{\mathcal{K}}
 \end{array}$$

Since kp is an isomorphism, it follows that $\mathbf{k}S$ is a right Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor.

The A_∞ -functor S is an equivalence if and only if $\mathbf{k}S$ is a $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalence. $\square$

When $\mathcal{A}$ is an A_∞ -algebra and S is its identity endomorphism, the natural transformation $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ identifies with an ∞ -inner-product on $\mathcal{A}$, as defined by Tradler [Tra01, Definition 5.3].

6.3 Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital A_∞ -category. Then $\mathcal{A}$ admits a (right) Serre A_∞ -functor if and only if $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ admits a (right) Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor.*

Proof. The “only if” part is proven above. Suppose $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ admits a Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor. By Proposition 2.6 this implies representability of the $\mathcal{K}$ -functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}}(Y, -)^{\text{op}} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{K}}(-, \mathbb{k}) = \mathbf{k}[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, -)^{\text{op}} \cdot D] : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbf{k}\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}},$$

for each object $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. By Corollary A.6 the A_∞ -functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, -)^{\text{op}} \cdot D = (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}, D)\mu_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\mathcal{A}_\infty}|_1^Y : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$$

is representable for each $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. By Proposition 5.23 the bimodule $\mathcal{A}^*$ corresponding to the A_∞ -functor $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}, D)\mu_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\mathcal{A}_\infty}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^S$ for some A_∞ -functor $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. $\square$

6.4 Corollary. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hom-reflexive A_∞ -category, i.e., the complex $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ is reflexive in $\mathcal{K}$ for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. If $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a right Serre A_∞ -functor, then S is homotopy fully faithful.*

Proof. The $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}S$ is fully faithful by Proposition 2.5. $\square$

In particular, the above corollary applies if $\mathbb{k}$ is a field and all homology spaces $H^n(\mathcal{A}(X, Y))$ are finite dimensional. If $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under shifts, the latter condition is equivalent to requiring that $H^0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y))$ be finite dimensional for each pair $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. Indeed, $H^n(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) = H^n(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) = H^0(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}(X, Y)[n]) = H^0((\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\square}((X, 0), (Y, n)))$. The $\mathcal{K}$ -category $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ is closed under shifts by results of Section 3.7, therefore there exists an isomorphism $\alpha : (Y, n) \rightarrow (Z, 0)$ in $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\square}$, for some $Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. It induces an isomorphism $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\square}(1, \alpha) : (\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\square}((X, 0), (Y, n)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\square}((X, 0), (Z, 0)) = \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}(X, Z)$ in $\mathcal{K}$, thus an isomorphism in homology

$$H^n(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) = H^0((\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})^{\square}((X, 0), (Y, n))) \simeq H^0(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) = H^0(\mathcal{A}(X, Z)).$$

The latter space is finite dimensional by assumption.

6.5 Theorem. Suppose $\mathbb{k}$ is a field, $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital A_∞ -category closed under shifts. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathcal{A}$ admits a (right) Serre A_∞ -functor;
- (b) $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A}$ admits a (right) Serre $\mathcal{K}$ -functor;
- (c) $H^\bullet \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^\bullet(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{A})$ admits a (right) Serre **gr**-functor;
- (d) $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ admits (right) Serre $\mathbb{k}$ -linear functor.

Proof. Equivalence of (a) and (b) is proven in Corollary 6.3. Conditions (b) and (c) are equivalent due to Corollary 2.16, because $H^\bullet : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{gr}$ is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal categories. Condition (c) implies (d) for arbitrary **gr**-category by Corollary 2.18, in particular, for $H^\bullet \mathcal{A}$. Note that $H^\bullet \mathcal{A}$ is closed under shifts by Section 3.7 and the discussion preceding Proposition 2.21. Therefore, (d) implies (c) due to Proposition 2.21. $\square$

An application of this theorem is the following. Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a field. Drinfeld's construction of quotients of pretriangulated **dg**-categories [Dri04] allows to find a pretriangulated **dg**-category $\mathcal{A}$ such that $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ is some familiar derived category (e.g. category $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ of complexes of coherent sheaves on a projective variety X). If a right Serre functor exists for $H^0(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathcal{A}$ admits a right Serre A_∞ -functor S by the above theorem. That is the case of $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \simeq D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$, where X is a smooth projective variety [BK89, Example 3.2]. Notice that $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ does not have to be a **dg**-functor.

6.6 Proposition. Let $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $S' : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be right Serre A_∞ -functors. Let $g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -equivalence. Then the A_∞ -functors $S'g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $gS : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{1, S'} & \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} \\
 \downarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} & \swarrow g^{\text{op}}, g & \searrow g^{\text{op}}, g \\
 & \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} & \\
 \swarrow (r^g)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{1, S} & \swarrow r^g \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{D} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}
 \end{array}$$

$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}}$ (left arrow from $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{op}}$ to $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}$)
 $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (right arrow from $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}$ to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$)

Here the natural A_∞ -isomorphism r^g is that constructed in Corollary 5.7. The exterior and the lower trapezoid commute up to natural A_∞ -isomorphisms by definition of right Serre functor. It follows that the A_∞ -functors $(g^{\text{op}}, S'g) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $(g^{\text{op}}, gS) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ are isomorphic. Consider the A_∞ -functors

$$\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{S'g} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}} \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\underline{A}_\infty^u(g^{\text{op}}; 1)} \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{gS} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}} \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\underline{A}_\infty^u(g^{\text{op}}; 1)} \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$$

that correspond to $(g^{\text{op}}, S'g) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and $(g^{\text{op}}, gS) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ by closedness. More precisely, the upper line is equal to $(\underline{\varphi}^{\underline{A}_\infty^u})^{-1}((g^{\text{op}}, S'g) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and the bottom line is equal to $(\underline{\varphi}^{\underline{A}_\infty^u})^{-1}((g^{\text{op}}, gS) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}})$, where

$$\underline{\varphi}^{\underline{A}_\infty^u} : \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{B}; \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})) \rightarrow \underline{A}_\infty^u(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{B}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$$

is the natural isomorphism of A_∞ -categories coming from the closed structure. It follows that the A_∞ -functors $S' \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{Y} \cdot \underline{A}_\infty^u(g^{\text{op}}; 1)$ and $g \cdot S \cdot \mathcal{Y} \cdot \underline{A}_\infty^u(g^{\text{op}}; 1)$ are isomorphic. Obviously, the A_∞ -functor g^{op} is an equivalence, therefore so is the A_∞ -functor $\underline{A}_\infty^u(g^{\text{op}}; 1)$ since $\underline{A}_\infty^u(-; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is an A_∞^u -2-functor. Therefore, the A_∞ -functors $S' \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{Y}$ and $g \cdot S \cdot \mathcal{Y}$ are isomorphic. However, this implies that the A_∞ -functors $(1, S'g) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\varphi}^{\underline{A}_\infty^u}(S' \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{Y})$ and $(1, gS) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{\varphi}^{\underline{A}_\infty^u}(g \cdot S \cdot \mathcal{Y})$ are isomorphic as well. These A_∞ -functors correspond to $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ - A_∞ -bimodules $\mathcal{A}^{S'g}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{gS}$ respectively. Proposition 5.22 implies an isomorphism between the A_∞ -functors $S'g$ and gS . $\square$

6.7 Remark. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -category, let $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -functor. The $(0, 0)$ -component of a cycle $p \in \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\mathcal{A}^S, \mathcal{A}^*)[1]^{-1}$ determines for all objects X, Y of $\mathcal{A}$ a degree 0 map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k} &\simeq T^0 s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, X) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}(Y, Y) \xrightarrow{p_{00}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, YS), \mathcal{A}^*(X, Y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, YS), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathbb{k})). \end{aligned}$$

The obtained mapping $\mathcal{A}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathbb{k})$ is a chain map, since $p_{00}s^{-1}m_1 = 0$. Its homotopy class gives $\psi_{X,Y}$ from (2.1) when the pair (S, p) is projected to $(\mathbf{k}S, \psi = \mathbf{k}p)$ via the multifunctor $\mathbf{k}$.

6.8. A strict case of a Serre A_∞ -functor. Let us consider a particularly simple case of an A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ with a right Serre functor $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which is a strict A_∞ -functor (only the first component does not vanish) and with the invertible natural A_∞ -transformation $p : \mathcal{A}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ whose only non-vanishing component is $p_{00} : T^0 s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, X) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}(Y, Y) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, YS), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathbb{k}))$. Invertibility of p , equivalent to invertibility of p_{00} , means that the induced chain maps $r_{00} : \mathcal{A}(X, YS) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathbb{k})$ are homotopy invertible for all objects X, Y of $\mathcal{A}$. General formulae for pB_1 give the components $(pB_1)_{00} = p_{00}b_1$ and

$$(pB_1)_{kn} = ([(1, S) \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}]_{kn} \otimes p_{00})b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} + (p_{00} \otimes [\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{op}} \cdot D]_{kn})b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \quad (6.1)$$

for $k + n > 0$. Since p is natural, $pB_1 = 0$, thus the right hand side of (6.1) has to vanish. Expanding the first summand we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-)^k [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} \\ & \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n)) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \rho_c(1^{\otimes k+1} \otimes S_1^{\otimes n})b_{k+1+n}r_{00})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), \mathbb{k})) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), \mathbb{k}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the second summand we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - (-)^k [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), s\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n)) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (123)_c(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \omega_c^0))} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), T^n s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0)) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_{n+1+k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_0)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), \mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_0)) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), \mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_0))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (r_{00} \otimes 1)cb_2)} \\ & \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), \mathbb{k})) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k), \mathbb{k}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Sum of the two above expressions must vanish. The obtained equation can be simplified further by closedness of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. The homotopy isomorphism r_{00} induces the pairing

$$q_{00} = [\mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes r_{00}} \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathbb{k}].$$

Using it we write down the naturality condition for p as follows: for all $k \geq 0, n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \otimes T^n \mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes 3 \otimes (sS_1 s^{-1})^{\otimes n})(1 \otimes m_{k+1+n})} \mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_k, Y_n S) \xrightarrow{q_{00}} \mathbb{k}] \\ & = (-)^{(k+1)(n+1)} [\mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \otimes T^n \mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{(1234)_c} T^n \mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_n) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{m_{n+1+k} \otimes 1} \mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \xrightarrow{q_{00}} \mathbb{k}]. \quad (6.2) \end{aligned}$$

Let us give a sufficient condition for this equation to hold true.

6.9 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_{∞} -category, and let $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a strict A_{∞} -functor. Suppose given a pairing $q_{00} : \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, YS) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ for all objects X, Y of $\mathcal{A}$. Assume that for all $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$*

- (a) q_{00} is a chain map;

(b) the induced chain map

$$r_{00} = [\mathcal{A}(X, YS) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, YS)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, q_{00})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y, X), \mathbb{k})]$$

is homotopy invertible;

(c) the pairing q_{00} is symmetric in a sense similar to diagram (2.11), namely, the following diagram of chain maps commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes sS_1 s^{-1}} & \mathcal{A}(X, YS) \otimes \mathcal{A}(YS, XS) \\ \downarrow c & = & \downarrow q_{00} \\ \mathcal{A}(Y, X) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X, YS) & \xrightarrow{q_{00}} & \mathbb{k} \end{array} \quad (6.3)$$

(d) the following equation holds for all $k \geq 0$ and all objects $X_0, \dots, X_k, Y$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, YS) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes m_{k+1}} \mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_k, YS) \xrightarrow{q_{00}} \mathbb{k}] \\ & = (-)^{k+1} [\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, YS) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{m_{1+k} \otimes 1} \mathcal{A}(Y, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, YS) \xrightarrow{q_{00}} \mathbb{k}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Then the natural A_{∞} -transformation $p : \mathcal{A}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^* : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ with the only non-vanishing component $p_{00} : 1 \mapsto r_{00}$ is invertible and $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a Serre A_{∞} -functor.

Notice that (6.4) is precisely the case of (6.2) with $n = 0$. On the other hand, diagram (2.11) written for $\mathcal{K}$ -category $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{k}\mathcal{A}$ and the pairing $\phi = [q_{00}]$ says that (6.3) has to commute only up to homotopy. Thus, condition (c) is sufficient but not necessary.

Proof. We have to prove equation (6.2) for all $k \geq 0, n \geq 0$. The case of $n = 0$ holds by condition (d). Let us proceed by induction on n . Assume that (6.2) holds true for all $k \geq 0, 0 \leq n < N$. Let us prove equation (6.2) for $k \geq 0, n = N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-)^{(k+1)(n+1)} (13524)_c \cdot (m_{n+1+k} \otimes 1) \cdot q_{00} \\ & \stackrel{(d)}{=} (-)^{(k+1)(n+1)+k+n+1} (13524)_c \cdot (1 \otimes m_{n+k+1}) \cdot q_{00} \\ & = (-)^{kn} (12345)_c \cdot (m_{n+k+1} \otimes 1) \cdot c \cdot q_{00} \\ & \stackrel{(c)}{=} (-)^{kn} (12345)_c \cdot (m_{n+k+1} \otimes sS_1 s^{-1}) \cdot q_{00} \\ & = (-)^{(k+2)n} (1^{\otimes 3} \otimes sS_1 s^{-1} \otimes 1) \cdot (12345)_c \cdot (m_{n+k+1} \otimes 1) \cdot q_{00} \\ & \stackrel{\text{by (6.2)}}{=} \underset{\text{for } k+1, n-1}{(1^{\otimes 3} \otimes sS_1 s^{-1} \otimes 1) \cdot (1^{\otimes 4} \otimes T^{n-1}(sS_1 s^{-1})) \cdot (1 \otimes m_{k+1+n})} \cdot q_{00} : \\ & \mathcal{A}(Y_n, X_k) \otimes T^k \mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_0, Y_0 S) \otimes \mathcal{A}(Y_0, Y_1) \otimes T^{n-1} \mathcal{A}(Y_1, Y_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}. \end{aligned}$$

This is just equation (6.2) for k, n . □

Some authors like to consider a special case of the above in which $S = [d]$ is the shift functor (when it makes sense), the paring q_{00} is symmetric and cyclically symmetric with respect to n -ary compositions, cf. [Cos04, Section 6.2]. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a Calabi–Yau A_∞ -category. General Serre A_∞ -functors cover wider scope, although they require more data to work with.

A. The Yoneda Lemma.

A version of the classical Yoneda Lemma is presented in Mac Lane’s book [Mac88, Section III.2] as the following statement. For any category $\mathcal{C}$ there is an isomorphism of functors

$$\mathrm{ev}^{\mathcal{C}at} \simeq [\mathcal{C} \times \underline{\mathcal{C}at}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Set}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{op}} \times 1} \underline{\mathcal{C}at}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Set})^{\mathrm{op}} \times \underline{\mathcal{C}at}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Set}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}at}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Set})}} \mathrm{Set}],$$

where $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}at}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Set})$, $X \mapsto \mathcal{C}(X, -)$, is the Yoneda embedding. Here we generalize this to A_∞ -setting.

A.1 Theorem (The Yoneda Lemma). *For any A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ there is a natural A_∞ -transformation*

$$\Omega : \mathrm{ev}^{A_\infty} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}, \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{op}}, 1} \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\mathrm{op}}, \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}].$$

If the A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, Ω restricts to an invertible natural A_∞ -transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}, \underline{A_\infty^u}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}^{A_\infty^u}} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \\ & \searrow \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{op}}, 1 \quad \downarrow \Omega \quad \nearrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A_\infty^u}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})} & \\ & \underline{A_\infty^u}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\mathrm{op}}, \underline{A_\infty^u}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \end{array}$$

Previously published A_∞ -versions of Yoneda Lemma contented with the statement that for unital A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$, the Yoneda A_∞ -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \underline{A_\infty^u}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is homotopy full and faithful [Fuk02, Theorem 9.1], [LM04, Theorem A.11]. A more general form of the Yoneda Lemma is considered by Seidel [Sei06, Lemma 2.12] over a ground field $\mathbb{k}$. We shall see that these are corollaries of the above theorem.

Proof. First of all we describe the A_∞ -transformation Ω for an arbitrary A_∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$. The discussion of Section 5.6 applied to the A_∞ -functor

$$\psi = [\mathcal{A}, \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{op}}, 1} \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\mathrm{op}}, \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}]$$

presents the corresponding $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\text{-}\underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ -bimodule $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Y} \underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})_1$ via the regular A_∞ -bimodule. Thus,

$$(\mathcal{Q}(X, f), sb_{00}^{\mathcal{Q}} s^{-1}) = (\underline{A_\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f), sB_1 s^{-1}).$$

According to (5.16) $H^X = \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(-, X) = \mathcal{A}(X, -)$ has the components

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^X &= (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{k0} = [T^k s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\text{coev } \underline{C}_k} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Y), s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_{1+k}^A)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Y), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Y), \mathcal{A}(X, Z))]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We have $b_{00}^Q = B_1$ and, moreover, by (5.18)

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}^Q &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes sQ(X, f) \otimes Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, g) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y} \otimes 1 \otimes 1} Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^Y, H^X) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^X, f) \otimes Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, g) \\ &\xrightarrow{\check{B}} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^Y, g) = sQ(Y, g)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)$ is a differential graded category, $B_p = 0$ for $p > 2$. Therefore, $b_{kn}^Q = 0$ if $n > 1$, and $b_{k1}^Q = 0$ if $k > 0$. The non-trivial components are (for $k > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k0}^Q &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes sQ(X, f) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, f) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_k \otimes 1 \otimes 1} \\ &s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^Y, H^X) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^X, f) \xrightarrow{B_2} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^Y, f) = sQ(Y, f)], \\ b_{01}^Q &= [T^0 s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, X) \otimes sQ(X, f) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, g) \\ &\xrightarrow{B_2} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^X, g) = sQ(X, g)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}\text{-}\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)$ -bimodule corresponding to the A_∞ -functor $\text{ev}^{A_\infty} : \mathcal{A}, \underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k) \rightarrow \underline{C}_k$. For any object X of $\mathcal{A}$ and any A_∞ -functor $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{C}_k$ the complex $(\mathcal{E}(X, f), sb_{00}^\mathcal{E} s^{-1})$ is (Xf, d) . According to (5.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \check{b}_+^\mathcal{E} &= [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, g) \\ &\xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, g) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} \\ &s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, g) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{ev}^{A_\infty}} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Xf, Yg) \\ &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} Xf[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(Xf[1], Yg[1]) \xrightarrow{\text{ev } \underline{C}_k} Yg[1] = s\mathcal{E}(Y, g)]. \end{aligned}$$

Explicit formula (0.2) for ev^{A_∞} shows that $b_{kn}^\mathcal{E} = 0$ if $n > 1$. The remaining components are described as

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k0}^\mathcal{E} &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f, f) \\ &\xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_k} \\ &Xf[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Xf, Yf) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} Xf[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(Xf[1], Yf[1]) \xrightarrow{\text{ev } \underline{C}_k} Yf[1] = s\mathcal{E}(Y, f)] \end{aligned}$$

for $k > 0$, and if $k \geq 0$ there is

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{k1}^\varepsilon &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f, g) \\
&\xrightarrow{c \otimes 1} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, X) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f, g) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f, g) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{pr}_k} s\mathcal{E}(X, f) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(T^k s\mathcal{A}(X, Y), s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(Xf, Yg)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k}} \\
&Xf[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(Xf, Yg) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} Xf[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(Xf[1], Yg[1]) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k}} Yg[1] = s\mathcal{E}(Y, g)].
\end{aligned}$$

The A_∞ -transformation Ω in question is constructed via a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{U} = (\Omega s^{-1})\Phi : T s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{E} \otimes T s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k) \rightarrow T s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes T s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)$$

of $T s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}\text{-}T s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)$ -bicomodules thanks to Proposition 5.3. Its matrix coefficients are recovered from the components via formula (5.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{kl;mn} &= \sum_{\substack{m+p=k \\ q+n=l}} (1^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{pq} \otimes 1^{\otimes n}) : \\
&T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{E} \otimes T^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k) \rightarrow T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{Q} \otimes T^n s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k).
\end{aligned}$$

The composition of the morphism

$$\mathcal{U}_{pq} : T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^q s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f_0, f_q) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_q)$$

with the projection

$$\text{pr}_n : s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_q) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_q)) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

is given by the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{pq;n} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{U}_{pq} \cdot \text{pr}_n = (-)^{p+1} [T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^q s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f_0, f_q) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), \\
&\quad s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^q s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f_0, f_q)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), \\
&\quad X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}(X_p, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^q s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k)(f_0, f_q)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{p+1+n, q}^{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty})} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), X_p f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(X_p f_0, Z_n f_q)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), X_p f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(X_p f_0[1], Z_n f_q[1])) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k})} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), Z_n f_q[1]) \\
&\xrightarrow{(\varphi^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k})^{-1}} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_q[1])) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(1, [-1]s)} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_q))]. \quad (\text{A.4})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, an element $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p \otimes y \otimes r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_q \in T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^q s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_q)$ is mapped to an A_{∞} -transformation $(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p \otimes y \otimes r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_q) \mathcal{U}_{pq} \in s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, f_q)$ with components

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p \otimes y \otimes r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_q) \mathcal{U}_{pq}]_n &: T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_q), \\ z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n &\mapsto (z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p \otimes y \otimes r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_q) \mathcal{U}'_{pq;n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{U}'_{pq;n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{pq;n}) \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} = (1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{pq} \cdot \text{pr}_n) \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} = (1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{pq}) \text{ev}_{n1}^{A_{\infty}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}'_{pq;n} &= (-)^{p+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^q s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_q) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ &\quad \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^q s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_q)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f_0[1] \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}(X_p, X_0) \\ &\quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^q s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_q)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{p+1+n, q}^{A_{\infty}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_p f_0, Z_n f_q)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_p f_0[1], Z_n f_q[1])) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_q[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_q)]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{U}_{pq} : T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{E} \otimes T^q s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \rightarrow s\mathcal{Q}$ vanishes if $q > 1$. The other components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}'_{p0;n} &= (-)^{p+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f[1] \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f[1]) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}(X_p, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes f_{p+1+n})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_p f, Z_n f)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_p f[1], Z_n f[1])) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f)] \quad (\text{A.5}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}'_{p1;n} &= (-)^{p+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f[1] \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f, g) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ &\quad \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_p) \otimes X_p f[1] \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f, g)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}(X_p, X_0) \\ &\quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f, g)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes p+1+n} \otimes \text{pr}_{p+1+n})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes T^p s\mathcal{A}(X_p, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \underline{C}_k(T^p s\mathcal{A}(X_p, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{C}_k(X_p f, Z_n g))) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_p f, Z_n g)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_p f[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_p f[1], Z_n g[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n g[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n g)].
\end{aligned}$$

Naturality of the A_∞ -transformation Ω is implied by the following

A.2 Lemma. *The bicomodule homomorphism $\mathcal{U}$ is a chain map.*

Proof. Equivalently, we have to prove the equation $\mathcal{U}\check{b}^\Omega = b^\varepsilon \check{\mathcal{U}}$. In components, the expressions

$$(\mathcal{U}\check{b}^\Omega)_{kl} = \sum_{\substack{m+p=k \\ q+n=l}} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{pq} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes n}) b_{mn}^\Omega, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$(b^\varepsilon \check{\mathcal{U}})_{kl} = \sum_{p+t+q=l} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes k} \otimes 1_{s\varepsilon} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes p} \otimes b_t^{\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes q}) \mathcal{U}_{k,p+1+q} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{m+i=k \\ j+n=l}} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{ij}^\varepsilon \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes n}) \mathcal{U}_{mn} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$+ \sum_{a+u+c=k} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes a} \otimes b_u^{A^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes c} \otimes 1_{s\varepsilon} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes l}) \mathcal{U}_{a+1+c,l} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

have to coincide for all $k, l \geq 0$. Let us analyze the details of this equation. Since $b_{mn}^\Omega = 0$ unless $n = 0$ or $(m, n) = (0, 1)$, it follows that the right hand side of (A.6) reduces to

$$\sum_{m=0}^k (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{k-m,l}) b_{m0}^\Omega + (\mathcal{U}_{k,l-1} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}) b_{01}^\Omega.$$

Since $\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)$ is a differential graded category, sum (A.7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^l (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes k} \otimes 1_{s\varepsilon} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes(p-1)} \otimes B_1 \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes(l-p)}) \mathcal{U}_{kl} \\
& + \sum_{p=1}^{l-1} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes k} \otimes 1_{s\varepsilon} \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes(p-1)} \otimes B_2 \otimes 1_{s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)}^{\otimes(l-p-1)}) \mathcal{U}_{k,l-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $b_{ij}^\varepsilon = 0$ if $j > 1$, sum (A.8) equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,0}^\varepsilon \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes l}) \mathcal{U}_{ml} + (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes k} \otimes b_{00}^\varepsilon \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes l}) \mathcal{U}_{kl} \\ + \sum_{m=0}^k (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,1}^\varepsilon \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes(l-1)}) \mathcal{U}_{m,l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Sum (A.9) does not allow further simplification. Therefore, the equation to prove is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^k (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{k-m,l}) b_{m0}^\mathcal{Q} + (\mathcal{U}_{k,l-1} \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}) b_{01}^\mathcal{Q} \\ = \sum_{p=1}^l (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes k} \otimes 1_{s\mathcal{E}} \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes(p-1)} \otimes B_1 \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes(l-p)}) \mathcal{U}_{kl} \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{l-1} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes k} \otimes 1_{s\mathcal{E}} \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes(p-1)} \otimes B_2 \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes(l-p-1)}) \mathcal{U}_{k,l-1} \\ + \sum_{m=0}^k (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,0}^\varepsilon \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes l}) \mathcal{U}_{ml} + \sum_{m=0}^k (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,1}^\varepsilon \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes(l-1)}) \mathcal{U}_{m,l-1} \\ + \sum_{a+u+c=k} (1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes a} \otimes b_u^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1_{s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}^{\otimes c} \otimes 1_{s\mathcal{E}} \otimes 1_{s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)}^{\otimes l}) \mathcal{U}_{a+1+c,l}. \end{aligned}$$

Write it in more detailed form using explicit formulas (A.2) for components of $b^\mathcal{Q}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m=1}^k [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_m \otimes \mathcal{U}_{k-m,l}} \\ s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, H^{X_m}) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_m}, f_l) \xrightarrow{B_2} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\ + [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{kl}} \\ s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_l) \xrightarrow{B_1} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\ + [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{k,l-1} \otimes 1} \\ s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_{l-1}) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_{l-1}, f_l) \xrightarrow{B_2} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\ - \sum_{p=1}^l [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p}} \\ \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{kl}} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\ - \sum_{p=1}^{l-1} [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, \dots, f_{p-1}, f_{p+1}, \dots, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_{k,l-1}} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\
& - \sum_{m=0}^k [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,0}^{\varepsilon} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_m f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_{ml}} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\
& - \sum_{m=0}^k [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,1}^{\varepsilon} \otimes 1^{\otimes l-1}} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_m f_1[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_1, f_l) \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_{m,l-1}} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, f_l)] \\
& - \sum_{a+u+c=k} [\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes a} \otimes b_u^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1^{\otimes c} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^{a+1+c} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, \dots, X_a, X_{a+u}, \dots, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_{a+1+c,l}} s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, f_l)] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
S \cdot \text{pr}_n = 0 : & \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $n \geq 0$, and $Z_0, \dots, Z_n \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. By closedness, each of these equations is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
(1^{\otimes n} \otimes S \cdot \text{pr}_n) \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} &= (1^{\otimes n} \otimes S) \text{ev}_{n1}^{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}} = 0 : \\
& \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l).
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\text{ev}^{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ -functor combined with explicit formula (0.2) for components of $\text{ev}^{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}$ allows to derive certain identities. Specifically, restricting the identity $[\text{ev}^{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}} b^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} - (b^{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes 1 + 1 \boxtimes B) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}] \text{pr}_1 = 0 : T s\mathcal{A} \boxtimes T s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ to the summand $\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \chi)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \chi) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes B_2} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \chi) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{pr}_n} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0 \phi, Z_n \chi)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0 \phi, Z_n \chi)] \\
& = [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \chi) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes B_2} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \chi) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n1}^{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0 \phi, Z_n \chi)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{p+q=n} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \chi) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \chi) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{p1}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_p\psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p\psi, Z_n\chi) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\chi)]. \quad (\text{A.10})
\end{aligned}$$

Restricting the same identity to the summand $\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes B_1} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{pr}_n} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&= [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes B_1} \\
&\quad \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n1}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&= [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n1}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi) \xrightarrow{b_1^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{q>0 \\ p+q=n}} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \\
&\quad \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \psi) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{p1}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_p\psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p\psi, Z_n\psi) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{p>0 \\ p+q=n}} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\
&\quad \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes T^0 s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \phi) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{p0}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_p\phi) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p\phi, Z_n\psi) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&- \sum_{\alpha+t+\beta=n} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes b_t \otimes 1^{\otimes \beta} \otimes 1} \\
&\quad \bar{T}^{\alpha+1+\beta} \mathcal{A}(Z_0, \dots, Z_\alpha, Z_{\alpha+t}, \dots, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\alpha+1+\beta, 1}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&= [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n1}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi) \xrightarrow{b_1^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{q>0 \\ p+q=n}} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \\
&\quad \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\psi, \psi) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{p1}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{A_\infty}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_p\psi) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p\psi, Z_n\psi) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{p>0 \\ p+q=n}} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\phi_p \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_p\phi) \otimes s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p\phi, Z_n\psi) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)] \\
& - \sum_{\alpha+t+\beta=n} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes b_t \otimes 1^{\otimes\beta} \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^{\alpha+1+\beta} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, \dots, Z_\alpha, Z_{\alpha+t}, \dots, Z_n) \otimes s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(\phi, \psi) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\alpha+1+\beta, 1}^{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_0\phi, Z_n\psi)]. \quad (\text{A.11})
\end{aligned}$$

With identities (A.10) and (A.11) in hand, it is the matter of straightforward verification to check that $(1^{\otimes n} \otimes S \cdot \text{pr}_n) \text{ev}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$ admits the following presentation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{p+q=n} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^{k-m} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_m, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{pm} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{k-m, l; q}'} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p)) \otimes s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), Z_n f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{b_2^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \quad (\text{A.12})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{kl; n}'} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l) \xrightarrow{b_1^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \quad (\text{A.13})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{p+q=n}^{q>0} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_l, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{kl; p}' \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_p f_l) \otimes s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p f_l, Z_n f_l) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \quad (\text{A.14})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{p+q=n}^{p>0} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{H_p^{X_0} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{kl; q}'} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p)) \otimes s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), Z_n f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{b_2^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \quad (\text{A.15})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\alpha+t+\beta=n} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes\alpha} \otimes b_t \otimes 1^{\otimes\beta} \otimes 1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \bar{T}^{\alpha+1+\beta} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, \dots, Z_\alpha, Z_{\alpha+t}, \dots, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{kl; \alpha+1+\beta}'} s\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \quad (\text{A.16})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{p+q=n} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_{l-1}) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_{l-1}, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}'_{k,l-1;p} \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_p f_{l-1}) \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p f_{l-1}, Z_n f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \tag{A.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{p=1}^l [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p}} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}'_{kl;n}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \tag{A.18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{p=1}^{l-1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p-1}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, \dots, f_{p-1}, f_{p+1}, \dots, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}'_{k,l-1;n}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \tag{A.19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{m=0}^k [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,0}^{\mathcal{E}} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \\
& \quad \otimes X_m f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}'_{ml;n}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \tag{A.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{m=0}^k [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes m} \otimes b_{k-m,1}^{\mathcal{E}} \otimes 1^{\otimes l-1}} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_m f_1[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_1, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}'_{m,l-1;n}} s\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \tag{A.21}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{a+u+c=k} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes a} \otimes b_u^{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1^{\otimes c} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^{a+1+c} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, \dots, X_a, X_{a+u}, \dots, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\bar{\mathcal{U}}'_{a+1+c,l;n}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)]. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Appearance of the component $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{pm}$ in term (A.12) is explained by the identity $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{pm} = ((1, \mathcal{Y}) \text{ev}^{\mathcal{A}^\infty})_{pm} = (1^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m) \text{ev}_{p1}^{\mathcal{A}^\infty}$, which holds true by definition of the Yoneda $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$. Expanding $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{pm}$ according to formula (5.15), term (A.12) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^{k-m} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_m, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes \text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^{k-m} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_m, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm}) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}(X_m, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{k-m} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_m) \\ & \quad \otimes \mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_{m+1+p}) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k-m+1+q, l}^{\mathcal{A}^\infty})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s \otimes [-1]s} \\ & \quad s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_m, Z_p), Z_n f_l) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the last two arrows by the composite $m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}[-1]s$ and applying identity (0.5) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathcal{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes(k-m)} \otimes b_{m+1+p} \otimes 1^{\otimes q} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k-m+1+q, l}^{\mathcal{A}^\infty})} \\ & \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l).$$

Denote $d' = s^{-1}ds = s^{-1}d^{X_k f_0}s = b_{00}^\varepsilon : X_k f_0[1] = s\mathcal{E}(X_k, f_0) \rightarrow X_k f_0[1] = s\mathcal{E}(X_k, f_0)$. Thus, the shifted complex $X_k f_0[1]$ carries the differential $-d'$. Since $m_1^{\underline{C}_k} = -\underline{C}_k(1, d') + \underline{C}_k(b_1, 1) : \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \rightarrow \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1])$, it follows that term (A.13) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \\ & \quad \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{m_1^{\underline{C}_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l) \\ & = (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, -\text{ev}^{C_k} \cdot d')} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l) \\ & - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l). \end{aligned}$$

Since ev^{C_k} is a chain map, it follows that

$$-\text{ev}^{C_k} \cdot d' = -(d' \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_k} + (1 \otimes m_1^{C_k}) \text{ev}^{C_k} : X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1]) \rightarrow Z_n f_l[1],$$

therefore term (A.13) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty} b_1^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l) \\
& + (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, d' \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l) \\
& - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l). \quad (\text{A.23})
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$f = [X \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k}} \underline{C}_k(Y, Y \otimes X) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes f)} \underline{C}_k(Y, Y \otimes \underline{C}_k(Y, Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{C}_k})} \underline{C}_k(Y, Z)]$$

valid for an arbitrary $f \in \underline{C}_k(X, \underline{C}_k(Y, Z))$ by general properties of closed monoidal categories, term (A.14) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_l, f_l) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k} \otimes \text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k}} \\ & \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \\ & \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l[1], Z_p f_l[1] \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_l, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm}) \otimes 1} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \\ & \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l[1], Z_p f_l[1] \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_l, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+p, l}^{\underline{A}_\infty}) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{\underline{A}_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_p f_l)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l[1], Z_p f_l[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_p f_l[1])) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l[1], Z_p f_l[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{C}_k}) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{C}_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_p f_l[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l[1], Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s \otimes [-1]s} \\ & s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_p f_l) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l, Z_n f_l) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{C}_k}} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)]. \quad (\text{A.24}) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the last two arrows by the composite $m_2^{\underline{C}_k}[-1]s$ and applying identity (0.5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes T^0 s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_l, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+p, l}^{\underline{A}_\infty}) \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{\underline{A}_\infty}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_p f_l) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Z_p f_l, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0[1], Z_p f_l[1]) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p f_l[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (\text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} &= (1 \otimes m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}) \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} : \\ & X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0[1], Z_p f_l[1]) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}(Z_p f_l[1], Z_n f_l[1]) \rightarrow Z_n f_l[1], \end{aligned}$$

and $(s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1])m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} = -b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} s^{-1}[1]$, we infer that term (A.14) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ & \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes \text{perm} \cdot (\text{ev}_{k+1+p, l}^{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}} \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}}) b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, term (A.15) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes \text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), \\ & \quad s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \\ & \quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbb{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(f_0, f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_{p+1}) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+q, l}^{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p)) \\ & \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \\ & \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), Z_n f_l[1]) \\ & \xrightarrow{[-1]^s \otimes [-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_p), Z_n f_l) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{b_2^{C_k}} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \\
& = -(-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k} \otimes b_{p+1} \otimes 1^{\otimes q} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+1+q, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)]
\end{aligned}$$

due to identity (0.5). It follows immediately from naturality of coev^{C_k} that term (A.16) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes b_t \otimes 1^{\otimes \beta} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+\alpha+2+\beta, l}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)].
\end{aligned}$$

Term (A.17) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_{l-1}) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_{l-1}, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k} \otimes \text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_{l-1})) \\
& \quad \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}[1], Z_p f_{l-1}[1] \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_{l-1}, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm}) \otimes 1} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \otimes \bar{T}^p s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_p) \otimes \bar{T}^{l-1} s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_{l-1}) \\
& \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}[1], Z_p f_{l-1}[1] \otimes \bar{T}^q s\mathcal{A}(Z_p, Z_n) \otimes s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_{l-1}, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+p, l-1}^{A_\infty}) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_p f_{l-1})) \\
& \quad \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}[1], Z_p f_{l-1}[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_p f_{l-1}[1])) \\
& \quad \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}[1], Z_p f_{l-1}[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k}) \otimes \underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_p f_{l-1}[1]) \otimes \underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}[1], Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s \otimes [-1]s} \\
& \quad s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_p f_{l-1}) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(Z_p f_{l-1}, Z_n f_l) \xrightarrow{b_2^{C_k}} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)].
\end{aligned}$$

The obtained expression is of the same type as (A.24). Transform it in the same manner to conclude that term (A.17) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{perm} \cdot (\text{ev}_{k+1+p, l-1}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{A_\infty}) b_2^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)].
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, term (A.18) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{A_\infty})} \\
& \quad \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)].
\end{aligned}$$

Similar presentation holds for term (A.19):

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p-1}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l-1}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}})} \\
& \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)].
\end{aligned}$$

If $m = k$, term (A.20) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, d' \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+n, l}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\mathbb{C}_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)],
\end{aligned}$$

it cancels one of the summands present in (A.23). Suppose that $0 \leq m \leq k-1$. Expressing $b_{k-m,0}^{\mathcal{E}}$ via $\text{ev}_{k-m,0}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}$, we write term (A.20) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{k-m} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_m) \\
& \quad \otimes T^0 s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_0) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes m} \otimes 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k-m,0}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \\
& \quad \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0, X_m f_0) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes m} \otimes 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \\
& \quad \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0[1], X_m f_0[1]) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes m} \otimes \text{ev}^{C_k} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}} \\
& \quad \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \otimes X_m f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_m) \\
& \quad \otimes X_m f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_m f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}(X_m, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{m+1+n, l}^{A_{\infty}})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_m f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_m f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_m f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_m f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l) \\
& = (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{k-m} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_m) \otimes T^0 s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^m s\mathcal{A}(X_m, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k-m, 0}^{A_{\infty}} \otimes \text{ev}_{m+1+n, l}^{A_{\infty}})} \\
& \quad \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, X_m f_0) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_m f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \\
& \quad \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], X_m f_0[1]) \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_m f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, (\text{ev}^{C_k} \otimes 1) \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l).
\end{aligned}$$

The further transformations are parallel to (A.25). We conclude that term (A.20) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (\text{ev}_{k-m, 0}^{A_{\infty}} \otimes \text{ev}_{m+1+n, l}^{A_{\infty}}) b_2^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l).
\end{aligned}$$

The case of term (A.21) is quite similar, we only give the result:

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{perm} \cdot (\text{ev}_{k-m, 1}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}} \otimes \text{ev}_{m+1+n, l-1}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}) b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using formula (3.2) for $b_u^{\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}}}$, we find that term (A.22) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{a+2+c} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes a} \otimes b_u^{\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}}} \otimes 1^{\otimes c} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes l})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^{a+1+c} s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, \dots, X_a, X_{a+u}, \dots, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^{c+1+a} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, \dots, X_{a+u}, X_a, \dots, X_0) \\
& \quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{c+2+a+n, l}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \otimes \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l)] \\
& = (-)^{a+u+c+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes c} \otimes b_u \otimes 1^{\otimes a} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{c+2+a+n, l}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1]))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l).$$

The overall sign is $(-)^{a+u+c+1} = (-)^{k+1}$.

Summing up, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (1^{\otimes n} \otimes S \cdot \text{pr}_n) \text{ev}^{C_k} &= (-)^{k+1} [\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \\ &\quad \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\ &\quad \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\ &\quad \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes R)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k f_0[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k f_0[1], Z_n f_l[1])) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n f_l), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R &= - \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{p+q=n} (1^{\otimes k-m} \otimes b_{m+1+p} \otimes 1^{\otimes q} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k-m+1+q,l}^{A_\infty} + \text{ev}_{k+1+n,l}^{A_\infty} b_2^{C_k} \\ &\quad - (1^{\otimes k} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n,l}^{A_\infty} + \sum_{p+q=n}^{q>0} \text{perm} \cdot (\text{ev}_{k+1+p,l}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q0}^{A_\infty}) b_2^{C_k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p+q=n}^{p>0} (1^{\otimes k} \otimes b_{p+1} \otimes 1^{\otimes q} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+1+q,l}^{A_\infty} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha+t+\beta=n} (1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes \alpha} \otimes b_t \otimes 1^{\otimes \beta} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{k+\alpha+2+\beta,l}^{A_\infty} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p+q=n} \text{perm} \cdot (\text{ev}_{k+1+p,l-1}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{q1}^{A_\infty}) b_2^{C_k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^l (1^{\otimes k+1+n} \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n,l}^{A_\infty} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^{l-1} (1^{\otimes k+1+n} \otimes 1^{\otimes p-1} \otimes B_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes l-p-1}) \text{ev}_{k+1+n,l-1}^{A_\infty} \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (\text{ev}_{k-m,0}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{m+1+n,l}^{A_\infty}) b_2^{C_k} + \sum_{m=0}^k \text{perm} \cdot (\text{ev}_{k-m,1}^{A_\infty} \otimes \text{ev}_{m+1+n,l-1}^{A_\infty}) b_2^{C_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{a+u+c=k} (1^{\otimes c} \otimes b_u \otimes 1^{\otimes a} \otimes 1 \otimes 1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) \text{ev}_{c+2+a+n,l}^{A_\infty} : \\
& \bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l) \\
& \hspace{25em} \rightarrow s\underline{C}_k(X_k f_0, Z_n f_l),
\end{aligned}$$

which is easily seen to be the restriction of $(\text{ev}^{A_\infty} b_{\underline{C}_k} - (b^A \boxtimes 1 + 1 \boxtimes B) \text{ev}^{A_\infty}) \text{pr}_1 : Ts\mathcal{A} \boxtimes Ts\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k) \rightarrow s\underline{C}_k$ to the summand $\bar{T}^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \bar{T}^l s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(f_0, f_l)$ of the source. Since ev^{A_∞} is an A_∞ -functor, it follows that $R = 0$, and the equation is proven. That finishes the proof of Lemma A.2. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞ -category, and let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{C}_k$ be an A_∞ -functor. Denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the $\mathcal{A}$ -module determined by f in Proposition 4.2. Denote

$$\Upsilon = \mathcal{U}_{00} : s\mathcal{M}(X) = s\mathcal{E}(X, f) = Xf[1] \rightarrow s\underline{A}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{C}_k)(H^X, f) \quad (\text{A.26})$$

for the sake of brevity. The composition of Υ with the projection pr_n from (A.3) is given by the particular case $p = q = 0$ of (A.4):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_n = & - \left[s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \right. \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \tau_c)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_{n+1}^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\mathcal{M}(Z_n)) \\
& \xrightarrow{(\varphi^{C_k})^{-1}} \underline{C}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_n))) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, [-1])} \underline{C}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n))) \\
& \left. \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, s)} \underline{C}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n))) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $n \geq 0$, $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n & n+1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+2}$. An element $r \in s\mathcal{M}(X)$ is mapped to an A_∞ -transformation $(r)\Upsilon$ with the components

$$\begin{aligned}
(r)\Upsilon_n : T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) & \rightarrow s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n)), \quad n \geq 0, \\
z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n & \mapsto (z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_n \otimes r)\Upsilon'_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon'_n = & - \left[T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \tau_c)} \right. \\
& \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_{n+1}^{\mathcal{M}})} \\
& \left. \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_n)) \xrightarrow{[-1]} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n)) \xrightarrow{s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n)) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{U}$ is a chain map by Lemma A.2, vanishing of (5.12) on $s\mathcal{M}(X)$ implies that the map

$$\mathcal{U}_{00} = \Upsilon : (s\mathcal{M}(X), b_{00}^{\mathcal{E}} = s^{-1}d^X f s = b_0^{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow (s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f), b_{00}^{\mathcal{O}} = B_1)$$

is a chain map as well. The following result generalizes previously known A_{∞} -version of the Yoneda Lemma [Fuk02, Theorem 9.1], [LM04, Proposition A.9], and gives the latter if $f = H^W$ for $W \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. It can also be found in Seidel's book [Sei06, Lemma 2.12], where it is proven assuming that the ground ring $\mathbb{k}$ is a field, and the proof is based on a spectral sequence argument. The proof presented here is considerably longer than that of Seidel, however it works in the case of an arbitrary commutative ground ring.

A.3 Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital A_{∞} -category, let X be an object of $\mathcal{A}$, and let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ be a unital A_{∞} -functor. Then the map Υ is homotopy invertible.*

Proof. The A_{∞} -module $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to f is unital by Proposition 4.4. The components of f are expressed via the components of $b^{\mathcal{M}}$ as follows ($k \geq 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} f_k &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \xrightarrow{\text{coev } \mathbb{C}_k} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{M}(Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_0) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_k^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{M}(Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{[-1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))]. \quad (\text{A.27}) \end{aligned}$$

Define a map $\alpha : s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(X)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= [s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_0} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) = s\mathcal{M}(X)]. \quad (\text{A.28}) \end{aligned}$$

The map α is a chain map. Indeed, pr_0 is a chain map, and

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)b_0^{\mathcal{M}} &= s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_0^{\mathcal{M}}) \\ &= s^{-1}[1](-\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_0^{\mathcal{M}}) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(b_1, 1))\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) = s^{-1}[1]m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \\ &= s^{-1}m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) = b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1), \end{aligned}$$

since ${}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A$ is a chain map, and $[1]$ is a differential graded functor. Let us compute $\Upsilon\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon\alpha &= \Upsilon_0 s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) = -[s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, c)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_1^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) = s\mathcal{M}(X)] \\
&= [s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, cb_1^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) = s\mathcal{M}(X)] \\
&= [s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k} \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, {}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A \otimes 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, cb_1^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) = s\mathcal{M}(X)] \\
&= [s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes {}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A} s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \xrightarrow{b_1^{\mathcal{M}}} s\mathcal{M}(X)]. \tag{A.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital A_{∞} -module by Proposition 4.4, it follows that $\Upsilon\alpha$ is homotopic to identity. Let us prove that $\alpha\Upsilon$ is homotopy invertible.

The graded $\mathbb{k}$ -module $s\underline{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f)$ is $V = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$, where

$$V_n = \prod_{Z_0, \dots, Z_n \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n)))$$

and all products are taken in the category of graded $\mathbb{k}$ -modules. In other terms, for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $V^d = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n^d$, where

$$V_n^d = \prod_{Z_0, \dots, Z_n \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n)))^d.$$

We consider V_n^d as Abelian groups with discrete topology. The Abelian group V^d is equipped with the topology of the product. Thus, its basis of neighborhoods of 0 is given by $\mathbb{k}$ -submodules $\Phi_m^d = 0^{m-1} \times \prod_{n=m}^{\infty} V_n^d$. They form a filtration $V^d = \Phi_0^d \supset \Phi_1^d \supset \Phi_2^d \supset \dots$. We call a $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $a : V \rightarrow V$ of degree p continuous if the induced maps $a^{d, d+p} = a|_{V^d} : V^d \rightarrow V^{d+p}$ are continuous for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. This holds if and only if for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists an integer $\kappa = \kappa(d, m) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\Phi_{\kappa}^d)a \subset \Phi_m^{d+p}$. We may assume that

$$m' < m'' \quad \text{implies} \quad \kappa(d, m') \leq \kappa(d, m''). \tag{A.30}$$

Indeed, a given function $m \mapsto \kappa(d, m)$ can be replaced with the function $m \mapsto \kappa'(d, m) = \min_{n \geq m} \kappa(d, n)$ and κ' satisfies condition (A.30). Continuous linear maps $a : V \rightarrow V$ of degree p are in bijection with families of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ -matrices $(A^{d, d+p})_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of linear maps $A_{nm}^{d, d+p} : V_n^d \rightarrow V_m^{d+p}$ with finite number of non-vanishing elements in each column of $A^{d, d+p}$. Indeed, to each continuous map $a^{d, d+p} : V^d \rightarrow V^{d+p}$ corresponds the inductive limit over

m of $\kappa(d, m) \times m$ -matrices of maps $V^d / \Phi_{\kappa(d, m)}^d \rightarrow V^{d+p} / \Phi_m^{d+p}$. On the other hand, to each family $(A^{d, d+p})_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ -matrices with finite number of non-vanishing elements in each column correspond obvious maps $a^{d, d+p} : V^d \rightarrow V^{d+p}$, and they are continuous. Thus, $a = (a^{d, d+p})_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is continuous. A continuous map $a : V \rightarrow V$ can be completely recovered from one $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ -matrix $(a_{nm})_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of maps $a_{nm} = (A_{nm}^{d, d+p})_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} : V_n \rightarrow V_m$ of degree p . Naturally, not any such matrix determines a continuous map, however, if the number of non-vanishing elements in each column of (a_{nm}) is finite, then this matrix does determine a continuous map.

The differential $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_1 : V \rightarrow V$, $r \mapsto (r)B_1 = rb - (-)^r br$ is continuous and the function κ for it is simply $\kappa(d, m) = m$. Its matrix is given by

$$D = B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} D_{0,0} & D_{0,1} & D_{0,2} & \dots \\ 0 & D_{1,1} & D_{1,2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & D_{2,2} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k,k} &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}) - \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k\left(\sum_{p+1+q=k} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1\right) : V_k \rightarrow V_k, \\ r_k D_{k,k} &= r_k b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} - (-)^r \sum_{p+1+q=k} (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) r_k, \end{aligned}$$

(one easily recognizes the differential in the complex V_k),

$$r_k D_{k,k+1} = (r_k \otimes f_1) b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} + (H_1^X \otimes r_k) b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} - (-)^r \sum_{p+q=k-1} (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) r_k.$$

Further we shall see that we do not need to compute other components.

Composition of $\alpha\Upsilon$ with pr_n equals

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\Upsilon_n &= -[s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^X, f) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_0} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) = s\mathcal{M}(X) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \tau_c)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, b_{n+1}^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\mathcal{M}(Z_n)) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\varphi^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_n))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, [-1]s)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_n)))]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\alpha\Upsilon$ is continuous (take $\kappa(d, m) = 1$). Its $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ -matrix has the form

$$\alpha\Upsilon = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

A.4 Lemma. *The map $\alpha\Upsilon : V \rightarrow V$ is homotopic to a continuous map $V \rightarrow V$, whose $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ -matrix is upper-triangular with the identity maps $\text{id} : V_k \rightarrow V_k$ on the diagonal.*

Proof. Define a continuous $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map $K : s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_\infty(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f)$ of degree -1 by its matrix

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ K_{1,0} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & K_{2,1} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

so $\kappa(d, m) = m + 1$, where $K_{k+1,k}$ maps the factor indexed by $(X, Z_0, \dots, Z_k)$ to the factor indexed by $(Z_0, \dots, Z_k)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{k+1,k} &= [\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\varphi^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}})^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, [-1]s)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)))]. \end{aligned}$$

Other factors are ignored.

The composition of continuous maps $V \rightarrow V$ is continuous as well. In particular, one finds the matrices of B_1K and KB_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} B_1K &= \begin{bmatrix} D_{0,1}K_{1,0} & D_{0,2}K_{2,1} & D_{0,3}K_{3,2} & \dots \\ D_{1,1}K_{1,0} & D_{1,2}K_{2,1} & D_{1,3}K_{3,2} & \dots \\ 0 & D_{2,2}K_{2,1} & D_{2,3}K_{3,2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & D_{3,3}K_{3,2} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \\ KB_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ K_{1,0}D_{0,0} & K_{1,0}D_{0,1} & K_{1,0}D_{0,2} & \dots \\ 0 & K_{2,1}D_{1,1} & K_{2,1}D_{1,2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & K_{3,2}D_{2,2} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $D_{k+1,k+1}K_{k+1,k} + K_{k+1,k}D_{k,k} = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Indeed, conjugating the expanded left hand side with $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, [-1]s)$ we come to the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\sum_{p+q=k} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1)} \\
& \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{K}, s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{(\varphi^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)))] \\
& + [\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{K}, s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{(\varphi^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})^{-1}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\sum_{p+q=k-1} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)))] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

After reducing all terms to the common form, beginning with $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))$, all terms cancel each other, so the identity is proven.

Therefore, the chain map $a = \alpha\Upsilon + B_1K + KB_1$ is also represented by an upper-triangular matrix. Its diagonal elements are chain maps $a_{kk} : V_k \rightarrow V_k$. We are going to show that they are homotopic to identity maps.

Let us compute the matrix element $a_{00} : V_0 \rightarrow V_0 = \prod_{Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))$. We have

$$a_{00} \text{pr}_Z = (\alpha\Upsilon_0 + B_1K_{1,0}) \text{pr}_Z : V_0 \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_Z} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)).$$

In the expanded form these terms are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha\Upsilon_0 \text{pr}_Z &= -[V_0 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{K}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) = s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, cb_1^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))],
\end{aligned}$$

$$B_1K_{1,0} \text{pr}_Z = [(1 \otimes f_1)b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} + (H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}]K_{1,0} \text{pr}_Z,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes f_1)b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} &= [V_0 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, c)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes f_1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, b_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z)))],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2^{\underline{C}_k} &= [V_0 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_Z} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, H_1^X \otimes 1)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_2^{\underline{C}_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z)))],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{1,0} \text{pr}_Z &= [V_1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{X,Z}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, s^{-1}[1])} \\
&\underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \underline{C}_k(x i_0^A, 1))} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \underline{C}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
&= \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))].
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that in the sum

$$a_{00} \text{pr}_Z = \alpha \Upsilon_0 \text{pr}_Z + (1 \otimes f_1)b_2^{\underline{C}_k} K_{1,0} \text{pr}_Z + (H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2^{\underline{C}_k} K_{1,0} \text{pr}_Z$$

the first two summands cancel each other, while the last, $(H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2^{\underline{C}_k} K_{1,0}$, is homotopic to identity. Indeed, $\alpha \Upsilon_0 \text{pr}_Z + (1 \otimes f_1)b_2^{\underline{C}_k} K_{1,0} \text{pr}_Z$ factors through

$$\begin{aligned}
&- [s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(x i_0^A, 1)} \underline{C}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
&= s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, cb_1^{\mathcal{M}})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z))] \\
&+ [s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{C}_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, c)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes f_1)} \\
&\underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_2^{\underline{C}_k})} \\
&\underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \underline{C}_k(x i_0^A, 1))} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \underline{C}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z))) = \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z))].
\end{aligned}$$

It therefore suffices to prove that the above expression vanishes. By closedness this is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&- [s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes \underline{C}_k(x i_0^A, 1)} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{cb_1^{\mathcal{M}}} s\mathcal{M}(Z)] \\
&+ [s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{c} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \\
&\xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{b_2^{\underline{C}_k}} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(x i_0^A, 1)} \underline{C}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z)) = s\mathcal{M}(Z)] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Canceling c and transforming the left hand side using (4.2) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& - [s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1] \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x i_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z)) = s\mathcal{M}(Z)] \\
& + [s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1] \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x i_0^A, 1) \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} s\mathcal{M}(Z)] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation follows from the following identity which holds by the properties of the closed monoidal category $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \\
& \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x i_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z)) = s\mathcal{M}(Z)] \\
& = [\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x i_0^A, 1) \otimes 1} \\
& \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(X)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) = s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} s\mathcal{M}(Z)].
\end{aligned}$$

This is a particular case of identity (0.4) combined with (0.3).

Now we prove that $(H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2 K_{1,0}$ is homotopic to identity. It maps each factor $s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))$ into itself via the following map:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, P)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P & = -[s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \otimes s^{-1}[1]} \\
& \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \\
& \quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x i_0^A, 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z)) = s\mathcal{M}(Z)] \\
& = [s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{A}(X, X) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, b_2) \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, X), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x i_0^A, 1) \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} s\mathcal{M}(Z)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -[s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \otimes 1} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k} \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, ({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A \otimes 1)b_2) \otimes 1} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{M}(Z)] \\
&= -[s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A \otimes 1)b_2 \otimes 1} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\mathcal{M}(Z)]. \quad (\text{A.31})
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} K_{1,0} &= -[s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, ({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A \otimes 1)b_2 \otimes 1)} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}})} \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))].
\end{aligned}$$

Due to [Lyu03, Lemma 7.4] there exists a homotopy $h'' : s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \rightarrow s\mathcal{A}(X, Z)$, a map of degree -1 , such that $({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A \otimes 1)b_2 = -1 + h''b_1 + b_1h''$. Therefore, the map considered above equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{id}_{s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))} - [s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, (h''b_1 + b_1h'') \otimes 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z))) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}^{C_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))] \\
&= (\text{id}_{s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))} + b_1^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} K'_{00} + K'_{00} b_1^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K'_{00} &= [s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))].
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, $b_1^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} K'_{00} + K'_{00} b_1^{C_{\mathbb{k}}}$ is obtained by conjugating with $[-1]s$ the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1) m_1^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} &= (-\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_0^{\mathcal{M}}) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(b_1, 1)) \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1) \\
&\quad + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1) (-\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_0^{\mathcal{M}}) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(b_1, 1)) = -\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(b_1 h'' + h'' b_1, 1). \quad (\text{A.32})
\end{aligned}$$

The rest is straightforward. Therefore, $(H_1^X \otimes 1)b_2^{C_{\mathbb{k}}} K_{1,0}$ and a_{00} are homotopic to identity.

Now we are proving that diagonal elements $a_{kk} : V_k \rightarrow V_k$ are homotopic to identity maps for $k > 0$. An element $r_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}$ is mapped to direct product over $Z_0, \dots, Z_k \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ of

$$r_{k+1}K_{k+1,k} = \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X,Z_0),*}^{\mathbb{C}_k} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), r_{k+1}^{X,Z_0,\dots,Z_k} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s : \\ \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)).$$

Here $r_{k+1}^{X,Z_0,\dots,Z_k} : s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))$ is one of the coordinates of r_{k+1} .

Thus, $r_k D_{k,k+1} K_{k+1,k}$ is the sum of three terms (A.33a)–(A.33c):

$$\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X,Z_0),*}^{\mathbb{C}_k} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), (r_k^{X,Z_0,\dots,Z_{k-1}} \otimes f_1) b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s : \\ \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \quad (\text{A.33a})$$

where $r_k^{X,Z_0,\dots,Z_{k-1}} : s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_{k-1}) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}))$. Since $b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} = (s \otimes s)^{-1} m_2 s = -(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}) m_2 s$, and $[1]$ is a differential graded functor, we have

$$(r_k \otimes f_1) b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} s^{-1}[1] = -(r_k s^{-1}[1] \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k}.$$

Identity (0.4) gives

$$(r_k \otimes f_1) b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) = -(r_k s^{-1}[1] \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \\ = -(r_k s^{-1}[1] \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]) (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes 1) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} = (r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k}$$

(we have used the fact that $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)$ has degree -1 and $f_1 s^{-1}$ has degree 1).

$$\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X,Z_0),*}^{\mathbb{C}_k} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), (H_1^X \otimes r_k^{Z_0,\dots,Z_k}) b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s : \\ \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \quad (\text{A.33b})$$

where $r_k^{Z_0,\dots,Z_k} : \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))$. Similarly to above

$$(H_1^X \otimes r_k) b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} s^{-1}[1] = (-)^{r+1} (H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \otimes r_k s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k},$$

so that

$$(H_1^X \otimes r_k) b_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) = (-)^{r+1} (H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \otimes r_k s^{-1}[1]) (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes 1) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k} \\ = (H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes r_k s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\mathbb{C}_k}$$

($r_k s^{-1}[1]$ has degree $\deg r + 1$ and $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)$ has degree -1).

For each p, q , such that $p + q = k - 1$

$$\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X,Z_0),*}^{\mathbb{C}_k} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k({}_X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s : \\ \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \quad (\text{A.33c})$$

where r_k means

$$r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{p-1}, Z_{p+1}, \dots, Z_k} : \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{p-1}, Z_{p+1}, \dots, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)),$$

and $Z_{-1} = X$.

Thus, $r_k D_{k,k+1} K_{k+1,k} = \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \Sigma_1)[-1]s$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 = & (r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{k-1}} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \\ & + (H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes r_k^{Z_0, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \\ & - (-)^r \sum_{p+q=k-1} (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{p-1}, Z_{p+1}, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) : \\ & s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(Z_k). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $r_k K_{k,k-1} D_{k-1,k}$ is the sum of three terms (A.34a)–(A.34c).

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)))[-1]s \\ & \quad \otimes \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}), s\mathcal{A}(Z_{k-1}, Z_k)}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}), b_1^{\mathcal{M}})[-1]s) b_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \\ & = -(\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)) \\ & \quad \otimes \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}), s\mathcal{A}(Z_{k-1}, Z_k)}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}), b_1^{\mathcal{M}})) m_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}[-1]s \\ & = -\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), (r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes 1) b_1^{\mathcal{M}})[-1]s : \\ & \quad \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \quad (\text{A.34a}) \end{aligned}$$

where r_k means $r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{k-1}} : \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{k-1}) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}))$. Here we use formulas (0.5) and (A.27).

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_1)}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), b_2)[-1]s \\ & \quad \otimes \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_1), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_1), r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)))[-1]s) b_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \\ & = (-)^r (\text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_1)}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), b_2) \\ & \quad \otimes \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_1), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_1), r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))) m_2^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}[-1]s \\ & = (-)^r \text{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), (b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes k-1}) r_k s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)))[-1]s : \\ & \quad \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \quad (\text{A.34b}) \end{aligned}$$

where r_k means $r_k^{X, Z_1, \dots, Z_k} : \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_1, \dots, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))$. We have used

that $r_k s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1)$ has degree $\deg r$ and formula (0.5).

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) \operatorname{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), r_k s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s \\
&= \operatorname{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), 1^{\otimes p+1} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}) \\
&\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), r_k s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s \\
&= \operatorname{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), (1^{\otimes p+1} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q})r_k s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1))[-1]s : \\
&\quad \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \quad (\text{A.34c})
\end{aligned}$$

where r_k is the map

$$r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_p, Z_{p+2}, \dots, Z_k} : \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0, \dots, Z_p, Z_{p+2}, \dots, Z_k) \rightarrow s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, X), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)).$$

Here we use functoriality of $\operatorname{coev}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$.

Thus, $r_k K_{k, k-1} D_{k-1, k} = \operatorname{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h^X Z_0, \Sigma_2)[-1]s$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2 &= -(r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{k-1}} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes 1)b_1^{\mathcal{M}} \\
&\quad + (-)^r (b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes k-1})r_k^{X, Z_1, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \\
&\quad - (-)^{r-1} \sum_{p+q=k-2} (1^{\otimes p+1} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q})r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_p, Z_{p+2}, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) : \\
&\quad s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(Z_k).
\end{aligned}$$

The element rK has degree $\deg r - 1$, so the sign $(-)^{r-1}$ arises. Combining this with the expression for $r_k D_{k, k+1} K_{k+1, k}$ we obtain

$$r_k D_{k, k+1} K_{k+1, k} + r_k K_{k, k-1} D_{k-1, k} = \operatorname{coev}_{s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), *}^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \Sigma)[-1]s,$$

where $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$. We claim that $\Sigma = (H_1^X s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes r_k^{Z_0, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1])m_2^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}$. Indeed, first of all,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes k-1})r_k^{X, Z_1, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p+q=k-2} (1^{\otimes p+1} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q})r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_p, Z_{p+2}, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \\
&\quad = \sum_{p+q=k-1} (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_2 \otimes 1^{\otimes q})r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{p-1}, Z_{p+1}, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma &= (r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{k-1}} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes f_1 s^{-1}[1])m_2^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} \\
&\quad + (H_1^X s^{-1}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes r_k^{Z_0, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1])m_2^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}} - (r_k^{X, Z_0, \dots, Z_{k-1}} s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X\mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes 1)b_1^{\mathcal{M}} : \\
&\quad s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(Z_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} &= \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k} : s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \\ &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1})) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{M}(Z_{k-1}), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \rightarrow s\mathcal{M}(Z_k) = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)), \end{aligned}$$

therefore the first and the third summands cancel out, due to (4.2). Hence, only the second summand remains in $\Sigma = (H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes r_k^{Z_0, \dots, Z_k} s^{-1}[1]) m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_k D_{k,k+1} K_{k+1,k} + r_k K_{k,k-1} D_{k-1,k} &= [\bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k)) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes r_k s^{-1}[1])} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathbb{k}, s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0)) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \xrightarrow[\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})]{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, m_2^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))] \\ &= [\bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \xrightarrow{r_k s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) \otimes 1)} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))] \\ &= [\bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \xrightarrow{r_k s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k}} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1), 1)} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k})} \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))] \\ &= [\bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k) \xrightarrow{r_k} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}[1]} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1), 1)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), s\mathcal{M}(Z_k)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$H_1^X s^{-1}[1] \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(x \mathbf{i}_0^A, 1) = -(x \mathbf{i}_0^A \otimes 1) b_2 = 1 - h'' b_1 - b_1 h'' : s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0) \rightarrow s\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0)$$

(compare with (A.31)). We see that for $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kk} &= D_{k,k+1} K_{k+1,k} + K_{k,k-1} D_{k-1,k} = 1 + g : \\ &\quad \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))) \\ &\quad \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\bar{T} s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X, Z_0), \mathcal{M}(Z_k))), \end{aligned}$$

where $g = -\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h''b_1 + b_1h'', 1)[-1]s)$. More precisely, $D_{k,k+1}K_{k+1,k} + K_{k,k-1}D_{k-1,k}$ is a diagonal map, whose components are $1 + g$. We claim that $g = m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}K'_{kk} + K'_{kk}m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}$, where

$$K'_{kk} = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s).$$

Indeed, $m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}) - \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\sum_{p+q=k-1} (1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}), 1)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}K'_{kk} &= (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}) - \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\sum_{p+q=k-1} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1))\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s) \\ &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s) \\ &\quad + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s)\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\sum_{p+q=k-1} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1), \\ K'_{kk}m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s)(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}) - \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\sum_{p+q=k-1} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1)) \\ &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]sb_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}) \\ &\quad - \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s)\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\sum_{p+q=k-1} 1^{\otimes p} \otimes b_1 \otimes 1^{\otimes q}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} K'_{kk}m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} + m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}K'_{kk} &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, b_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s + s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]sb_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}) \\ &= \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1](m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}})[-1]s). \end{aligned}$$

By (A.32) we have $m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1) + \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h''b_1 + b_1h'', 1)$, so that

$$K'_{kk}m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}} + m_1^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}}K'_{kk} = -\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h''b_1 + b_1h'', 1)[-1]s) = g.$$

Summing up, we have proved that

$$a = \alpha\Upsilon + B_1K + KB_1 = 1 + B_1K' + K'B_1 + N,$$

where $K' : s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f) \rightarrow s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^X, f)$ is a continuous $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map of degree -1 determined by a diagonal matrix with the matrix elements

$$K'_{kk} = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_k), s^{-1}[1]\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(h'', 1)[-1]s) : V_k \rightarrow V_k,$$

$K'_{kl} = 0$ for $k \neq l$, and the matrix of the remainder N is strictly upper-triangular: $N_{kl} = 0$ for all $k \geq l$. Lemma A.4 is proven. $\square$

The continuous map of degree 0

$$\alpha\Upsilon + B_1(K - K') + (K - K')B_1 = 1 + N : V \rightarrow V,$$

obtained in Lemma A.4, is invertible (its inverse is determined by the upper-triangular matrix $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-N)^i$, which is well-defined). Therefore, $\alpha\Upsilon$ is homotopy invertible. We have proved earlier that $\Upsilon\alpha$ is homotopic to identity. Viewing α, Υ as morphisms of the homotopy category $H^0(\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$, we see that both of them are homotopy invertible. Hence, they are homotopy inverse to each other. Proposition A.3 is proven. $\square$

Homotopy invertibility of $\Upsilon = \mathcal{U}_{00}$ implies invertibility of the cycle Ω_{00} up to boundaries. Hence the natural A_{∞} -transformation Ω is invertible and Theorem A.1 is proven. $\square$

A.5 Corollary. *There is a bijection between elements of $H^0(\mathcal{M}(X), d)$ and equivalence classes of natural A_{∞} -transformations $H^X \rightarrow f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$.*

The following representability criterion has been proven independently by Seidel [Sei06, Lemma 3.1] in the case when the ground ring $\mathbb{k}$ is a field.

A.6 Corollary. *A unital A_{∞} -functor $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is isomorphic to H^X for an object $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$ if and only if the $\mathcal{K}$ -functor $\mathbf{k}f : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbf{k}\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$ is representable by X .*

Proof. The A_{∞} -functor f is isomorphic to H^X if and only if there is an invertible natural A_{∞} -transformation $H^X \rightarrow f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$. By Proposition A.3, this is the case if and only if there is a cycle $t \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ of degree 0 such that the natural A_{∞} -transformation $(ts)\Upsilon$ is invertible. By [Lyu03, Proposition 7.15], invertibility of $(ts)\Upsilon$ is equivalent to invertibility modulo boundaries of the 0-th component $(ts)\Upsilon_0$ of $(ts)\Upsilon$. For each $Z \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, the element ${}_Z(ts)\Upsilon_0$ of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_Z(ts)\Upsilon_0 &= -[\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{(ts \otimes 1)b_1^{\mathcal{M}}} s\mathcal{M}(Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(Z)] \\ &= -[\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{ts \otimes f_1 s^{-1}} s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [1]} \\ &\quad s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{M}(X), s\mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} s\mathcal{M}(Z) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(Z)] \\ &= -[\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{s} s\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{ts \otimes f_1 s^{-1}} s\mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{s^{-1} \otimes 1} \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{M}(Z)] \\ &= [\mathcal{A}(X, Z) \xrightarrow{t \otimes s f_1 s^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(X) \otimes \underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Z)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{M}(Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.6, the above composite is invertible in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X, Z), \mathcal{M}(Z))$ modulo boundaries, i.e., homotopy invertible, if and only if $\mathbf{k}f$ is representable by the object X . $\square$

A.7 Proposition. So defined Ω turns the pasting

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} & & \\
 & & = & & \\
 \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{1, \mathcal{Y}} & \mathcal{A}, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}}} & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}} \\
 & \searrow^{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{Y}} & \downarrow^{\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}, 1} & \swarrow^{\Omega} & \\
 & & \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\text{op}}, \underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})}} &
 \end{array}$$

into the natural $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$ -transformation $r^{\mathcal{Y}}$ defined in Corollary 5.7. Equivalently, the homomorphism of $Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}\text{-}Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ -bicomodules $t^{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{Y}}\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})_{\mathcal{Y}}$ coincides with $(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \cdot \mathcal{U} : {}_1\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{Y}}\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})_{\mathcal{Y}}$. In other terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mu_{Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\check{\mathcal{Y}}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})] \\
 & = [Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}} Ts\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes Ts\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow{\check{\mathcal{U}}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{U}_{kl} = 0$ if $l > 1$, the above equation reduces to two cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{k+1+m}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})] \\
 & = [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m} T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \\
 & \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{k1}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})]
 \end{aligned}$$

if $m > 0$, and if $m = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{k+1}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})] \\
 & = [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes 1 \otimes T^0 \mathcal{Y}} T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes s\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes T^0 s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}) \\
 & \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{k0}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})]. \quad (\text{A.35})
 \end{aligned}$$

The first case expands to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
 & \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{k+1+m}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, H^{Y_m})] \\
 & = [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
 & \quad \xrightarrow{1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m} T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{Y_0}, H^{Y_m}) \\
 & \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{k1}} s\underline{\mathbf{A}}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, H^{Y_m})].
 \end{aligned}$$

The obtained equation is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{k+1+m}} \\
& \quad s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, H^{Y_m}) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_n} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n)))] \\
& = [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m} T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{Y_0}, H^{Y_m}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}_{k1;n}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n)))] ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $n \geq 0$, $Z_0, \dots, Z_n \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. By closedness, each of these equations is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{k+1+m}} T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{X_0}, H^{Y_m}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{pr}_n} T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n), s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))] \\
& = [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m} \\
& \quad T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{Y_0}, H^{Y_m}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}'_{k1;n}} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))] .
\end{aligned}$$

The left hand side equals $(1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{k+1+m}) \text{ev}_{n1}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}} = ((1, \mathcal{Y}) \text{ev}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}})_{n,k+1+m} = (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{n,k+1+m}$ by definition of the Yoneda $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$ -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$. The right hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{1^{\otimes n} \otimes 1^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m} \\
& \quad T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{Y_0}, H^{Y_m}) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\text{coev}_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \quad \otimes X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{Y_0}, H^{Y_m})) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \quad \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})(H^{Y_0}, H^{Y_m})) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes \text{ev}_{k+1+n,1}^{\mathbf{A}_{\infty}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k H^{Y_0}, Z_n H^{Y_m})) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(X_k H^{Y_0}[1], Z_n H^{Y_m}[1])) \\
& \quad \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(1, \text{ev}_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{k}}})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n H^{Y_m}[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))] \\
& = (-)^{k+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (1^{\otimes k+1+n} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_m) \text{ev}_{k+1+n, 1}^{A_\infty})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k H^{Y_0}, Z_n H^{Y_m})) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k H^{Y_0}[1], Z_n H^{Y_m}[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n H^{Y_m}[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))] \\
& = (-)^{k+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \\
& \quad \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{k+1+n, m})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes s\underline{C}_k(X_k H^{Y_0}, Z_n H^{Y_m})) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), X_k H^{Y_0}[1] \otimes \underline{C}_k(X_k H^{Y_0}[1], Z_n H^{Y_m}[1])) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), Z_n H^{Y_m}[1]) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} \underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))] \quad (\text{A.36})
\end{aligned}$$

by the same argument. By (5.15) the composite $(1 \otimes (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{k+1+n, m} s^{-1}[1]) \text{ev}^{C_k}$ from the above expression is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^m [s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{perm}} T^m s\mathcal{A}(Y_m, Y_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{b_{m+1+k+1+n}^A} s\mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n)],
\end{aligned}$$

therefore (A.36) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-)^{k+1+m} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \\
& \quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y_0) \otimes T^m s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y_0, Y_m)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), T^m s\mathcal{A}(Y_m, Y_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y_0, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \\
& \quad \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n)) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_k(1, b_{m+1+k+1+n}^A)} \underline{C}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n)) \xrightarrow{[-1]s} s\underline{C}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y_m, Z_n))] ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{n, k+1+m}$ by (5.15). The first case is proven.

Let us study the second case, which is equation (A.35). It expands to

$$\begin{aligned} & [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y) \otimes T^0 s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(Y, Y) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{k+1}} s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, H^Y)] \\ &= [T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes X_k H^Y[1] \otimes T^0 s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^Y, H^Y) \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{k0}} s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^{X_0}, H^Y)]. \quad (\text{A.37}) \end{aligned}$$

Composing this equation with pr_n and using closedness we turn it into another equation. By the previous case the left hand side coincides with

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}})_{n,k+1} &= (-)^{k+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \\ & \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, b_{k+n+2}^A)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n))] \end{aligned}$$

expressed via (5.15). This has to equal the right hand side which is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}'_{k0;n} &= (-)^{k+1} [T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_k, Y) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{coev}^{C_k}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0) \otimes T^n s\mathcal{A}(Z_0, Z_n) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X_0, X_k) \otimes s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{perm})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes T^k s\mathcal{A}(X_k, X_0) \otimes \bar{T}^{1+n} s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0, \dots, Z_n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes H_{k+1+n}^Y)} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k), \mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n))) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, 1 \otimes s^{-1}[1])} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n))) \\ & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(1, \text{ev}^{C_k})} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n)) \xrightarrow{[-1]^s} s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(X_0, Z_0), \mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n))] \end{aligned}$$

obtained as (A.5) with $f = H^Y$. The required equation follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+n+2}^A &= [s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes T^{k+1+n} s\mathcal{A}(X_k, Z_n) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes H_{k+1+n}^Y} s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes s\underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k), \mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes s^{-1}[1]} s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k) \otimes \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k(s\mathcal{A}(Y, X_k), s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n)) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}^{C_k}} s\mathcal{A}(Y, Z_n)], \end{aligned}$$

which is an immediate consequence of (A.1) written for H_{k+1+n}^Y . We conclude that Proposition A.7 holds true. $\square$

A.8 Corollary (Fukaya [Fuk02] Theorem 9.1, Lyubashenko and Manzyuk [LM04] Proposition A.9). *The A_{∞} -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\infty}^u(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)$ is homotopy fully faithful.*

Proof. By (A.37), we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_1 = \mathcal{U}_{00} : s\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(X, Y) \rightarrow s\mathbf{A}_{\infty}^u(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_k)(H^X, H^Y),$$

for each pair $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$. By Proposition A.3, the component $\mathcal{U}_{00}$ is homotopy invertible, hence so is $\mathcal{Y}_1$. $\square$

Let $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ denote the essential image of $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{\infty}^u(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$, i.e., the full differential graded subcategory of $\underline{A}_{\infty}^u(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ whose objects are representable A_{∞} -functors $(X)\mathcal{Y} = H^X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}}$, for $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{A}$, which are unital by Remark 5.19. The differential graded category $\text{Rep} A_{\infty}^u(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is $\mathcal{U}$ -small. Thus, the Yoneda A_{∞} -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{\infty}^u(\mathcal{A}; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ takes values in the $\mathcal{U}$ -small subcategory $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$.

A.9 Corollary (Fukaya [Fuk02] Theorem 9.1, Lyubashenko and Manzyuk [LM04] Theorem A.11). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital A_{∞} -category. Then the restricted Yoneda A_{∞} -functor $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is an equivalence.*

In particular, each $\mathcal{U}$ -small unital A_{∞} -category is A_{∞} -equivalent to a $\mathcal{U}$ -small differential graded category.

References

- [BK89] A. I. Bondal and M. M. Kapranov, *Representable functors, Serre functors, and reconstructions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 6, 1183–1205, 1337.
- [BLM07] Yu. Bespalov, V. V. Lyubashenko, and O. Manzyuk, *Pretriangulated A_{∞} -categories*, book in progress, 2007, <http://www.math.ksu.edu/~lub/papers.html>.
- [Cos04] K. J. Costello, *Topological conformal field theories and Calabi-Yau categories*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 1, 165–214, [arXiv:math.QA/0412149](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0412149).
- [DM82] P. Deligne and J. S. Milne, *Tannakian categories*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982, pp. 101–228.
- [Dri04] V. G. Drinfeld, *DG quotients of DG categories*, J. Algebra **272** (2004), no. 2, 643–691, [arXiv:math.KT/0210114](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.KT/0210114).
- [Fuk02] K. Fukaya, *Floer homology and mirror symmetry. II*, Minimal surfaces, geometric analysis and symplectic geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1999), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 34, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002, pp. 31–127.
- [GM03] S. I. Gelfand and Y. I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, 2003.
- [Kap90] M. M. Kapranov, *Surgeries and Serre functors in constructive sheaves*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **24** (1990), no. 2, 85–86.
- [Kel01] B. Keller, *Introduction to A -infinity algebras and modules*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **3** (2001), no. 1, 1–35, [arXiv:math.RA/9910179](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.RA/9910179) <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v3/n1/a1/>.

- [Kel06] B. Keller, *A-infinity algebras, modules and functor categories*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, Contemp. Math., vol. 406, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 67–93, [arXiv:math.RT/0510508](#).
- [Kel82] G. M. Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, vol. 64, Cambridge University Press, 1982, <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/reprints/articles/10/tr10abs.html>.
- [KS06] M. Kontsevich and Yan S. Soibelman, *Notes on A-infinity algebras, A-infinity categories and non-commutative geometry. I*, 2006, [arXiv:math.RA/0606241](#).
- [LH03] K. Lefèvre-Hasegawa, *Sur les A_∞ -catégories*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris 7, U.F.R. de Mathématiques, 2003, [arXiv:math.CT/0310337](#).
- [Lyu99] V. V. Lyubashenko, *Squared Hopf algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (1999), no. 677, 184 pp.
- [Lyu03] V. V. Lyubashenko, *Category of A_∞ -categories*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **5** (2003), no. 1, 1–48, [arXiv:math.CT/0210047](#) <http://intlpress.com/HHA/v5/n1/a1/>.
- [LM04] V. V. Lyubashenko and O. Manzyuk, *Quotients of unital A_∞ -categories*, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik preprint, MPI 04-19, 2004, [arXiv:math.CT/0306018](#).
- [Mac88] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, GTM, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971, 1988.
- [Man07] O. Manzyuk, *A_∞ -bimodules and Serre A_∞ -functors*, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Fachbereich Mathematik, 2007.
- [MS05] V. Mazorchuk and C. Stroppel, *Projective-injective modules, Serre functors and symmetric algebras*, 2005, [arXiv:math.RT/0508119](#).
- [RvdB02] I. Reiten and M. van den Bergh, *Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 295–366 (electronic), [arXiv:math.RT/9911242](#).
- [Sei06] P. Seidel, *Fukaya categories and Picard–Lefschetz theory*, <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~seidel> book in progress, 2006.
- [Soi04] Yan S. Soibelman, *Mirror symmetry and noncommutative geometry of A_∞ -categories*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004), no. 10, 3742–3757.
- [Tra01] T. Tradler, *Infinity-inner-products on A-infinity-algebras*, 2001, [arXiv:math.AT/0108027](#).

- [Tra02] T. Tradler, *The BV algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products*, 2002, [arXiv:math.QA/0210150](#).
- [TT06] J. Terilla and T. Tradler, *Deformations of associative algebras with inner products*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **8** (2006), no. 2, 115–131 (electronic), [arXiv:math.QA/0305052](#).

Contents

0.1	Notation and conventions.	2
1	$\mathcal{V}$-categories	7
1.1	Opposite $\mathcal{V}$ -categories.	7
1.2	Hom-functor.	8
1.4	Duality functor.	10
1.5	Representability.	10
2	Serre functors for $\mathcal{V}$-categories	10
2.1	Serre $\mathcal{V}$ -functors.	11
2.7	Commutation with equivalences.	17
2.11	Trace functionals determine the Serre functor.	19
2.13	Base change.	22
2.15	From $\mathcal{K}$ -categories to gr -categories.	24
2.17	From gr -categories to $\mathbb{k}$ -categories.	25
2.19	Categories closed under shifts.	26
3	A_∞-categories and $\mathcal{K}$-categories	28
3.1	Opposite A_∞ -categories.	28
3.4	The $\mathbb{k}$ -Cat-multifunctor $\mathbf{k}$	33
3.7	A_∞ -categories closed under shifts.	38
3.8	Shifts as differential graded functors.	39
4	A_∞-modules.	39
5	A_∞-bimodules.	42
5.4	Regular A_∞ -bimodule.	58
5.6	Restriction of scalars.	61
5.8	Opposite bimodule.	63
5.12	Duality A_∞ -functor.	66
5.13	Dual A_∞ -bimodule.	66
5.16	Unital A_∞ -bimodules.	70

6	Serre A_∞-functors	73
6.8	A strict case of a Serre A_∞ -functor.	76
A	The Yoneda Lemma.	79